# Q1 Do you agree with the proposal to control dog fouling over the whole district, making it an offence to fail to pick up dog faeces deposited on any publicly accessible land? 

Answered: 125 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $88.00 \%$ | 110 |
| No | $5.60 \%$ | 7 |
| Don't know | $0.80 \%$ | 1 |
| Other (please specify) | $5.60 \%$ | 7 |
| TOTAL |  | 125 |


| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | We would like to take this opportunity to encourage the local authority to employ further proactive measures to help promote responsible dog ownership throughout the local area in addition to introducing Orders in this respect. These proactive measures can include: increasing the number of bins available for dog owners to use; communicating to local dog owners that bagged dog faeces can be disposed of in normal litter bins; running responsible ownership and training events; or using poster campaigns to encourage dog owners to pick up after their dog. | 11/20/2023 12:51 PM |
| 2 | It should be an offence to leave poo on a pavement or path. However, if a dog discretely poos in the bushes in the forest it is far more ecological to allow it to biodegrade there than to use yet another plastic bag and introduce the poo and plastic into the waste disposal system. | 11/3/2023 6:25 PM |
| 3 | Is this just an excuse to fine people? | 10/28/2023 9:21 AM |
| 4 | Simple common sense on all accounts | 10/27/2023 5:36 PM |
| 5 | Agree for most public spaces. However, in woodland and the forest off the main paths, there is not such a need and bagging dog waste is worse for the environment than leaving nature to deal with it where it isn't affecting people. | 10/27/2023 11:56 AM |

dangerous, but the authority seems to ignore and look the other way. Does the authority not have a duty of care to stop this .

# Q2 Do you agree with the proposal that allows an authorised officer to request that a dog is to be placed on a lead on any publicly accessible land? 

Answered: 123 Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES |  | RESPONSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  | 80.49\% |  | 99 |
| No |  | 2.44\% |  | 3 |
| Don't know |  | 4.07\% |  | 5 |
| Other (please | specify) | 13.01\% |  | 16 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 123 |
| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) |  | DATE |  |
| 1 | When on a PRoW, there is a legal requirement for a dog to be under control but no specific requirement for a lead to be used. As such, making it an 'offence' not to use a lead when on a PRoW may make enforcement legally problematic |  | 11/20/2023 1:01 PM |  |
| 2 | This should apply to any public land, at all times . I am shocked at the dogs off lead when I stroll through the forest with children - not all owners are considerate and instead allow dogs to approach strangers - which is risky and a hazard . |  | 11/3/2023 8:14 PM |  |
| 3 | If a dog is causing a nuisance by barking or growling or running at people then yes. However, if a dog is just playing happily no. Too many hillier than thou people think dogs should be on leads at all times. In my experience it is the dogs on leads who get protective and aggressive whilst those who run around and do not feel constrained are more calm and friendly. |  | 11/3/2023 6:29 PM |  |
| 4 | I think this should depend on the dog being under control. Failing to provide reasonable free running exercise is harsh. As dog owners, we politely request any dogs not on lead be recalled away from ours or placed on a lead if recall fails. That's enough really. |  | 11/2/2023 7:57 PM |  |
| 5 | All dogs should be on a lead at all times on publicly accessible land |  | 11/1/2023 8:35 AM |  |
| 6 | Depends on why the order is given |  | 10/31/2023 2:24 PM |  |


| 7 | Is this just an excuse to fine people? | 10/28/2023 9:21 AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | yes, so long as there is good reason i.e. the breed of dog is a known agressive type (bull terriers, cane corso etc.). We have a lot of forest that is used more by dog walkers than families and it would be a shame to limit non aggresive dogs running in the forest. I accept tighter controls in local parks where there are generally more young children. | 10/27/2023 10:31 PM |
| 9 | This is too vague. If the officer demands it on one occasion is the reequirement in place permanantly? This appears to be pointless, although if it specified all dogs in a specific location that would work. | 10/27/2023 6:42 PM |
| 10 | Obvious | 10/27/2023 5:37 PM |
| 11 | Under what conditions will officers demand this? Their reasons and scope of powers need to be clarified - they cannot ask just because they feel like doing so. | 10/27/2023 11:57 AM |
| 12 | I think there should be designated places where dogs have to be on leads such as access to playgrounds and tennis courts for example on roding recreation ground | 10/25/2023 3:52 PM |
| 13 | In principal yes but in practice the likelihood of an 'authorised officer' being available at the time of the offence is a concern. | 10/25/2023 9:40 AM |
| 14 | Yes if there is a specific reason e.g. If the dog is a danger or nuisance | 10/24/2023 6:23 PM |
| 15 | Yes but needs to be clear who's authorized | 10/24/2023 3:15 PM |
| 16 | Only if the dog is being allowed to make nuisance of itself | 10/23/2023 7:31 PM |

# Q3 Do you agree with the proposal that restricts the number of dogs a person can have in their control on any publicly accessible land to four? 

Answered: 123 Skipped: 2


| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $69.11 \%$ | 85 |
| No | $13.01 \%$ | 16 |
| Don't know | $3.25 \%$ | 4 |
| Other (please specify) | $14.63 \%$ | 18 |
| TOTAL |  | 123 |


| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The behaviour of the dogs and the competency of the handler need to be taken into consideration if considering this order. Research from 2010 shows that $95 \%$ of dog owners have up to 3 dogs. Therefore, the number of dogs taken out on to land by one individual would not normally be expected to exceed four dogs. | 11/20/2023 1:04 PM |
| 2 | I own 5 dogs who are all very well behaved - this will mean I cannot walk them at the same time without help | 11/18/2023 4:00 PM |
| 3 | Depending on dog size etc. these may effect professional dog walkers ability to earn a living. | 11/10/2023 5:35 PM |
| 4 | I do agree that there must be a limit on the number of dogs, that a person is allowed to walk together, but even four could be too many, dependant on the breed | 11/4/2023 6:04 PM |
| 5 | The question is misconceived. If the dogs are under control then there is no problem however many dogs there are. One dog out of control is a danger. Six well behaved dogs whether on a lead or not are fine. | 11/3/2023 6:31 PM |
| 6 | Maximum of 3 dogs | 11/3/2023 4:21 PM |
| 7 | Four seems excessive-how can a single person control for dogs??? | 11/1/2023 9:24 AM |
| 8 | Is this just an excuse to fine people? | 10/28/2023 9:22 AM |

# Dog Control Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation 

| 9 | I think 3 should be the maximum or you need to specify what breed of dogs. Who can run <br> after 4 dogs out of control? If there small dogs that are generally non aggresive breeds then <br> what's the problem. I think you need to include breed and or size of dogs into the equation. <br> Four Brichon Friese would not normally be a group to be afraid of when off the lead! | $10 / 27 / 2023$ 10:34 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | Limit should be less than 4 per person - otherwise not controllable. | $10 / 27 / 2023$ 6:04 PM |
| 11 | I would limit to 3 or 4 | $10 / 27 / 2023$ 5:37 PM |
| 12 | Ideally I'd like it to be limited to three, if there's a problem even two is more than enough for <br> a person to try to control. | $10 / 27 / 2023$ 1:30 PM |
| 13 | Yes, dogs to be on leads- extended leads | $10 / 26 / 2023$ 11:23 PM |
| 14 | I think 4 is too many should only be one | $10 / 24 / 2023$ 7:05 AM |
| 15 | Should be fewer than four. | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 8:30 PM |
| 16 | Yes up to a point, depends how many dogs? | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 7:38 PM |
| 17 | Too many | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 7:33 PM |
| 18 | Yes but the number of dogs should be 3 | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 5:14 PM |

# Q4 Do you believe that a restriction on the number of dogs a person can have in their control on public land should be imposed at all? 

Answered: 38 Skipped: 87



| ANSWER CHOICES |  | RESPONSES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  | 34.21\% | 13 |
| No |  | 39.47\% | 15 |
| Don't know |  | 2.63\% | 1 |
| Other (please specify) |  | 23.68\% | 9 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 38 |
| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) |  | DATE |
| 1 | The behaviour of the dogs and the competency of the handler need to be taken into consideration if considering this order. Research from 2010 shows that $95 \%$ of dog owners have up to 3 dogs. Therefore, the number of dogs taken out on to land by one individual would not normally be expected to exceed four dogs. |  | 11/20/2023 1:04 PM |
| 2 | The maximum number of dogs a person can walk in a controlled manner is dependent on a number of other factors relating to the walker, the dogs being walked, whether leads are used, and the location where the walking is taking place. An arbitrary maximum number can also legitimise and encourage people to walk dogs up to the specified limit, even if at a given time or circumstance they cannot control that number of dogs. |  | 11/20/2023 12:54 PM |
| 3 | Most dog walkers insurance limits the number of dogs in a group to 6.6 should be the number used for the limit |  | 11/18/2023 4:05 PM |
| 4 | If they are insured for the number they are walking, and the dogs are under control then no. It's often irresponsible owners with untrained dogs that cause the issue whereas this penalises all unnecessarily |  | 11/18/2023 3:59 PM |
| 5 | See my comment previous |  | 11/10/2023 5:36 PM |
| 6 | If they are in their control there should be no restriction. |  | 11/3/2023 6:31 PM |
| 7 | Number and breed of dog, refer to my previous answer. Four Cane Corso dogs would be 3 too many so the breeds are also an issue |  | 10/27/2023 10:36 PM |

# Dog Control Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation 

| 8 | Maximum 6. But it does depend on the dogs and the person handling them. It is for example <br> a lot easier to handle 6 well behaved dogs then 3 who are badly behaved. | 10/26/2023 3:06 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Control needs to be defined - one person cannot control 4 off lead dogs | 10/23/2023 7:33 PM |

# Q5 What is the number of dogs you believe that one person should be restricted to have in their control on public land? 

Answered: 23 Skipped: 102

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 11/20/2023 1:04 PM |
| 2 | We thus suggest instead that defined outcomes are used to influence people walking one or more dogs - domestically or commercially - such as dogs always being under control or on lead in certain areas. An experienced dog walker, for example, may be able to keep a large number of dogs under control during a walk whist an inexperienced private dog owner may struggle to keep one dog under control. Equally, the size and training of dogs are key factors, hence why an arbitrary maximum number is inappropriate. The Kennel Club would recommend the local authority instead uses the 'dogs on lead by direction' measures and other targeted approaches - including Acceptable Behaviour Contracts and Community Protection Orders - to address those who do not have control of the dogs that they are walking. | 11/20/2023 12:54 PM |
| 3 | 6 | 11/18/2023 4:05 PM |
| 4 | 6 | 11/18/2023 3:59 PM |
| 5 | 2 large dogs, 3 medium dogs, 4-10 small or toy dogs | 11/10/2023 5:37 PM |
| 6 | 2 | 11/4/2023 6:05 PM |
| 7 | However many they can safely control. | 11/3/2023 6:32 PM |
| 8 | 3 | 11/3/2023 4:21 PM |
| 9 | 2 | 11/1/2023 9:25 AM |
| 10 | 5 | 10/31/2023 2:25 PM |
| 11 | Probably 3 max but again you have to consider the size and breed of dogs in the group. Four Bichon Friese v 2 Bull Terriers and 2 Cane Corso's? Which group would you rather meet on your walk with your yorkshire terrier? | 10/27/2023 10:38 PM |
| 12 | 3 | 10/27/2023 9:40 PM |
| 13 | Two | 10/27/2023 6:04 PM |
| 14 | 3 | 10/27/2023 5:38 PM |
| 15 | Two, any more would be very hard for someone to control if there's a problem | 10/27/2023 1:32 PM |
| 16 | 2 or 3 depending if small or large dogs | 10/26/2023 11:23 PM |
| 17 | 6 | 10/26/2023 3:06 PM |
| 18 | 5 | 10/24/2023 7:20 AM |
| 19 | One | 10/24/2023 7:05 AM |
| 20 | 2 | 10/23/2023 8:30 PM |
| 21 | 2 or 3 maximum | 10/23/2023 7:38 PM |
| 22 | Off lead - one; one lead - two. Person needs to be defined e.g. over 16 - not appropriate for children to have control of dogs | 10/23/2023 7:36 PM |
| 23 | 3 | 10/23/2023 5:15 PM |

# Q6 Do you agree with the proposal that allows an authorised officers to ask a dog walker to produce an appropriate means of picking up dog faeces? 

Answered: 123 Skipped: 2



| 7 | In principal yes but the likelihood of an 'authorised officer' being available at the time of the <br> offence is a concern. | $10 / 25 / 2023$ 9:41 AM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Yes but needs to be clear who's authorised | 10/24/2023 3:15 PM |
| 9 | I agree, but as with all other points, who will police it and be willing to confront owners? <br> Without enforcement it's just words. | $10 / 24 / 2023$ 5:38 AM |
| 10 | The problem is if your dog has already done it, you would use the bag so when asked you <br> wouldn't have one? | 10/23/2023 7:40 PM |

# Q7 Do you agree with the proposal that excludes dogs from specified areas within the district? 

Answered: 122 Skipped: 3


| ANSWER CHOICES |  | RESPONSES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  | 59.02\% | 72 |
| No |  | 18.03\% | 22 |
| Don't know |  | 6.56\% | 8 |
| Other (please | specify) | 16.39\% | 20 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 122 |
| \# | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) |  | DATE |
| 1 | Dogs Trust accepts that there are some areas where it is desirable that dogs should be excluded, such as children's play areas, however we would recommend that exclusion areas are kept to a minimum and that, for enforcement reasons, they are restricted to enclosed areas. We would consider it more difficult to enforce an exclusion order in areas that lack clear boundaries. |  | 11/20/2023 1:06 PM |
| 2 | The exclusion of dogs from any 'specified fenced area' if across a PRoW may run the risk of falling foul of the law which specifically allows for a 'usual accompaniment' on a PRoW, normally taken to include dogs |  | 11/20/2023 1:02 PM |
| 3 | The Kennel Club does not typically oppose Orders to exclude dogs from playgrounds or enclosed recreational grounds, such as skate parks or tennis courts, as long as alternative provisions are made for dog walkers in the vicinity. Children and dogs should be able to socialise together quite safely under adult supervision, with having a child in the home the biggest predictor for a family owning a dog. |  | 11/20/2023 12:56 PM |
| 4 | Only specified areas with appropriate reasons e.g. enclosed children's play areas or areas of protected wildlife which are negatively impacted by dog activity. |  | 11/20/2023 10:36 AM |
| 5 | Especially where there may be children or older people such as playgrounds |  | 11/3/2023 8:16 PM |
| 6 | Well behaved dogs are no problem in any area. Untrained dogs are the issue and your proposals are unfair to the majority of well behaved dogs in the district. |  | 10/29/2023 10:48 PM |

An example of what type of space would be good?

| 8 | Is this just an excuse to fine people? | 10/28/2023 9:22 AM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | yes in principle, so long as dog walkers also have a decent amount of space in which dogs <br> can run and those spaces can be reached within a reasonable walking distance from the <br> populated areas. Children should be able to play safely without fear of being attacked or <br> even chased by a dog and those who do not like dogs are also entitled to walk peacefully <br> and safely. We are all equal and dog walking provides a much needed mental health aide so <br> it would be a shame to get carried away with too many / too robust restrictions which makes <br> dog walkers the enemy. It would help if the council were to offer dog training classes at a <br> reduced rate and perhaps mandate dog training attendance / certification for certain breeds <br> of dogs and their owners. Ultimately we all know it's the thugs with the aggresive breeds <br> that is causing the problems for the majority of well behaved dog breeds and their owners <br> who ultimately will be the people affected. The thugs will ignore whatever you introduce and <br> you will never provide the staff to catch these people but instead will hassle the good <br> people. My recent dog sitting for my sisters loving Jack Russell showed me that 3 young <br> guys can rcee their souped up electric bike (travelling at more than 20mph) in Stonards Park <br> at 4pm in broad daylight and no authorities to be seen, on two consecutive days! Dog <br> walkers and parents having to keep a watchful eye on their charges to ensure they weren't <br> run over. How does that happen? Where's the park keeper? Good luck with trying to control <br> the thugs and their dogs. |  |


| 10 | Yes, dogs should be prohibited from childrens playgrounds and similar spaces. | 10/27/2023 6:06 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | I comment | 10/27/2023 5:39 PM |
| 12 | Which specified areas? | 10/27/2023 11:58 AM |
| 13 | Depends on the reason. If the area is an enclosed children's play park then yes. If not then <br> no. | 10/26/2023 3:08 PM |
|  |  |  |


$14 \quad$| What are tha specified areas? Fenced areas (for example children's play area), yes but this |
| :--- |
| could set a damaging precedent in that too many areas could mean all are ignored. |

15 If the area is a designated children's play area or similar. Many foreign countries allow dogs 10/24/2023 6:25 PM in far more public places than we currently do - a well behaved dog is part of the family

| 16 | Uncertain on this as not clear what areas | $10 / 24 / 2023$ 5:51 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | Depends which areas are excluded. Kids playgrounds should be exclusion areas if not <br> already. I would not want to see parks excluded especially if owners abide by new rules. | $10 / 24 / 2023$ 5:38 AM |
| 18 | Depends on what areas and why | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 7:40 PM |
| 19 | Depends on which areas - this needs clarity | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 5:39 PM |
| 20 | It would depend on what areas. What are the specified areas | $10 / 23 / 2023$ 12:19 PM |

## Q8 Fenced children's play areas?

Answered: 99 Skipped: 26



Q9 Fenced sports areas?
Answered: 99 Skipped: 26



## Q10 How old are you?

Answered: 117 Skipped: 8


| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under 20 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| $21-30$ | $3.42 \%$ | 4 |
| $31-40$ | $7.69 \%$ | 9 |
| $41-50$ | $20.51 \%$ | 24 |
| $51-65$ | $37.61 \%$ | 44 |
| $65+$ | $30.77 \%$ | 36 |
| TOTAL |  | 117 |

## Q11 Do you...

Answered: 119 Skipped: 6


| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| live in the district | $91.60 \%$ | 109 |
| work in the district | $16.81 \%$ | 20 |
| own a business in the district | $6.72 \%$ | 8 |
| Other (please specify) | $5.04 \%$ | 6 |
| Total Respondents: 119 |  |  |


| $\#$ | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Epping Town council | $11 / 20 / 2023$ 1:08 PM |
| 2 | Visitor | $11 / 5 / 2023$ 10:54 AM |
| 3 | Is this just an excuse to fine people? | $10 / 28 / 2023$ 9:23 AM |
| 4 | Walk a dog in the district, visit friends and relatives in the district | $10 / 27 / 2023$ 12:00 PM |
| 5 | Frequent visitor to the district/family members | $10 / 23 / 20234: 49 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| 6 | And a Trustee of Roydon Playing Fields | $10 / 23 / 20234: 35 \mathrm{PM}$ |

## Q12 Do you own a dog?

Answered: 118 Skipped: 7


| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $60.17 \%$ |
| No | $33.90 \%$ |
| Other (please specify) | $5.93 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 40 |


| $\#$ | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Used to and know all the different problems with people and dogs. | $10 / 31 / 2023$ 5:48 PM |
| 2 | Not currently but have owned a Doberman in the past and regularly dog sit my sisters jack <br> russel at my house | $10 / 28 / 20239: 23 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| 3 | Between dogs, recently lost ours but expect to welcome another shortly. |  |
| 4 | I don't own but regularly come into contact through work | $10 / 25 / 2023$ |
| 5 | Have done in the past | $1: 11 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| 6 | Not at present | $10 / 24 / 2023$ 3:17 PM |
| 7 |  | $10 / 24 / 2023$ |

# Q13 Which area of the district do you live or work in? (if more than one applies, feel free to include them all) 

Answered: 107 Skipped: 18

| \# | RESPONSES | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Epping Forest | 11/20/2023 10:36 AM |
| 2 | IG10 resides Work- Epping \& Theydon Bois | 11/18/2023 5:56 PM |
| 3 | Essex | 11/18/2023 4:06 PM |
| 4 | Loughton | 11/18/2023 4:01 PM |
| 5 | Live in Abridge. Work in Abridge, Theydon Bois, Loughton, Debden, North Weald, Chigwell, Buckhurst Hill. | 11/18/2023 4:00 PM |
| 6 | Middle Street EN9 2LQ | 11/15/2023 11:30 AM |
| 7 | Nazeing | 11/10/2023 5:46 PM |
| 8 | Nazeing | 11/10/2023 5:39 PM |
| 9 | Naezing | 11/10/2023 4:16 PM |
| 10 | Nazeing | 11/9/2023 11:20 AM |
| 11 | Buckhurst Hill | 11/7/2023 8:36 PM |
| 12 | Sheering | 11/5/2023 4:12 PM |
| 13 | Sheering | 11/5/2023 6:20 AM |
| 14 | Alderton Ward | 11/4/2023 6:14 PM |
| 15 | Loughton | 11/3/2023 8:16 PM |
| 16 | Loughton | 11/3/2023 6:36 PM |
| 17 | Loughton | 11/3/2023 5:19 PM |
| 18 | Loughton | 11/3/2023 4:22 PM |
| 19 | Sheering | 11/2/2023 7:59 PM |
| 20 | Sheering | 11/2/2023 10:40 AM |
| 21 | All over the district | 11/2/2023 10:21 AM |
| 22 | Sheering | 11/2/2023 6:55 AM |
| 23 | matching tye | 11/1/2023 3:00 PM |
| 24 | Loughton | 11/1/2023 9:25 AM |
| 25 | Buckhurst Hill | 11/1/2023 8:36 AM |
| 26 | Epping | 10/31/2023 7:04 PM |
| 27 | Loughton. | 10/31/2023 5:48 PM |
| 28 | CM22. | 10/31/2023 4:24 PM |
| 29 | Epping | 10/31/2023 4:21 PM |
| 30 | Epping | 10/31/2023 4:12 PM |
| 31 | Chigwell/Grange Hill | 10/31/2023 4:11 PM |
| 32 | Live in Buckhurst Hill work all Over district | 10/31/2023 3:31 PM |
| 33 | Epping Town | 10/31/2023 2:28 PM |
| 34 | Waltham Abbey | 10/31/2023 2:28 PM |

Dog Control Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation

| 35 | Nazeing. | 10/31/2023 2:24 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Loughton, Epping Forest area. | 10/29/2023 10:50 PM |
| 37 | Buckhurst Hill | 10/29/2023 9:15 PM |
| 38 | IG10 1AN | 10/29/2023 5:57 PM |
| 39 | Chigwell | 10/29/2023 1:33 PM |
| 40 | Loughton | 10/28/2023 1:04 PM |
| 41 | Waltham abbey | 10/28/2023 12:42 PM |
| 42 | Is this just an excuse to fine people? | 10/28/2023 9:23 AM |
| 43 | Epping | 10/27/2023 11:01 PM |
| 44 | Loughton | 10/27/2023 10:04 PM |
| 45 | Waltham abbey | 10/27/2023 9:41 PM |
| 46 | Fyfield | 10/27/2023 8:56 PM |
| 47 | Loughton | 10/27/2023 8:47 PM |
| 48 | Jacks hatch | 10/27/2023 7:28 PM |
| 49 | Stonards recreation ground | 10/27/2023 7:27 PM |
| 50 | Epping | 10/27/2023 6:43 PM |
| 51 | Epping | 10/27/2023 6:08 PM |
| 52 | Loughton | 10/27/2023 6:07 PM |
| 53 | ONGAR This action would be of specific interest of the Ongar Community Sports Trust that manage the sport facility at Jubilee Park.Love Lane. | 10/27/2023 5:49 PM |
| 54 | Buckhurst Hill and Epping Forest | 10/27/2023 5:42 PM |
| 55 | North weald | 10/27/2023 1:34 PM |
| 56 | Buckhurst Hill, Epping | 10/27/2023 12:00 PM |
| 57 | North Weald | 10/27/2023 11:33 AM |
| 58 | Epping work Live in north chingford backing on to forest | 10/27/2023 8:12 AM |
| 59 | Debden | 10/27/2023 12:45 AM |
| 60 | Epping | 10/26/2023 11:24 PM |
| 61 | Loughton, Buckhurst Hill | 10/26/2023 4:09 PM |
| 62 | Loughton | 10/26/2023 3:08 PM |
| 63 | Epping | 10/26/2023 12:12 PM |
| 64 | Theydon Bois | 10/26/2023 11:29 AM |
| 65 | Stapleford Abbotts | 10/26/2023 11:12 AM |
| 66 | Loughton | 10/26/2023 10:59 AM |
| 67 | EFDC Stapleford Abbotts | 10/26/2023 10:51 AM |
| 68 | Epping Green | 10/26/2023 10:26 AM |
| 69 | Stapleford Abbotts | 10/25/2023 4:54 PM |
| 70 | Loughton | 10/25/2023 3:53 PM |
| 71 | Rm4 1jp | 10/25/2023 9:49 AM |
| 72 | Epping/Epping Upland. Can the 'authorised officer' be explained please? Who are they, how do we get in touch etc | 10/25/2023 9:45 AM |
| 73 | Chigwell | 10/25/2023 1:11 AM |
| 74 | Stapleford Abbotts | 10/24/2023 10:16 PM |


| 75 | Stapleford Abbotts | 10/24/2023 10:07 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | Waltham Abbey but we walk all over the surrounding area with our well behaved dog. We are as disgusted as everyone with dog poo left on pavements and parks | 10/24/2023 6:29 PM |
| 77 | Epping Green | 10/24/2023 6:08 PM |
| 78 | Epping Green | 10/24/2023 5:54 PM |
| 79 | Epping Forest area for both work and leisure. | 10/24/2023 5:08 PM |
| 80 | North Weald Bassett Parish | 10/24/2023 3:57 PM |
| 81 | Waltham Forest, Loughton and Epping Forest. | 10/24/2023 3:17 PM |
| 82 | Stapleford Abbott | 10/24/2023 1:30 PM |
| 83 | Moreton | 10/24/2023 10:31 AM |
| 84 | Moreton | 10/24/2023 10:08 AM |
| 85 | Bobbingworth | 10/24/2023 10:05 AM |
| 86 | Bobbingworth | 10/24/2023 10:04 AM |
| 87 | Ongar | 10/24/2023 7:06 AM |
| 88 | Epping | 10/24/2023 6:45 AM |
| 89 | Loughton | 10/24/2023 5:39 AM |
| 90 | Loughton | 10/24/2023 2:23 AM |
| 91 | Buckhurst Hill | 10/23/2023 11:10 PM |
| 92 | Epping | 10/23/2023 9:22 PM |
| 93 | North Weald , Ongar | 10/23/2023 9:17 PM |
| 94 | Epping | 10/23/2023 8:54 PM |
| 95 | Buckhurst Hill | 10/23/2023 7:41 PM |
| 96 | Chigwell | 10/23/2023 7:37 PM |
| 97 | Epping | 10/23/2023 6:53 PM |
| 98 | Ongar | 10/23/2023 5:40 PM |
| 99 | Loughton | 10/23/2023 5:16 PM |
| 100 | Roydon | 10/23/2023 4:35 PM |
| 101 | Ongar | 10/23/2023 3:24 PM |
| 102 | Ongar | 10/23/2023 3:07 PM |
| 103 | Epping forest District | 10/23/2023 2:13 PM |
| 104 | Loughton | 10/23/2023 12:20 PM |
| 105 | chigwell | 10/23/2023 11:03 AM |
| 106 | Epping | 10/23/2023 10:36 AM |
| 107 | Hastingwood | 10/23/2023 10:08 AM |

