EB704A

Epping Forest District Council



GREEN BELT REVIEW

STAGE ONE

September 2015

Contents

Chapter 1:	Introduction	1
-	History of the Green Belt and Local Green Belt Policy	
Local Green	Belt Policy	2
Green Belt i	in Neighbouring Authorities	4
Figure 1:	Neighboring Local Authorities & Green Belt	6
Figure 2:	Cross-Boundary Green Belt Considerations	7
•	Exceptional Circumstances1 natives to Meet Objectively Assessed Development Needs1	
•	Current Status of the Green Belt within the District	
Appraisal o	f Green Belt Parcels	1
Figure 3:	Parcel Scoring Method1	2
Figure 4:	EFDC Landscape Character Assessment (2010)1	3
Figure 5:	Green Belt Parcels1	4
	District-Wide Analysis of Appraisal Results1 To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas	
Figure 6:	Purpose 1 Map (To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas)1	8
Purpose 2:	To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another	0
Figure 7:	Distance between Towns Table2	0
Figure 8:	Distance between towns map2	2
Figure 9:	Purpose 2 Map (To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another)	3
Purpose 3:	To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment	6
Figure 10): Summary of Countryside Encroachment 1986 – 2015 2	8
Figure 11	: Countryside Encroachment – Indicative Areas (1986 – 2015)2	9
Figure 12	Purpose 3 Map (To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment)3	1
Purpose 4:	To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns	2
Figure 13	3: Purpose 4 Map (To preserved the setting and special character of historic towns) 3	4
Aggregated	I Parcel Scores	5
Figure 14	: Green Belt Parcel Overall Aggregated Contribution Map	6

Chapter 6: Broad Locations for Further Assessment	38
Figure 15: Settlements identified for further Green Belt Review	38
Figure 16: Settlement categories defined by the Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper	39
Figure 17: Creation of Broad Locations for Further Assessment	41
Figure 18: Broad Locations for further assessment	44
Chapter 7: Conclusions	45
Appendices	47
Appendix 1: Green Belt Parcel Assessment Criteria	48
Appendix 2: Glossary of Terminology	
Appendix 3: Relevant Essex & Southend-on-Sea Structure Plan (2001)	51
Appendix 4: Countryside Encroachment Table (1986 – 2015)	52
Appendix 5: Green Belt Parcel Appraisal Summary Table	54
Appendix 6: Parcel Appraisals	57

Chapter 1: Introduction

- 1.1 The main purpose of the Stage 1 study is to undertake a high level review of Green Belt land across the District to identify the contribution of the Green Belt towards national Green Belt purposes as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).
- 1.2 Following this assessment against the national purposes a number of constraints will be applied as part of the Stage 1 study to enable further assessments of these areas in the Stage 2 report.
- 1.3 These constraints are as follows:
 - Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
 - Special Protection Areas (SPA)
 - Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)
 - Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
 - Local Nature Reserves (LNR)
 - City of London Corporation Epping Forest Buffer land (land owned and managed by the City of London Corporation, which although not a formal part of the Forest, is not available for development)
- 1.4 The outcome of this study will provide only one piece of evidence amongst a wide range of considerations that must be taken into account before any potential changes to the Green Belt boundaries are proposed. Such considerations include, but are not limited to, objectively assessed need for development, infrastructure capacity, the availability land for development and sustainability. <u>Therefore this document should not be read in isolation</u>. If a parcel (or part of a parcel) is appraised as not serving the purposes of the Green Belt, this does not mean that the parcel should or will be allocated for development in the Local Plan or that the Council would look favourably on a planning application.
- 1.5 Please note that a separate Green Belt Review Methodology has been prepared which sets out an analysis of national policy relating to Green Belt and the approach of a two staged Green Belt Review. The Methodology should be read alongside this report.

Chapter 2: History of the Green Belt and Local Green Belt Policy

2.1 The following chapter sets out a brief overview of current and historic local Green Belt policy and guidance (see methodology for an overview of national policy and guidance). Following on from this it considers the potential conditions for exceptional circumstances required in order to alter Green Belt boundaries.

History of the Green Belt

- 2.2 The Metropolitan Green Belt, which covers part of Epping Forest District, has been a central feature of planning policy in the Home Counties since it was first formally approved in 1957. The concept of a Green Belt around London originated before the Second World War in response to the need to control the outward spread of London. Epping Forest was one of the inspirations for the Green Belt principle, beginning with the First Report of the Greater London Regional Planning Committee in 1929 and its 'green girdle' around London. The first Green Belt was defined by the London County Council in the Greater London Plan of 1944. Soon after, the Town and Country Planning Act 1947 led to the designation of a Green Belt by the councils around London.
- 2.3 The Green Belt proved to be an effective tool in limiting the extent of development. So much so that in 1955 the Government published a Circular (No 42/55) setting out the purposes of the Green Belt and encouraging other cities to follow London's example and consider establishing a Green Belt. This Circular suggested that local authorities prepare policies to ensure that new development (a) was only permitted where it would lead to a rounding off of, or infilling within, a settlement in the Green Belt, or (b) was

for the purposes of agriculture, recreation, cemeteries, institutions standing in large grounds or other uses appropriate to a rural area.

Local Green Belt Policy

- 2.4 The current Epping Forest Green Belt boundaries were established in the 1980s in the Council's first three Local Plans. The 1998 Local Plan only introduced very minor changes to the Green Belt.
- 2.5 The Essex and Southend on Sea Replacement Structure Plan was adopted in April 2001 and was in place when the Council prepared its most recent Local Plan Alterations, adopted in 2006. Relevant policies within the Replacement Structure Plan include the following (which are set out in full in Appendix 3):
 - Policy C1 General Extent of the Green Belt
 - Policy C2 Development within the Metropolitan Green Belt
 - Policy C3 Green Belt Boundaries around Settlements.

2.6 Policy C1 (General Extent of the Green Belt) sets out the purposes of the Green Belt which are essentially identical to those in the NPPF and those being used in this Review.

The current extent of the District's Green Belt designation and Green Belt policies are set out in the Adopted Local Plan maps of 1998 (The Local Plan Alterations of 2006 made no amendments to Green Belt boundaries). There are eighteen Green Belt policies in the 2006 Local Plan, the majority of which are District-wide criteriabased policies which set out the conditions under which development will or will not be permitted in the Green Belt. The exception to this is Policy GB1 which sets out the general extent of the Green Belt boundary. The Green Belt essentially covers the whole of Epping District apart from the following settlements, villages and hamlets:

- Abridge
- Buckhurst Hill
- Chigwell
- Chigwell Row
- Chipping Ongar
- Coopersale
- Dobbs Weir (north of Lower Nazeing)
- Epping
- Epping Green
- Fyfield
- Grange Hill
- High Ongar
- Loughton
- Loughton Broadway (a.k.a. Debden)
- Lower Nazeing
- Lower Sheering
- North Weald Bassett
- Roydon
- Sheering
- Stapleford Abbotts
- Theydon Bois
- Thornwood Common
- Waltham Abbey
- 2.7 Policy GB18 is a site specific Green Belt policy for the Former Radio Station Site at North Weald Bassett and GB19 is also a site specific policy relating to Grange Farm Chigwell.
- 2.8 The following supporting text within Chapter 3 of the EFDC Further Alterations Local Plan (2006) is important to note as background and when considering the case for Exceptional Circumstances:

5.12a The Council attributes very great importance to the character and appearance of the Green Belt, as well as its functions. The Green Belt has been very successful in restricting the urbanisation of the countryside around London. This has been achieved through strict adherence to policy by way of:

• *refusing planning permission for developments contrary to policy; and these decisions being upheld at appeal in the vast majority of cases.*

5.13a In accordance with Government guidance, the Council does not look to amend the Green Belt's boundaries as a matter of course when Local Plans are prepared or reviewed. This is in order for the Green Belt to retain its permanence. Furthermore PPG2 states that boundaries should not be changed unless alterations to the Structure Plan have been approved, or other exceptional circumstances exist. The Council has therefore only countenanced making a change to the boundary under one of the following circumstances:

- there is a need to release land to meet the guidelines (for housing and/ or employment-generating uses) identified in the Structure Plan; or
- there is a significant change in circumstances (e.g. the site has been developed); or
- there is an exceptional and urgent reason for the change (e.g. the site is the most appropriate one to accommodate a necessary development).
- 2.9 The EFDC Further Alterations Local Plan (2006) commits to a comprehensive review of the District's Green Belt boundaries as part of the production of the forthcoming plan:

"A comprehensive review of the Green Belt boundaries in the District will be undertaken in the context of the production of the Local Development Framework. Such a review would look to address when and where the long term development needs of the District should be met and safeguard land accordingly." (Paragraph 5.14a)"

Green Belt in Neighbouring Authorities

- 2.10 Given the strategic role of the Metropolitan Green Belt and EFDC's identification of Green Belt being a strategic cross-boundary issue, it is important that this Review consider the Green Belt of neighbouring authorities particularly where the Green Belt serves national purposes across administrative boundaries.
- 2.11 The map (Figure 1) illustrates the Green Belt within Epping Forest District and its neighbouring authorities at the time of this report. Figure 2 provides an overview of the Local Planning Authorities' (LPAs') respective approaches to Green Belt and stage of Green Belt Review where appropriate. This information has been provided

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

by the respective authorities at the request of EFDC. EFDC has also requested any planned development on or near the boundary of Epping Forest District that the Council should be taking account of when preparing this Review. These developments are set out below.

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Figure 1: Neighboring Local Authorities & Green Belt



GREEN BELT REV	VIEWSTAGE ONE
	September 2015

Authority	Green Belt Review	Planned Development Sites
Brentwood BC	89% of Borough is Green Belt. Has undertaken evidence to assess sites within Green Belt that have been identified through the plan-making process. Initially undertaken to assess the sites' contributions to the purposes of the Green Belt. As part of the plan review the Council is now considering the potential to extend this piece of evidence into a full Green Belt Review.	
Broxbourne BC	All of the land outside the urban area is Green Belt or 3,300 hectares. Green Belt land to the east of the Borough, close to EFDC, is within the LVRP. Will be preparing a review of its Green Belt which will consist of appraising broad areas of the Borough in relation to the five purposes. This, alongside a wider planning appraisal, will result in specific areas which are capable of	Will have to look to the Green Belt for over half of its development needs. These are yet to be confirmed.
Chelmsford CC	37.57% of administrative area is Green Belt. Preparing its evidence base for next Local Plan which is scheduled for Issues and Options public consultation in Autumn 2015. Not planning a Green Belt Review. Whether this changes will be informed by the results of SHLAA and pending OAHN report	There are no allocated sites for development within the City Council's LDF that adjoin Epping Forest District Council (which has a plan period 2001- 2021)
East Herts DC	In the process of undertaking a Green Belt Review currently at draft stage. Planning to publish a final draft at next stage of the District Plan – potentially summer 2015.	As per 2014 Draft District Plan
LB Enfield	Starting work on Local Plan Review, early evidence base work is ongoing and other evidence will be commissioned. Consultation anticipated in summer 2015.	North East Enfield Area Action Plan and its content. This is the portion of the borough in closest proximity to Epping Forest.

Figure 2: Cross-Boundary Green Belt Considerations

Authority	Green Belt Review	Planned Development Sites
Harlow DC	 Harlow is undertaking a three stage Green Belt Review. The methodology was shared with adjoining authorities in 2014. Stages 1 and 2 are now complete – the results have been presented to Councilors at the Local Development Plan Panel and will be discussed with adjoining authorities during Stage 3. Stage 1: assesses broad areas of Harlow Green Belt against the main Green Belt purposes defined in the NPPF. Stage 2: further assesses areas which did not score well in Stage 1, breaking them down into sub-areas and assessing their function as Green Belt. Stage 3: will consider the out- put of the assessments with those being undertaken in ad- joining authorities, to ensure consistency of approach and to confirm exact Green Belt boundaries. 	There are no allocations in the adopted plan which abut or are adjacent to the border with EFDC, although land north and south of Gilden Way is identified as a Special Restraint Area. A number of sites which abut or are adjacent to the border with Epping have been identified as developable in the HDC SHLAA.
LB Havering	Havering is in the early stages of preparing a new Local Plan with the first round of consultation on issues and priorities in February 2015. As part of this work will be undertaking a review of the Green Belt but no dates set for the review yet.	The Key development sites / areas in Havering at present will be London Riverside and Romford town centre.

Authority	Green Belt Review	Planned Development Sites
LB Redbridge	Redbridge's current Green Belt being applied is from the LDF adopted in 2008. The Proposed Green Belt layer supplied shows the proposed Green Belt boundary recommended through a Green Belt Review completed in 2010. The necessary policy changes are currently going through the draft Redbridge Local Plan 2015- 2030 process which is at Preferred Options Stage so the proposed changes have not been examined and are not in place yet.	TBC – reply still awaited
Uttlesford District Council	The Green Belt in Uttlesford runs along the south western boundary of Uttlesford. It comprises the very extremity of the Metropolitan Green Belt being the most northerly area of Green Belt in Essex. Uttlesford DC will be carrying out an initial review of whether to carry out a GB Review during the second half of 2015.	Sites have been put forward as part of previous rounds.
LB Waltham Forest	Recently commissioned consultants (LUC) to undertake a review of its Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land and are in the process of agreeing the Method Statement which Subject to agreement of the Method Statement, consultants will then undertake the review and detailed assessments with the view to completing the study (and recommendations) by the beginning of April 2015.	TBC - reply still awaited

Chapter 3: Exceptional Circumstances

- 3.1 As set out in the Methodology, once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in 'exceptional circumstances'. The Green Belt Review will not on its own determine whether there are 'exceptional circumstances' to justify alterations to the Green Belt however it will provide evidence that will help support such a decision as the alteration of the Green Belt boundary is a policy decision.
- 3.2 The NPPF does not define 'exceptional circumstances' however recent court judgements provide more clarity as to what constitutes 'exceptional circumstances'. In the High Court case of Gallagher Homes Limited v Solihull MBC¹ the Judge concluded that in order for exceptional circumstances to exist, "...something must have occurred subsequent to the definition of the Green Belt boundary that justifies a change".
- 3.3 As explained earlier in this report, the current Green Belt boundaries were established in the 1980s in the Council's first three Local Plans with the 1998 Local Plan only introducing very minor changes. The 2006 Alterations Plan did not make changes to the Green Belt however did commit the Council to a comprehensive review of the Green Belt to take place when preparing the Local Development Framework. It is clear that since 2006 the Council has considered that it would be necessary to undertake a comprehensive review of the Belt as part of the plan-making process to ensure that the long-term development needs within the District are met.
- 3.4 Since the 2006 Alterations Plan, the population forecasts for the District have increased demonstrating a requirement for more development than was previously forecast. Although no decisions have been taken yet on the District's Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) or a new housing requirement for the emerging Local Plan, current evidence indicates that the need for development may outstrip the supply outside the Green Belt.
- 3.5 The emerging findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) indicate that the Objectively Assessed Housing Need (OAHN) for EFDC is in considerable excess of the estimated yield of 'suitable' sites identified in the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA) within the existing envelope of planning policy.

Viable Alternatives to Meet Objectively Assessed Development Needs

3.6 Notwithstanding compliance with the Council's Duty to Co-operate and ongoing engagement with neighbouring authorities, at the time of preparing this report, the Council is unaware of any viable alternatives to meet its objectively assessed development needs outside of the District.

¹ Gallagher Homes Ltd v Solihull MBC [2014] EWHC 1283 (Admin)

Chapter 4: Current Status of the Green Belt within the District

Green Belt Parcels

- 4.1 For the purpose of this assessment the District's Green Belt has been divided into parcels of land. The parcel boundaries generally follow well-defined physical features and the outer boundary of the study area is the District boundary. Settlements are not included within the parcel boundaries unless they are designated as Green Belt in the adopted Local Plan (generally only the smaller villages/hamlets are washed over with Green Belt). The parcel boundaries have been developed using a combination of the parcels from the EFDC Landscape Character Assessment (2010) (see Figure 4) and the following criteria:
 - Boundaries should be aligned to natural or physical features where possible e.g. water courses, prominent hedgerows, roads, railway lines;
 - Boundaries should not split woodland or main areas of trees or existing settlements, existing housing or urban development.
- 4.2 Overall, 73 parcels of land were initially identified through the initial desk-based review. The boundaries of a number of parcels were refined and merged to better reflect conditions on the ground bringing the total number of parcels to 61 (see Figure 5). It should be noted that the parcels and respective appraisals were assigned District Site References numbers from DSR001 DSR073 however there are not 73 parcels which is a result of refining and merging of the parcels as explained above.
- 4.3 In some cases the outer boundary of the strategic parcel adjoins neighbouring local authority areas. Potential cross-boundary considerations have been identified in the Stage 1 Review and it is intended that any cross-boundary considerations will be explored in more detail in the Stage 2 Review.

Appraisal of Green Belt Parcels

4.4 The criteria /questions used to appraise the Green Belt parcels are set out along with the Glossary of Terminology in the accompanying methodology. The criteria /question numbers correspond with the answers provided in the appraisals. The scoring method from the Green Belt Review Methodology is provided in the following table.

Score		
0	No Contribution	
1	Weak	
2	Relatively Weak	
3	Moderate	
4	Relatively Strong	
5	Strong	

Figure 3 – Parcel Scoring Method

- 4.5 Physical surveys of the parcels were undertaken from June November 2014 and desktop materials utilised throughout the preparation of the appraisals including online mapping and EFDC's GIS system.
- 4.6 The appraisals for the 61 strategic land parcels are set out in Appendix 6.

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Figure 4: EFDC Landscape Character Assessment (2010)



GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015





Chapter 5: District-Wide Analysis of Appraisal Results

5.1 The following analysis of the appraisals focuses largely on each parcels performance against each of the purposes of the Green Belt followed by an analysis of the aggregated score against the purposes. Appendix 5 provides a table of the parcel scores against each purpose and aggregates the appraisal scores against all four purposes for eachparcel.

Purpose 1: To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas

5.2 Large built-up areas are, for the purpose of this Review, considered to be London, Harlow, Cheshunt and Hoddesdon. There are areas of the District that are contiguous with Greater London (including, Buckhurst Hill, Chigwell and to a lesser extent Loughton / Debden) however the Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper classifies the District's settlements as settlements in their own right and uses Green Belt boundaries as settlement boundaries. In addition, Epping Forest District is not technically part of Greater London. Therefore, the Review assesses the Green Belt on this basis.

Harlow

5.3 Perhaps the strongest strategic network of parcels (DSR064, 066, 067, 072, 073, 053, 007, 003 and 002) preventing sprawl are those bordering Harlow to the west, south and east. The Green Belt designation on the boundary of Harlow. There is little built development on these parcels (with parcel DSR053 being the exception) which provides evidence of the Green Belt's effectiveness around Harlow. These parcels are supported by a 'secondary' network of parcels preventing the sprawl of Harlow particularly east of the M11 and southeast of Harlow. The ridgeline to the south of Harlow does act as a strong defensible boundary against development sprawling further south of the ridgeline.

London

- 5.4 There is a strong network of parcels preventing the sprawl of London (Ilford, Woodford and Romford and their associated suburbs) at the southern District boundary (**DSR039, 038, 036, 035, 034 and 033**).
- 5.5 **DSR038** and **DSR039** are contained by the M11 to the east however these parcels act to help prevent the sprawl of Woodford Green. The River Roding, its flood plain and associated playing fields appear to have restricted the eastward growth of Woodford Green to date with the river forming an important feature in preventing sprawl.

5.6 East of the M11, Parcels DSR033, 034, 035 and 036 act as a strategic barrier to sprawl. DSR033: The parcel is adjacent to LB Havering with the southern boundary of the parcel near the northern areas of Romford. There is evidence of ribbon development at Havering-atte-Bower and Stapleford Abbots along North Road. DSR034: The built development of Hainault abuts the south west boundary of the parcel with development from Chigwell Row and Hainault nearly adjoining one another. The majority of the east and west boundaries of the parcel have relatively few discernible boundaries capable of containing development. The southern boundary of the parcel is relatively well-defined being formed by Hainault Forest Country Park SSSI., with relatively few discernible boundaries capable of containing development.

DSR035: The parcel abuts the built development of Hainault. West of Grange Hill tube station a strong defensible boundary is formed by the Central Line including to the west of Chigwell unit it meets the M11. The B173 east of Grange Hill creates a boundary however, apart from a row of trees and hedges to the north of this road, there is limited defence.

DSR036: Chigwell Golf Club and undeveloped land to the west of the A113 create two areas of undeveloped gap between Chigwell in the north and Grange Hill and Woodford Bridge in the south. Although the M11 is a strong western boundary and parts of the northern boundary created by the Central Line; the other boundaries are weak as they are formed by residential gardens.

5.7 At the southwest part of the District, parcel **DSR059** forms a strong barrier to the sprawl of London particularly at the northern section of the parcel where despite the River Lea and Gunpowder Park, there is evidence of these not preventing sprawl such as the development at Meridian Way.

DSR057 and **DSR058** form part of a strategic network preventing sprawl from London however only at the very southern end of the parcels which adjoin London. However Yardley Wood (southwest corner of DSR058) and the woodland to the east within adjoining parcel DSR-057) in places provides a strong natural southern barrier preventing the northern sprawl of London.

Cheshunt & Hoddesdon

- 5.8 Although parcels **DSR60, 061, 062, 063 and 064** are adjoined, they do not necessarily act as a network preventing the sprawl of Cheshunt and Hoddesdon from the west.
- 5.9 Parcel DSR060 is the last remaining undeveloped parcel of land to the west of Waltham Abbey (The Town Mead); however the parcel contains a number of defensible boundaries which act against sprawl from Cheshunt to the west including the A121, the Old River Lea and the Lee River Navigation. Also there is a Network of Electric Pylons running north to south parallel to the water course. Therefore, it appears that the Green Belt is only contributing moderately to the protection against sprawl with the defensible boundaries acting as a strong

deterrent.

5.10 Parcel **DSR061** includes the Lee Valley Regional Park, the River Lea, its tributaries and numerous water bodies and the railway line which form a very strong boundary to prevent potential sprawl from the west. It appears that the Green Belt is only contributing moderately to the protection against sprawl with the defensible boundaries acting as a strong deterrent.

EB704A

Report to Cabinet 3 September 2015 APPENDIX 2 GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015



Figure 6: Purpose 1 Map (To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas)

- 5.12 In relation to potential sprawl from Hoddesdon to the west, Parcel **DSR062** also benefits from strong defensible boundaries at the western boundary in the form of the canal and railway line which form the majority of its western boundary along with the extensive water bodies (filled gravel pits) which dominate the parcel. Therefore it appears that the Green Belt is only contributing moderately to the protection against sprawl with the defensible boundaries acting as a strong deterrent.
- 5.13 Parcel **DSR063** also benefits from a strong boundary to prevent sprawl of Hoddesdon created by the River Lea and Glen Faba (a 120 acre gravel pit which is now a lake and maze of gravel bars, islands, points and bays) and a number of water features situated within the Lee Valley Regional Park to the west. Development has crossed this boundary at Dobbs Weir however the majority of the site is a body of water and it appears to be only be making a relatively weak contribution to the first purpose of the Green Belt.
- 5.14 The reason for Parcel **DSR064** obtaining a strong score against the first purpose is its contribution towards preventing the sprawl of Harlow. It also makes some contribution to the prevention of Hoddesdon's sprawl however it benefits strongly from the River Stort, Marina and railway line, all acting as strong defensible boundaries to the sprawl of Hoddesdon.
- 5.15 Figure 7 (Distances between towns table) sets out the shortest distances between towns in the study area. The measurement used to determine this distance is illustrated in Figure 8. Figure 8 also illustrates distances between settlements in the study area (and large built up areas outside the study area) which are not classified as towns for information purposes only; distances between settlements not classified as towns were not considered as part of the appraisal of parcels.

Purpose 2: To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another

- 5.16 The Review considers towns to be Epping, Waltham Abbey, Loughton / Debden, Chigwell, Buckhurst Hill, Chipping Ongar, North Weald Bassett, Theydon Bois, Roydon and Lower Nazeing. This is based on the Epping Forest District Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper's classification of these settlements as 'towns' and 'large villages'. The exception to this is Lower Nazeing and Roydon, which is a 'small village' but is included at this stage given the close proximity to Broxbourne. This is explained in the Green Belt Review Methodology.
- 5.17 Thirteen gaps have been identified with six being over 3 km and seven of the gaps being under 3 km. The shortest gaps are located in the south of the District with the smallest (0.64 km) being Buckhurst Hill Loughton / Debden where the gap only consists of the open area surrounding the residential development at Fallow Fields. The gap between Theydon Bois Loughton / Debden is also very short at 0.69. To the north of Theydon Bois is the gap with Epping which is only 1.13 km.

TOWN	TOWN	DISTANCE (km)
Waltham Abbey	Buckhurst Hill	5.41
Chipping Ongar (Southern)	North Weald Bassett	4.88
Epping	Waltham Abbey	4.52
Chipping Ongar (Mid)	North Weald Bassett	4.34
Lower Nazeing	Waltham Abbey	4.12
Theydon Bois	Waltham Abbey	4.00
Loughton/Debden	Waltham Abbey	3.68
Chipping Ongar (Northern)	North Weald Bassett	3.61
Lower Nazeing	Roydon	2.77
Epping	North Weald Bassett	1.94
Chigwell	Loughton/ Debden	1.56
Buckhurst Hill	Chigwell	1.18
Epping	Theydon Bois	1.13
Theydon Bois	Loughton/Debden	.69
Buckhurst Hill	Loughton/Debden	.64

Figure 7: Distance between Towns Table

5.18 Only three parcels are appraised as having a 'relatively strong' or strong' contribution towards this purpose which are **DSR039, 042, 043 and 054**. These parcels relate to the important gaps in the south of the District namely Loughton / Debden, Theydon Bois, and Buckhurst Hill. These parcels and adjoining parcels also serve as part of the Chigwell – Loughton / Debden /Buckhurst Hill. However, the presence of the Central Underground line, the M11 and M25 serve as strong defensible boundaries helping prevent the merger of the three towns and Chigwell

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

to the east and Epping to the north. In terms of the gap between the three towns and Waltham Abbey, the gap distance is considerable and Epping Forest is situated within this gap which acts as a very strong deterrent to the coalescence of these towns with Waltham Abbey.

EB704A

Report to Cabinet 3 September 2015 APPENDIX 2 GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Figure 8: Distance between towns map



EB704A

Report to Cabinet 3 September 2015 APPENDIX 2 GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015



Figure 9: Purpose 2 Map (To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another)

- 5.19 Parcel **DSR039** forms part of gap between Buckhurst Hill and Chigwell (1.2km gap distance) and between Loughton/Debden and Chigwell (1.6km gap distance) and also performs a role in preventing a further merger of Loughton / Debden and Buckhurst Hill. There are strong eastern and southern boundaries formed by the M11 and the London underground railway track which are both as well as the River Roding running north / south through the middle of the parcel forming a strong boundary. However the majority of the boundaries within the parcel are weak boundaries comprised of residential gardens and fields. A reduction in the gap is likely to compromise the visual perception of the gap between Loughton/Debden and Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill and Chigwell; similarly the physical and visual perception of separation between Buckhurst Hill and Loughton / Debden would be further compromised.
- 5.20 Parcel **DSR042** is considered to be critical in preventing Loughton / Debden and Theydon Bois from merging. The gap distance is a mere 0.7km at its shortest length; the boundaries to north of Loughton / Debden and to the south of Theydon Bois are formed predominantly by the rear gardens of properties. The strength of the southern boundary is enhanced to some extent by a small watercourse and some mature trees however, a reduction in the gap here could lead to physical and visual coalescence.
- 5.21 Parcel DSR043 forms part of the 1.13 km gap which separates Theydon Bois and Epping. The M25 provides a very strong physical boundary to the north and restricts the potential merger of the settlements and to a lesser extent the woodland. of the parcel however there is evidence of ribbon development between the two settlements. Given the degree of visual separation provided by the M25 and woodland to the north of the parcel, some reduction of the gap is unlikely to have significant impact on the visual separation of the two settlements. For instance the 'triangular' area east of Dukes Avenue and west of the railway line (but south of the visually significant slope) performs very poorly against this purpose.
- 5.22 Parcel DSR054 forms part of the gap between the Waltham Abbey and Theydon Bois and Waltham Abbey and Loughton / Debden. Epping Forest is situated between Waltham Abbey and the other settlements so the parcel does not contribute to this purpose. However, the parcel does play a critical role in the prevention of Loughton / Debden and Theydon Bois merging and further merger of Buckhurst Hill and Loughton / Debden. The parcel also forms part of the gap between Theydon Bois and Epping.

Gaps North of the M25

5.23 The gaps north of the M25 consist of Waltham Abbey – Lower Nazeing (4.2 km), Chipping Ongar – North Weald Bassett (3.6 km), Roydon – Lower Nazeing (2.78 km), Epping – North Weald Bassett (1.9 km). Of these gaps Epping – North Weald Bassett is the shortest gap at 1.9 km however there are a number of strong boundaries between these settlements including the M11, Epping Ongar Railway and Epping

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Forest. The other gaps between settlements north of the M25 are of such considerable distance between one another the parcels score poorly against this purpose.

Purpose 3: To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment

- 5.24 The three criteria considered in the appraisal of the parcels were:
 - Does the Green Belt designation in this land parcel protect countryside that is in use for agriculture, forestry, outdoor sport and recreation, cemeteries and local transport infrastructure? (uses that constitute appropriate development based on NPPF paragraph 89, bullets 1 and 2, and paragraph 90, bullet 3).
 - Having regard to the topography of land and location relative to existing development, does the Green Belt designation in this land parcel prevent encroachment, or in some other way assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment?
 - Has there already been any significant encroachment by built developmentor other urbanising elements?
- 5.25 Figure 12 shows the contribution the parcels make in assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. This purpose scored the strongest across the District by a considerable margin with 53 parcels making a relatively strong or strong contribution to this Green Belt purpose. The vast majority of the Green Belt land in the parcels is considered to protect the countryside for uses which constitute appropriate development in the Green Belt as defined in the NPPF which reflects the strong countryside characteristics of the District.
- 5.26 In terms of the topography of land location relative to existing development, the appraisals relied heavily on the Settlement Edge Landscape Sensitivity Study (SELSS) (January 2010) to help identify 'visually significant slopes' near settlements throughout the District. Where such slopes were identified and were considered to help safeguard the countryside from encroachment, the Green Belt was considered less effective in fulfilling its purpose. However, where this was the case, the visually significant slopes did not cover the entire parcel so the Green Belt was still considered to make some contribution towards meeting this criterion. Although the SELSS includes some Green Belt assessment work, this was not the main purpose of the study and it was undertaken prior to the publication of the NPPF. The findings of the study in relation to Green Belt were not taken forward by the Council and have not been taken account of as part of this Green Belt Review.
- 5.27 The following areas are considered to have topography which may prevent encroachment, however these will need more detailed consideration in Stage 2 of the Green Belt Review:
 - **DSR005:** Topography at the south of Sheering.
 - **DSR015:** Topography at the northern edge of Chipping Ongar.
 - DSR036: Strong slope at the urban edges of Chigwell to the north;

Hainault and Grange Hill to the south.

- **DSR041:** The land that abuts Theydon Bois, to the east, encompasses a strongly undulating topography. However there are some areas of level land at the urban edge of the Theydon Bois, along the railway line, to the east.
- **DSR042:** The parcel abuts Theydon Bois, to the north and Loughton, to the south, the land mostly encompasses a strongly undulating topography, which creates ridges and slopes.
- **DSR043:** The parcel mostly encompasses a strongly undulating topography, which creates ridges and slopes preventing enroachment to the north of Theydon Bois.
- **DSR048:** The topography east of Coopersale village, may help prevent encroachment.
- **DSR049:** The slope to the north of Epping is some distance from the existing development of the town and the character of land near Epping is open. The high proportion of tree coverage to the north east of the parcel does help prevent encroachment.
- **DSR050:** There is a visually significant slope to the east of Thornwood which may prevent encroachment.
- DSR054: There are visually significant slopes in relation to existing development in the following locations which may be helping prevent encroachment: Theydon Bois north, northwest and south of the settlement. Loughton Debden west and southwest. Buckhurst Hill north (south of the housing estate in the gap between Buckhurst Hill and Loughton / Debden) and north- west of Buckhurst Hill.
- **DSR064:** To the west and southeast of Roydon the topography is considered to be visually significant and may prevent encroachment.
- **DSR066:** Northeast of Lower Nazeing. The site has an undulating topography with a number of visually significant slopes (most notable to the northeast of Lower Nazeing, Clays Hill, Totwell Hill and Betts Lane) affording long views across undeveloped agricultural land and large nurseries.
- **DSR067:** Visually significant slopes, at the urban edge of Lower Nazeing, to the south. The ridge line in the east of the parcel is some distance from

Harlow which therefore would not necessarily prevent encroachment from

Harlow.

- 5.28 In order to estimate the level of encroachment that has already occurred in the Green Belt parcel a desk-based analysis was undertaken using satellite and Ordinance Survey mapping. Anything considered as inappropriate development in the Green Belt was considered countryside encroachment, so agriculture, forestry, nurseries, outdoor leisure/sport, transport infrastructure, gravel mining, cemeteries etc. were not considered to be encroachment.
- 5.29 The Green Belt policy boundaries were unaltered between 1986 and 2015 and it is

this time period (1986-2015) that was used to determine in the Green Belt parcel analysis. The current level of encroachment into the countryside (summarised in Figure 10 below) is approximately 98.32 hectares (0.31% of the Green Belt).

Figure 10: Summary of Countryside Encroachment 1986 – 2015

1986 Green Belt	31,540 Ha
1986 - 2015 Encroachment	96.32 Ha
% Encroached 1986 -2015	0.31%

- 5.30 The majority of the parcels have been encroached by less than 1.5% and less than 5 hectares since 1986 with thirty-two parcels being assessed as having no encroachment (0%), demonstrating that the Green Belt has been very effective in the protection of the countryside for the past twenty-nine years. The exceptions to this are the following parcels.
 - **DSR011 (East of North Weald Bassett):** The parcel has been encroached by approximately 1.49% (5.12 hectares) of built development or other urbanising elements, at the southwest boundary where the Tempest Mead residential area has been developed. This should be considered for release with the precise boundaries considered in the Stage 2 Review.
 - DSR054 (Epping Forest East of Epping New Road): The parcel has been encroached by approx. 1.03% (9.61hectares) of built development in the form of the housing estate between Buckhurst Hill and Loughton / Debden.
 - **DSR059 (Sewardstone):** The parcel has been encroached by approx. 10.85% (35.47 hectares) a Meridian Way at the northern end of the parcel and at Gillwell Hill at the southern end of the parcel.

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015



Figure 11: Countryside Encroachment – Indicative Areas (1986 – 2015)

.

- 5.31 Figure 12 below illustrates the overall scoring for the third purpose of the Green Belt with all but two of the parcels being assessed as making a 'strong' or 'relatively strong' contribution to the Green Belt purpose. These two parcels are:
 - **DSR038 (Southwest of M11 and the London Underground Fairlop Loop):** Much of the southwestern boundary of this parcel is used for outdoor sports and recreation however no or very little land is used for agriculture. The remaining land consists of a disused sewage treatment works with patches of woodland and overall the countryside is of rather low quality in this parcel.
 - **DSR059 (Sewardstone):** The parcel has been encroached by 35.47 hectares (10.85%) at Meridian Way at the northern end of the parcel and at Gillwell Hill at the southern end of the parcel. This is considered to be a significant amount of encroachment and considerably higher level of encroachment than any other parcel

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015





Purpose 4: To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

- 5.32 Figure 13 shows the contribution the parcels make toward the purpose of preserving the setting and special character of historic towns. Historic towns in Epping Forest District are Epping, Chipping Ongar and Waltham Abbey as defined in the Essex County Council supplementary planning guidance Essex Historic Towns (1990) and the Epping Forest District Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper.
- 5.33 Only three parcels were considered to make a 'relatively strong' or 'strong' contribution to this Green Belt purpose and are individually explained below. It is important to note that there are certain areas of these parcels that perform strongly against this purpose however other parts of the parcel appear to provide less protection to the historic town and its setting which should be considered in greater detail in the Stage 2 Review.
 - **DSR023** (East of Chipping Ongar): The parcel boarders a large section of Chipping Ongar's historic core, which contains a large number of listed buildings and one Scheduled Monument (Ongar Castle). The Great Stony Park conservation area is sited on the northern edge of the historic town is entirely within Green Belt land. The removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land that abuts the urban edge would cause harm to the historic linear pattern of the town. However, the Green Belt appears to be making little contribution to this purpose in the eastern section of this parcel.
 - DSR061 (Lee Valley Park): The parcel adjoins the north western edge of the historic town of Waltham Abbey. The historic town centre core and the Abbey Gardens comprise the Waltham Abbey Conservation Area. The Royal Gunpowder Factory is also a conservation area (as well as the Abbey Gardens and Royal Gunpowder Factory containing scheduled monuments) which are within Green Belt land, north of the settlement. The parcel boundary abuts the historic core of town and the Abbey, at the north western settlement boundary, which is largely within a conservation area. There are 68 buildings listed as being of special architectural or historic interest within the historic town, of which 21 are within the Royal Gunpowder Factory. Given the strong physical and visual relationship between the historic town and the open land, it is likely that the removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from urbanising development on that land would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets.
 - **DSR070 (North West of Epping):** The parcel adjoins the northwest boundary of the historic town of Epping. The removal of the Green Belt designation to the east of Lindsay Street will not necessarily harm the open setting of the Epping Conservation Area, however, it would eliminate the visual connection and long vistas shared between Epping

and Epping Upland. The openness of the Green Belt here affords views of Epping's three towers which plot the route of the High Street (Victorian water tower, St John's Church tower, and the Civic Office tower), and Epping Upland Church can be seen in some views out of Epping. These views are an important aspect of the significance and setting of the town and its heritage assets.




Aggregated Parcel Scores

- 5.34 Appendix 5 aggregates the appraisal scores against all four purposes for each parcel. Out of 20 points possible average score obtained was 7.9; the median score was 8; and the mode was 5. The highest score achieved was 13 points with three parcels achieving this score:
 - DSR061 (Lee Valley Park)
 - DSR039 (East of Buckhurst Hill)
 - DSR035 (Land North, West and East of Chigwell)
- 5.35 There are a number of parcels, which were appraised as making little or no contribution to the majority of the purposes. Parcels DSR005 (North of Sheering), DSR029 (North East of M11/M25 Interchange), and DSR048 (East of Coopersale), scored the least points being appraised at 4 points each.
- 5.36 As explained previously in the Report, the appraisals demonstrate that a 'relatively strong' or 'strong' contribution is made to at least one of the Green Belt purposes in each parcel.
- 5.37 59 of 61 parcels were appraised as making a 'relatively strong' or 'strong' contribution to the third purpose. The Green Belt is clearly serving this purpose of helping safeguard the countryside from encroachment very well, and there is no similar level of uniformity within the scores against other purposes. It would therefore be useful to ascertain if there are any parcels which only contribute to this purpose and not the other purposes. There are 18 parcels that fit this description of only contributing to the third purpose which are listed below and should be incorporated (in whole or in part as appropriate) in the further phases of the Review:
 - DSR005 North of Sheering
 - DSR006 North of Matching Tye
 - DSR014 West of Shelley
 - DSR017 North East of High Ongar
 - DSR018 North of Norton Heath
 - DSR020 North and West of Willingale
 - DSR021 The Rodings
 - DSR022 Nine Ashes
 - DSR025 South East of North Weald Bassett
 - DSR026 South and North of North Weald Bassett
 - DSR027 South of North Weald Bassett
 - DSR029 North East of M11/M25 interchange
 - DSR030 North and West of Abridge
 - DSR045 South East of Epping
 - DSR046 East of Epping
 - DSR047 Mill Mound East of Epping
 - DSR048 East of Coopersale
 - DSR050 North, East and South of Thornwood

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015



Figure 14: Green Belt Parcel Overall Aggregated Contribution Map

- 5.38 There are particular areas of parcels which performed less well than the rest of the parcel which are set out below and should be assessed in more detail in further phases of the Review:
 - **DSR011 (East of North Weald Bassett)** The residential development of Tempest Mead is currently located in the Green Belt.
 - **DSR016 (North East of Chipping Ongar)** Residential development appears to have slightly breached the existing Green Belt boundary around the Ongar Leisure Centre. The Fyfield Business and Research Park east of Fyfield Road has also been developed in the Green Belt.
 - **DSR023 (East of Chipping Ongar)** This is a large parcel which stretches from the east of Chipping Ongar to High Ongar and further beyond. The area to the east of High Ongar does not contribute to the fourth purpose of the Green Belt and this parcel should be considered in closer detail in the Stage 2 Review.
 - **DSR034 (North of Hainault Forest)** Abridge Park, which is a residential development south of London Road at the west of Abridge which occurred prior to 1986. It is located within the existing Green Belt boundary and therefore does not contribute to the purposes of the Green Belt.
 - **DSR043 (North of Theydon Bois)** The 'triangular' area east of Dukes Avenue, Theydon Bois and west of the railway line (but south of the visually significant slope) performs very poorly against the second purpose.
 - **DSR044 (South West of Epping)** Although the overall parcel scored 'relatively strong' in relation to the fourth purpose the area South of Ivy Chimneys Road is considered to make 'no contribution' to the fourth purpose.
 - **DSR053 (South of Harlow Common)** Although the parcel was appraised as making a 'moderate' contribution' to the third purpose of the Green Belt the parcel has been encroached by built development (the petrol station off the A414) with other potential existing encroachment at the northwest (housing) and western boundaries (pub, car dealership) which should be considered further in the Stage 2 Review.
 - **DSR057 (Epping Forest West of Epping Road)** The Green Belt has been encroached towards the north-western boundary (north of the A121, south of the M25 and west of Woodbine Close Park) particularly where a caravan park is in the Green Belt. This area of the parcel makes 'no contribution' to the purposes of the Green Belt.
 - DSR060 (South of Waltham Abbey) The overall parcel was appraised as making a 'relatively weak' contribution to the fourth purpose due to the western section of the parcel (Town Mead, Waltham Abbey) which is in close proximity to the historic town however for the southern and eastern section are considered to make 'no contribution' to this purpose.
 - **DSR069 (East of Waltham Abbey & West of Epping)** There is a particularly heavy concentration of encroachment west of Woodgreen Road and east of Waltham Abbey in the form of offices, storage, housing and equestrian related businesses. This area of the parcel performs considerably worse than the rest of the parcel for the third purpose.

Chapter 6: Broad Locations for Further Assessment

- 6.1 Initial analysis has identified some areas of the District for further assessment (see Chapter 5 above). It is also necessary to consider land with a direct relationship to existing settlements in more detail, in accordance with the NPPF (para 84). The analysis at Stage 1 has necessarily been strategic in nature, and may therefore have disguised smaller areas which perform differently to the larger parcel. In order to ensure these smaller areas are assessed in detail, phases 4 and 5 of the Stage 1 methodology set out how Broad Locations for Further Assessment will be identified. Whilst it is important to focus on the nature and role of the Green Belt policy, this cannot be undertaken entirely in isolation of other available evidence. The Green Belt in these areas will be considered for (i) minor amendments to the Green Belt boundary where anomalies have been created over time; (ii) continued confirmation that land should remain in the Green Belt or (iii) potential release from the Green Belt for development purposes.
- 6.2 The Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper identifies the categories of settlements that exist in Epping Forest District as Town, Large Village, Small village and hamlet The Stage 1 methodology goes on to identify that the most sustainable locations to be considered in detail for potential Green Belt boundary change are those around the towns, large villages and small villages. There are 19 settlements that fall within these categories. In addition there are five hamlets that have been identified for review. These hamlets have been identified as exceptions because there are either (i) known development management concerns around the potential erosion of Green Belt policy (High Beach); (ii) the hamlet is within an area of the District that is in close proximity to Harlow, where detailed assessment is necessary (Lower Sheering); or (iii) the Green Belt currently "washes over" a village where the continued designation should be assessed for suitability (Moreton and Willingale).

Category	Settlement
Town	Chipping Ongar, Epping, Loughton/Debden, Waltham Abbey
Large Village	Chigwell, North Weald, Theydon Bois, Buckhurst Hill
Small Village	Abridge, Chigwell Row, Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Nazeing, "Matching" (incorporating Matching Green, Matching Tye and Matching), Sheering, Stapleford Abbotts, Thornwood, Roydon
Hamlet	High Beach, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstone, Willingale

Figure 15: Settlements	identified for further	Green Belt Review
------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015



Figure 16: Settlement categories defined by the Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper

- 6.3 The following environmental constraints have been mapped, and excluded from further consideration:
 - Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (April 2015) showing zones 2, 3 and 3b (Zone 1 applies to all land outside of zones 2, 3 and 3b)
 - Special Protection Areas (SPA)
 - Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)
 - Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
 - Local Nature Reserves (LNR)
 - City of London Corporation Epping Forest Buffer land (land owned and managed by the City of London Corporation, which although not a formal part of the Forest, is not available for development)
- 6.4 In all cases legislation protects these areas, and there is little merit in further considering these areas for potential development. The application of these constraints has restricted the land that could potentially be available for development across the District, but particularly around Buckhurst Hill and Loughton/Debden, to the west of Ongar, to the north west of Theydon Bois and the north of Abridge. There are fewer restrictions around other settlements in the District, and on the periphery of Harlow.
- 6.5 The methodology has determined that it would not be reasonable to continue to detailed assessment of areas of the District that are remote from services and facilities. In order to define the areas of the District that should be assessed in more detail, buffers defined by distance from key services have been applied to each settlement type:

•	Town	2 km
•	Large village	1 km
•	Small village	0.5 km

6.6 The buffers used reflect the Essex County Council Accessibility Assessment, in that 2km (approx. 1 ¼ miles) represents a maximum reasonable walking distance. The ECC assessment is in turn based on Department for Transport guidance. Where designated town centres or local shopping parades exist, the appropriate buffer has been drawn from the boundary of this. In addition, further buffers are added using the Central Line stations (8) and main line rail stations (2) where they exist. Lastly, buffers will be created from bus stops within existing settlements, as identified by the Essex County Council Accessibility Assessment. This work has already taken account of the frequency of bus services, and has subsequently helped to inform the position of settlements in the hierarchy. Where defensible boundaries exist, the buffer areas have been adjusted to create logical areas of search in Green Belt terms.

Settlement	Description
Harlow	2km buffer taken from the District boundary, as to take this from the Town Centre and the stations would not provide a sufficient area of search around Harlow. The identified area is extended to join the area around Lower Sheering, Roydon, Thornwood and Epping Upland to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements. The M11 provides a strong defensible boundary, therefore land to the east of the motorway is not included.
Town	For all towns, 2km buffer from town centre boundaries, Central Line/rail stations (where appropriate) and bus stops within the existing settlement boundaries.
Chipping Ongar	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer is restricted by absolute constraints to the east and north west. The remaining land aligns with Church Lane, Ongar Road (B184) and Herons Lane to the south; and Mutton Row and a watercourse to the west.
Epping	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer is bounded by the M11 to the east, the M25 to the south and absolute constraints to the north and west. The identified area is extended to join the area around Coopersale and Thornwood to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Loughton/Debden	There is a limited amount of land remaining outside of the areas covered by absolute constraints. Given the continuous built nature of Loughton/ Debden and Buckhurst Hill, all land in the Green Belt within the defined buffer has been included for further assessment. The identified area is extended to join the area around Theydon Bois to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Waltham Abbey	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer is bounded by absolute constraints to the north, east, west and south west. Dowding Way (A121) provides a strong defensible boundary; therefore land south of Dowding Way (A121) is not included.
Large Village	For all large villages, 1km buffer from town centre/local shopping parade boundaries, Central Line/rail stations (where appropriate) and bus stops within the existing settlement boundaries.
Buckhurst Hill	There is little land remaining outside of the areas covered by absolute constraints. Given the continuous built nature of Buckhurst Hill and Loughton/ Debden, all land in the Green Belt within the defined buffer has been included for further assessment.
Chigwell	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer extends to Chigwell Lane, Abridge Road, Pudding Lane, Gravel Lane and

Figure 17: Creation of Broad Locations for Further Assessment

Settlement	Description
	Miller's Lane to the north east, the M11 to the north west and the District boundary to the south. The identified area joins the area around Chigwell Row and Buckhurst Hill to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
North Weald	The identified land is bounded by absolute constraints to the north, the M11 to the west and the Epping to Ongar Heritage railway track to the south. The remaining land to the east is defined by the 1km buffer and tracks.
Theydon Bois	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer is bounded by the M11 to the east, the M25 the north and absolute constraints to the north and west. The identified area is extended to join the area around Loughton and Epping to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Small Village	For all small villages, 0.5km buffer from local shopping parade boundaries, Central Line/rail stations (where appropriate) and bus stops within the existing settlement boundaries.
Abridge	The identified area is restricted by absolute constraints to the north west. The remaining land is defined by the 0.5km buffer.
Chigwell Row	The identified area is extended to join the area around Chigwell to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Coopersale	The identified area is extended to join the area around Epping to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Fyfield	The identified area is defined by the 0.5km buffer and Norwood End to the North. The area has been extended to include existing development along Ongar Road and Willingale Road.
High Ongar	The identified area is defined by absolute constraints to the west and Chelmsford Road to the north.
Lower Nazeing	The identified area is defined by absolute constraints to the west, Laundry Lane, Cemetery Lane and Perry Hill to the south. The area has been extended to join the area around Harlow and Roydon to the south, to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Matching	The identified area is defined by the 0.5km buffer around Matching Green, Matching Tye and Matching. The identified area has been extended to include the land between Matching Green, Matching Tye and Matiching to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Roydon	The identified area is extended to join the area around Harlow and Lower Nazeing to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.
Sheering	The identified area is defined by the District boundary to the east, the M11 to the west and by the 0.5km buffer to the north and south.

Settlement	Description					
Stapleford Abbotts	The identified area is defined by the 0.5km buffer and the District boundary to the south.					
Thornwood	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer is bounded by the M11 to the east and absolute constraints to the south. The remaining land is extended to join the area around Epping and Harlow to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.					
Hamlet	No uniform buffer created for the smallest settlements, where it is necessary to use a buffer the 0.5km buffer is used as being most appropriate to the size of settlement.					
Epping Green	The identified area is extended to join the area around Harlow and Epping to ensure the continuous assessment of the land between settlements.					
High Beach	The identified area is restricted by absolute constraints to the west and to the east. The remaining land is defined by the 0.5km buffer.					
Moreton	The identified area is defined by the 0.5km buffer and North Lane Track to the north east.					
Lower Sheering	The identified area is extended to join the area around Harlow to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.					
Sewardstone	The Green Belt land within the defined buffer by Hawes Lane and Sewardstone Road to the north, tracks to the east and absolute constraints to the south and to the west. The identified area is extended to join Gilwell Hill and the District boundary to the south, to ensure a continuous assessment of the land between settlements.					
Willingale	The identified area is defined by Shellow Road to the north, Fyfield Road, Wood Lane and tracks to the south. The remaining land is defined by the 0.5km buffer.					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Figure 18: Broad Locations for further assessment



Chapter 7: Conclusions

- 7.1 The Metropolitan Green Belt around London, and specifically within Epping Forest District has performed a key role in restricting development and the further outwards spread of London over the last 70 years. The Green Belt in Epping Forest District has remained largely unchanged since its original designation in the mid 1950s.
- 7.2 Using extensive criteria to provide an assessment matrix, 61 parcels of land have been assessed against the first four purposes of the Green Belt. The fifth purpose is considered to be strategic in nature, and therefore an assessment across the District has determined that the Green Belt has been broadly successful in steering development to locations that assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. The assessment criteria have resulted in each parcel being scored on a scale of 0 (no contribution) to 5 (strong contribution). A further aggregate score has been created, although it must be emphasised that this aggregate score is for indicative purposes only, as it could mask the importance of a single score in the overall performance of a parcel.
- 7.3 Chapter 5 explains in detail how each parcel performs in respect of each of the first four purposes of the Green Belt. In broad conclusion, it is observed that in respect of the first purpose "to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas" that parcels in the south, west and north of the District have been successful in restricting the sprawl of London, Cheshunt/Hoddesdon/Waltham Cross and Harlow respectively.
- 7.4 The second purpose "to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another" has been assessed using information on the distances between towns within the District. For the purposes of this stage of the work, only settlements defined as towns and large villages have been measured. More detailed assessment of smaller settlements, and the role of the Green Belt in preventing merging will be completed in the next stage of the study.
- 7.5 The analysis has shown that the Green Belt around Loughton/Buckhurst Hill and Theydon Bois has been particularly effective in preventing the merging of settlements. In this broad area of the District, the settlements are close together, with the gaps between them being relatively small.
- 7.6 The assessment against the third purpose of the Green Belt "to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment", has found that there are a number areas of the District where the topography of the land also plays a role in restricting development i.e. by the presence of a steep gradient, thereby reducing the policy role of the Green Belt designation. Secondly, a measure of the degree to which the Green Belt has suffered encroachment by inappropriate development amounts to 0.31% of Green Belt land since 1986 (96.32 ha). The assessment for all but two

parcels found that the contribution to this purposes was "strong" or "relatively strong".

- 7.7 In respect of preserving the setting and special character of historic towns, only three parcels were found to make a "relatively strong" or "strong" contribution. There are only three identified "historic towns" in Epping Forest District (Chipping Ongar, Epping and Waltham Abbey), and only one parcel in respect of each of those towns that indicates a strong relationship and positive contribution to the setting of the town. In all other cases, the land parcels were not found to contribute strongly to this purpose.
- 7.8 The appraisals demonstrate that a 'relatively strong' or 'strong' contribution is made to at least one of the Green Belt purposes in each parcel. The aggregated scores showed the three parcels that achieved the highest scores are DSR035 (Land North, West and East of Chigwell), DSR039 (East of Buckhurst Hill), and DSR061 (Lee Valley Park). At the other end of the spectrum, there are a number of parcels which were appraised as making little or no contribution to the majority of the purposes. Parcels DSR005 (North of Sheering), DSR006 (North of Matching Tye), DSR029 (North East of M11/M25 Interchange) and DSR048 (East of Coopersale), scored the least points being appraised at 4 points each.
- 7.9 The initial assessment against the first four purposes of the Green Belt has indicated there are some areas of the District that do not perform as strongly in Green Belt terms as others. This information has been taken into account when determining the areas that should be considered in more detail in Stage 2 of the Study. There is little purpose in continuing to detailed assessment of the performance of Green Belt policy in areas that are remote from services and facilitates, and that are protected by a designation based in legislation. In both cases these areas would not be favoured for development.
- 7.10 To facilitate identifying the areas that are most suitable for further assessment, a number of broad locations have been identified. These areas are shown in Figure 18 in the main report, and include the areas where development may be more likely to be favoured as it would be in a more sustainable location. The areas that have been identified for further investigation in paragraph 5.37 are included in whole or in part within the broad locations for further assessment.

EB704A

Report to Cabinet 3 September 2015 APPENDIX 2 GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Appendices

Appendix 1: Green Belt Parcel Assessment Criteria

First Purpose: Check the Unrestricted Sprawl of Large Built Up Area (Built up areas are London, Harlow, Cheshunt and Hoddesdon)

1) Does the parcel act, in itself, as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas outside of the study area, specifically London and Harlow, Cheshunt and Hoddesdon?

2) Does the parcel contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the sprawl of these built-up areas?

3) Are there any defensible boundaries within the parcel (see definition for defensible boundary) which act as an effective barrier against sprawl from large-built-up areas outside of the study area specifically London, Harlow Cheshunt and Hoddesdon?

Second Purpose: Prevent Neighbouring Towns from Merging (Towns are Epping, Waltham Abbey, Loughton / Debden, Chigwell, Buckhurst Hill, Chipping Ongar, North Weald Bassett, Theydon Bois, Roydon and Lower Nazeing)

4) Does the parcel itself provide, or form part of, a gap or space between towns?

5) Are there any defensible boundaries within the parcel (see definition for defensible boundary) which prevent neighbouring towns from merging?

6) What is the distance (km) of the gap between the towns?

7) Is there evidence of ribbon development on well used thoroughfares between towns (B roads and larger)?

8) What is the visual perception of the gap between the towns' well used thorough fares?

9) Would a reduction in the gap compromise the separation of towns in physical terms?

10) Would a reduction in the gap compromise the separation of towns and the overall openness of the parcel visually?

Third Purpose: Assist in Safeguarding the Countryside from Encroachment

11) Does the Green Belt designation in this land parcel protect countryside that is in use for agriculture, forestry, outdoor sport and recreation, cemeteries and local transport infrastructure (uses that constitute appropriate development based on NPPF paragraph 89, bullets 1 and 2, and paragraph 90, bullet 3)?

12) Having regard to the topography of land and location relative to existing development, does the Green Belt designation in this land parcel prevent encroachment, or in some other way assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment?

13) Has there already been any significant encroachment by built development or other urbanising elements?

Fourth Purpose: Preserve the Setting and special Character of Historic Towns (Historic Towns are Chipping Ongar, Waltham Abbey, Epping. Sawbridgeworth which is located in East Herts was also included as 'historic town' due to its proximity to EFDC)

14) Are there any historic towns (Chipping Ongar, Waltham Abbey, Epping and Sawbridgeworth) within or adjacent to the parcel?

15) To what extent is Green Belt land within the setting of the historic towns and/ or any heritage assets within those towns, especially those closest to the settlement boundary?

16) Does the open character of the Green Belt land contribute positively to the historic significance of the town and/or heritage assets within the town?

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

17) Would the removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from urbanising development on that land, cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets?

Appendix 2: Glossary of Terminology

Countryside	The land and scenery of a rural area. (Oxford Dictionary online)
Defensible Boundary	A physical feature which is readily recognisable marking the limit or dividing line of an area which is likely to be permanent. (Based on Oxford Dictionary online). Such features include a wall, woodland, watercourse, body of water, main roads or other significant piece of infrastructure.
Encroachment	A gradual advance beyond usual or acceptable limits. (Oxford Dictionary online)
Historic Town	Chipping Ongar, Waltham Abbey and Epping
Large Built Up Areas	London, Harlow, Cheshunt and Hoddesdon
Merging	This can be by way of general sprawl (above) or ribbon development (see below);
Neighbouring towns	Towns or villages that function as towns (see Settlement Hierarchy Technical Paper).
Openness	Absence of built development or other urbanising elements (not openness in a landscape character sense - topography and woodland / hedgerow cover).
Ribbon development	The building of houses along a main road, especially one leading out of a town or village'. (Oxford Dictionary Online) This includes historical patterns of, or current pressures for, the spread of all forms of development along movement corridors, particularly major roads.
Sprawl	Spread out over a large area in an untidy or irregular way. (Oxford Dictionary online)
Thoroughfare	A road or path forming a route between two places (Oxford Dictionary online). B roads higher classification will be considered.
Urban	In, relating to, or characteristic, of a town or city. (Oxford Dictionary online)

Appendix 3: Relevant Essex & Southend-on-Sea Structure Plan (2001) Green Belt Policies

POLICY C1 General Extent of the Green Belt

A Green Belt will be maintained in the south and west of the Plan area. The main purposes of including land within this Green Belt are to:-

- 1. Prevent the outward spread of London's built-up area;
- 2. Prevent neighbouring towns located within the Belt from merging into one another;

3. Assist in safeguarding the open countryside surrounding London from encroachment by urban development;

4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns located within the Belt; and,

5. Assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other land located within existing urban areas.

The precise boundaries of the Green Belt's extent will be defined in adopted Local Plans. However, as a general indication, the outer boundary should start at the County boundary with Hertfordshire to the north-west of Bishop's Stortford, then to run eastwards to meet the M11 motorway which it should follow southwards as far as the crossing with the A1060 road. The boundary should then follow the A1060 road to the western edge of Chelmsford, adjoin the west and south sides of that town to meet the A130 road, which it should follow southwards to Rettendon Place before turning eastwards towards South Woodham Ferrers. From the western boundary of that town it should then run south to the River Crouch which it should follow to the confluence with the River Roach. The boundary should then run west of Foulness Island to meet the Thames estuary from where it should run westwards along the estuary, excluding existing built-up areas, as far as its boundary with Thurrock Borough.

POLICY C3 Green Belt Boundaries around Settlements

The Green Belt is defined tightly against London's continuously built-up area. The boundaries around towns and villages will be defined by reference to the foreseen long-term expansion of their built-up areas acceptable in the context of the stated purposes of the Green Belt and to the provisions specified in this Plan.

POLICY C4 Review of Inner Green Belt Boundaries

The Local Planning Authorities, in consultation with the Joint Structure Plan Authorities, should undertake a comprehensive and co-ordinated review of inner Green Belt boundaries, including safeguarded land. The review will be an input to current Local Plan reviews; a First Alteration to this Plan, prepared in response to new Regional Planning Guidance; and the consequent further round of Local Plan reviews. The review should have regard to the principles laid down in Policy C3.

Appendix 4: Countryside Encroachment Table (1986 – 2015)

GBR Parcel	Parcel Size (Hectare)	Encroachment 1986 – 2015 (Hectare)	Encroachment %
DSR-001	25.82	0.00	0.00%
DSR-002	201.80	0.00	0.00%
DSR-003	98.60	0.00	0.00%
DSR-004	204.00	0.00	0.00%
DSR-005	233.80	0.36	0.16%
DSR-006	973.10	2.42	0.25%
DSR-007	218.60	0.00	0.00%
DSR-008	978.20	0.03	0.00%
DSR-010	482.30	0.00	0.00%
DSR-011	343.20	5.12	1.49%
DSR-013	160.40	0.00	0.00%
DSR-014	129.90	0.00	0.00%
DSR-015	2524.00	4.26	0.17%
DSR-016	681.60	3.04	0.45%
DSR-017	193.20	0.00	0.00%
DSR-018	1790.00	0.46	0.03%
DSR-020	343.00	0.19	0.06%
DSR-021	2043.00	4.24	0.21%
DSR-022	480.50	0.21	0.04%
DSR-023	486.10	1.31	0.27%
DSR-024	2212.00	0.00	0.00%
DSR-025	602.40	0.41	0.07%
DSR-026	106.00	0.00	0.00%
DSR-027	418.20	0.00	0.00%
DSR-029	260.60	0.00	0.00%
DSR-030	895.50	1.90	0.21%
DSR-033	1130.00	1.61	0.14%
DSR-034	815.00	0.63	0.08%
DSR-035	792.80	0.88	0.11%
DSR-036	108.70	0.00	0.00%
DSR-038	42.70	0.00	0.00%
DSR-039	260.20	3.28	1.26%
DSR-041	316.40	0.00	0.00%
DSR-042	107.70	4.67	4.30%
DSR-043	105.20	0.00	0.00%
DSR-044	69.38	0.00	0.00%
DSR-045	127.80	0.50	0.39%
DSR-046	183.10	0.00	0.00%

DSR-047	16.26	0.00	0.00%
DSR-048	68.50	0.00	0.00%
DSR-049	156.70	0.00	0.00%
DSR-050	250.40	0.00	0.00%
DSR-053	83.35	0.38	0.46%
DSR-054	930.00	9.61	1.03%
DSR-057	789.30	0.00	0.00%
DSR-058	806.80	0.00	0.00%
DSR-059	326.80	35.47	10.85%
DSR-060	90.41	0.00	0.00%
DSR-061	829.30	4.79	0.58%
DSR-062	165.50	1.74	1.05%
DSR-063	122.40	0.00	0.00%
DSR-064	310.20	3.11	1.00%
DSR-065	22.82	0.00	0.00%
DSR-066	763.40	2.14	0.28%
DSR-067	969.20	0.34	0.03%
DSR-068	745.10	2.05	0.28%
DSR-069	1492.00	1.15	0.08%
DSR-070	500.10	0.00	0.00%
DSR-071	38.17	0.00	0.00%
DSR-072	565.90	0.00	0.00%
DSR-073	344.30	0.00	0.00%
Total	31531.71	96.32	0.31%

Appendix 5: Green Belt Parcel Appraisal Summary Table

	Purpose 1 (Sprawl)	Purpose 2 (Towns Merging)	Purpose 3 (Countryside Encroachment)	Purpose 4 (Historic Towns)	Total
Parcel Reference					
DSR001 - South East of Sawbridgeworth	4	0	5	1	10
DSR002 - East and South East of Lower Sheering	5	0	5	0	10
DSR003 – East of Old Harlow	5	0	5	0	10
DSR004 – West of Sheering	3	0	5	0	8
DSR005 – North of Sheering	0	0	4	0	4
DSR006 – North of Matching Tye	0	0	5	0	5
DSR007 – East of Church Langley	5	0	5	0	10
DSR008 – North of North Weald Bassett	3	2	5	0	10
DSR010 – West & North West of North Weald Bassett	3	2	5	0	10
DSR011 – East of North Weald Bassett	0	2	5	0	7
DSR013 – West of Chipping Ongar	0	1	5	2	8
DSR014 – West of Shelley	0	0	5	0	5
DSR015 – North of Chipping Ongar	3	0	5	0	8
DSR016 – North East of Chipping Ongar	0	0	5	1	6
DSR017 – North East of High Ongar	0	0	5	0	5
DSR018 – North of Norton Heath	0	0	5	0	5
DSR020 – West and North of Willingale	0	0	5	0	5
DSR021 – The Rodings	0	0	5	0	5
DSR022 – Nine Ashes	0	0	5	0	5
DSR023 – East of Chipping Ongar	0	0	5	5	10
DSR024 – West and South West of Chipping Ongar	0	0	5	2	7

DSR025 – South East of North Weald Bassett	0	0	5	0	5
DSR026 – South of North Weald Bassett	0	0	5	0	5
DSR027 – South West of North Weald Bassett	0	0	5	0	5
DSR029 – North East of M11/M25 interchange	0	0	4	0	4
DSR030 – North and West of Abridge	0	0	5	0	5
DSR033 – Land Surrounding Stapleford Abbotts	3	0	5	0	8
DSR034 – North of Hainault Forest	4	0	5	0	9
DSR035 – Land North, West and East of Chigwell	5	3	5	0	13
DSR036 – Land South of Chigwell	4	3	4	0	11
DSR038 – Southwest of M11 and London Underground Fairlop Loop	4	3	2	0	9
DSR039 – East of Buckhurst Hill	3	5	5	0	13
DSR041 – East of Theydon Bois	0	2	4	0	6
DSR042 – South of Theydon Bois and North of Loughton / Debden	0	5	4	0	9
DSR043 – North of Theydon Bois	0	4	4	0	8
DSR044 – South West of Epping	0	1	5	3	9
DSR045 – South East of Epping	0	0	5	0	5
DSR046 – East of Epping	0	0	5	0	5
DSR047 – Mill Mound - East of Epping	0	0	5	0	5
DSR048 – East of Coopersale	0	0	4	0	4
DSR049 – North East of Epping	0	2	4	2	8
DSR050 – North, East and South of Thornwood	3	0	4	0	7
DSR053 – South of Harlow Common	5	0	5	0	10
DSR054 – Epping Forest – East of Epping New Road	0	5	4	0	9
DSR057 – Epping Forest – West of Epping New Road	4	2	5	0	11
DSR058 – High Beach and Sewardstonebury	3	1	5	0	9
DSR059 – Sewardstone	5	0	3	0	8
DSR060 – South of Waltham Abbey	2	0	5	2	9
DSR061 – Lee Valley Park	2	1	5	5	13
DSR062 – Nazeing Mead	2	0	4	0	6

DSR063 – Glen Faba	2	0	5	0	7
DSR064 – Area Surrounding Roydon	5	2	4	0	11
DSR065 - Linear Parcel North of Roydon	2	0	4	0	6
DSR066 – North of Nazeing, South West of Harlow	5	2	4	0	11
DSR067 – East of Lower Nazeing	5	1	4	0	10
DSR068 – North of Waltham Abbey	0	1	5	1	7
DSR069 – East of Waltham Abbey & West of Epping	0	3	5	2	10
DSR070 – North West of Epping	0	0	5	5	10
DSR071 – Knighton Wood - Buckhurst Hill	4	0	5	0	9
DSR072 – South of Harlow	5	0	5	0	10
DSR073 – South of Harlow/West of J7 of M11	5	0	5	0	10

EB704A

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Appendix 6: Parcel Appraisals

	-	utheast of Sawbridgeworth)					
	el Size: 25.82 he						
	mary of Assessn						
		n to the Purposes of the Gree					
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
-	GB Purpose	Weak	1				
Tota	al		10				
1. Ch	leck the unrestr	icted sprawl of large built-up	areas	Relatively Strong – 4			
(1)	The parcel is lo	cated to the south east of Sav	wbridgew	vorth and south of Lower Sheering. The parcel			
	is also located	to the north east of Harlow	however	r does not act in itself as an effective barrier			
	against Harlow	-					
(2)				tively open in character, providing a strategic			
	•	events sprawl from the north		-			
(3)		-	•	DSR-001 creates a strong barrier against the			
	• •			e southern boundary (see photos DSR-001 1,			
			•	ong barrier, that could potentially limit any			
	sprawl from Harlow. The railway line also provides a defensible boundary running along the						
		ary of the parcel.					
	Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0 another						
(4)	•		-	awbridgeworth at the edge of the district			
(=)			gap betwo	een towns specified in the methodology.			
(5)	See Question 4						
(6)	See Question 4						
(7)	See Question 4						
(8)	See Question 4 See Question 4						
(9) (10)	See Question 4						
<u> </u>		ding the countryside from		Strong – 5			
	croachment						
(11)				nin parcel DSR-001, there is one PRoW that			
				long the River Stort and eventually crosses			
	•			e is also an intermittent line of unprotected			
	-		s scattere	ed throughout floodplain area to the south of			
(4.5)	Lower Sheering	-					
(12)				ly undulating pockets of arable fields that are			
				e west. It is unlikely that the topography and			
	•	-	-	ven the parcels location within the fringes of			
			-	ape, to the south. Therefore, Green Belt			
(12)	-	this parcel helps safeguard th					
(13)	inere is no (0%	of evidence of encroachment	or other	urbanising elements within the parcel.			

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	Weak – 1
(14)	The north- western parcel boundary abuts the histori East Hertfordshire District.	c town of Sawbridgeworth which is located in
(15)	The Lower Sheering conservation area and the Sawbr Station Road and a small part of the Lower Sheering of within Green Belt land to the north.	0
(16)	The landscape to the north of the parcel is character that screen the listed buildings within the Lower Shee two settlements. Therefore it is unlikely that the ope contribute positively to the significance of the histori	ering conservation area and separates the n character of the Green Belt land would
(17)	Although the north-western parcel boundary abuts the unlikely that the removal of the Green Belt designation significance of this area, because of the lack of a physinorth and the historic town.	ne historic town of Sawbridgeworth, it is on would cause harm to the setting and

Parce	el DSR 002 – (Ea	st and South East of Lower Sl	heering)
	el Size: 201.80 h			"
	mary of Assessn			
		n to the Purposes of the Gree		
	GB Purpose	Strong	5	
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0	
	GB Purpose	Strong	5	
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0	
Tota	-		10	
		ricted sprawl of large built-up		Strong – 5
(1)		-	ainst sp	prawl from Harlow, at the northwest border of
(2)	Epping Forest			dam, and DCD 002 at the south past boundary
(2)				ndary and DSR-003 at the south east boundary. ich provides a strategic barrier that prevents
	•	e north eastern urban edge o		
(3)	•	-		ne River Stort and the river crosses the parcel in
(0)				vious defensible boundary in the southern part
				ld Harlow (within Harlow administrative area) a
	•	g defensible boundary is crea	-	
2. Pr	event neighbou	ring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0
an	other			
(4)	The parcel doe	s not form part of a gap betw	een to	wns defined in the methodology.
(5)	See Question 4	above.		
(6)	See Question 4			
(7)	See Question 4			
(8)	See Question 4			
(9)	See Question 4			
(10)	See Question 4	ding the countryside from		Strong - 5
	croachment	unig the countryside from		Strong - 5
		t in this location doos protect	the co	untrucide. A significant amount of the parcel is
(11)				untryside. A significant amount of the parcel is n of deciduous woodland at the south-eastern
	-		•	od), deciduous woodland at the south castern
	•	-		the parcel, mostly along field borders and one
		th-eastern parcel boundary.		
(12)	To the south o	of Lower Sheering, the parce	el cons	ists of a gently rolling plateau landscape with
	medium to lar	rge-scale arable fields, lined	with a	network of hedgerow. It is unlikely that the
	topography an	d location prevents encroacl	hment	of development, given the proximity to Lower
	-			the Green Belt designation safeguards the
	•			ower Sheering, a visually significant slope, does
			wer Sh	eering, a visually significant slope, does help
(12)	prevent encroa		dourt	nment or other urbanising elements
(13)		becial character of historic to		pment or other urbanising elements. No Contribution – 0
4.10	preserve the sp		W115	

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.

(15) See Question 14 above.

- (16) See Question 14 above.
- (17) See Question 14 above.

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

	-	ast of Old Harlow)							
	el Size: 98.60								
	mary of Assess								
		on to the Purposes of the							
	GB Purpose	Strong	5						
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0						
	GB Purpose	Strong	5						
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0						
Tot	al		10						
1. Cł	neck the unres	tricted sprawl of large bu	ilt-up areas	Strong – 5					
(1)			er against sp	rawl from Harlow, at the north west border of					
(2)	Epping Forest		+ + h a	n houndom. Cituated to the most of the Made					
(2)	•	-		rn boundary. Situated to the west of the M11					
	•			ting mostly of arable land. This gap provides ar					
(2)	•	•	•	m the north eastern urban edge of Harlow.					
(3)				settlement of Churchgate Street / Old Harlow					
	•			joining authority. The parcel is separated from					
	•	•		south of Gilden Way. The parcel is framed to					
	the west by Sheering Road, to the north by Pincey Brook, to the east by the M11 and to the south								
	•	-	•	rcel perimeters which are considered a stron					
				s within the parcel are relatively weak and i					
	breached cou	Ild encourage sprawl of th	ie settlemen	t in a northeast direction.					
	-	ouring towns merging into	one	No Contribution – 0					
ar	nother								
(4)	The parcel its	elf is situated to the north	n east of Har	low, along the district boundary. However, it					
	does not prov	vide, or form part of, a gap	o or space be	etween towns.					
(5)	See Question	4 above.							
(6)	See Question	4 above.							
(7)	See Question	4 above.							
(8)	See Question	4 above.							
(9)	See Question	4 above.							
(10)	See Question	4 above.							
3. As	ssist in safegua	rding the countryside fro	m	Strong - 5					
er	ncroachment								
(11)	The Green Be	elt in this location does or	otect the co	untryside. At the centre of the parcel there is a					
()				here are two PRoWs, one of which follows the					
				e other briefly crosses the parcel on a track					
		south- western boundary.							
(12)		,	ng landform	, which provides open views across the arable					
(14)	•		-	h. The topography and location is unlikely to					
				e parcels location within the fringes of Harlov					
			-	-					
			nore, Green	Belt designation in this parcel helps safeguard					
(12)		de from encroachment.							
(13)	i nere is no ev	vigence of encroachment	or other urb	anising elements within the parcel.					

(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0	
(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the	e parcel.	
(15) See Question 14 above.		
(16) See Question 14 above.		
(17) See Question 14 above.		

	– (West of Sheeri	nal							
Darcal Siza: 20/	- (west of Sheeri 1.01 hectares	ng)							
Summary of As									
•	bution to the Purp	oses of the Gree	n Belt						
1 st GB Purpos		oses of the orec	3						
2 nd GB Purpos		ition	0						
3 rd GB Purpos			5						
4 th GB Purpos		ution	0						
Total			8						
		of lawso built u		Madavata 2					
1. Check the u	nrestricted sprawl	of large built-up	o areas	Moderate – 3					
(1) The parc	el does not act i	n itself as an of	fective	barrier against sprawl from the large built-up					
• •	tside of the study a			barrier against sprawn nom the large built-up					
		•		t the south western boundary. Situated to the					
	-			character, consisting mostly of arable land. The					
	•	•	•	ic barrier that prevents sprawl from the north					
	irban edge of Harld			ic barrier that prevents sprawl norm the north					
	•		oactor	boundary of the parcel. The Pincey Brook and					
. ,				, , , ,					
	an unnamed track form a strong boundary for part of the southern boundary. The remaining part								
	of the southern boundary consists mostly of weak treelines and hedgerows. The northern								
	boundary and the majority of the western boundary consist of mature. There areas of weak								
	defensible boundaries along the western edge, towards Back Lane) and around the playing fields.								
	2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0								
	another								
(The parcel itself is situated to the north east of Harlow, along the district boundary. However, it								
does not	provide, or form p								
does not									
does not (5) See Ques	provide, or form p								
does not (5) See Ques (6) See Ques	provide, or form p tion 4 above.								
does not (5) See Ques (6) See Ques (7) See Ques	provide, or form p tion 4 above. tion 4 above.								
 does not (5) See Ques (6) See Ques (7) See Ques (8) See Ques 	provide, or form p tion 4 above. tion 4 above. tion 4 above.								
 does not (5) See Ques (6) See Ques (7) See Ques (8) See Ques (9) See Ques 	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above.								
does not(5)See Quest(6)See Quest(7)See Quest(8)See Quest(9)See Quest(10)See Quest	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above.	art of, a gap or s							
does not(5)See Quest(6)See Quest(7)See Quest(8)See Quest(9)See Quest(10)See Quest	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above.	art of, a gap or s		tween towns.					
does not (5) See Ques (6) See Ques (7) See Ques (8) See Ques (9) See Ques (10) See Ques 3. Assist in safe encroachme	provide, or form p ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. aguarding the cour- ant	art of, a gap or s nt <mark>ryside from</mark>	pace be	tween towns. Strong – 5					
does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest 3. Assist in safe encroachment (11) The Great	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. eguarding the cour int	art of, a gap or s ntryside from cation does pro	pace be	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultur 	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. eguarding the cour eguarding the cour eguarding the cour en Belt in this loo ral purposes, with	art of, a gap or s ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs	pace be tect the that mo	Strong – 5 • countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultu areas of 	provide, or form p ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. aguarding the count and and and purposes, with deciduous woodla	art of, a gap or s ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe	pace be tect the that mo ern bou	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultut areas of well as for 	provide, or form p ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. ation 4 above. aguarding the cour- ant and burposes, with deciduous woodla our small LoWS (Ep	art of, a gap or s ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13	tect the that mo arn bou 31 and E	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road.					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultut areas of well as for (12) The parce 	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. eguarding the cour- int en Belt in this low ral purposes, with deciduous woodla our small LoWS (Ep el encompasses a	ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13 gently rolling lat	tect the that mo arn bou 31 and E ndform,	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road. which provides open views across the arable					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultu areas of well as for (12) The parc fields to 	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. eguarding the cour en Belt in this low ral purposes, with deciduous woodla our small LoWS (Ep el encompasses a Lower Sheering to	ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13 gently rolling lan o the east and Sh	tect the that mo ern bou 31 and E ndform, neering	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road. which provides open views across the arable to the west. It is unlikely that the topography					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultut areas of well as for (12) The parce fields to would p 	provide, or form p ption 4 above. ption 4 above. ption 4 above. ption 4 above. ption 4 above. ption 4 above. pel above. pel encompasses a provide provide ption pel encompasses a provent encroachment	art of, a gap or s ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13 gently rolling lat o the east and Sh thent of develop	tect the that mo arn bou 31 and E ndform, neering ment.	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road. which provides open views across the arable					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultu areas of well as for (12) The parcorrelation fields to would p safeguart 	provide, or form p ation 4 above. ation 4 above. at	art of, a gap or s ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13 gently rolling lan the east and Sh pent of develop from encroachme	tect the that mo arn bou 31 and E ndform, neering ment.	Strong – 5 • countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road. which provides open views across the arable to the west. It is unlikely that the topography herefore, the Green Belt designation helps					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultu areas of well as for (12) The parce fields to would p safeguare (13) There is particular 	provide, or form p ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. ition 4 above. eguarding the cour en Belt in this low ral purposes, with deciduous woodla our small LoWS (Ep el encompasses a Lower Sheering to revent encroachm d the countryside f no evidence of enc	ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13 gently rolling lan the east and Sh nent of develop rom encroachme roachment or ot	tect the that mo ern bou 31 and E ndform, neering ment.	Strong – 5 e countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road. which provides open views across the arable to the west. It is unlikely that the topography therefore, the Green Belt designation helps nising elements within the parcel.					
 does not (5) See Quest (6) See Quest (7) See Quest (8) See Quest (9) See Quest (10) See Quest (10) See Quest (11) The Great agricultu areas of well as for (12) The parce fields to would p safeguare (13) There is particular 	provide, or form p ation 4 above. ation 4 above. at	ntryside from cation does pro twelve PRoWs nd at the southe 120, Ep126, Ep13 gently rolling lan the east and Sh nent of develop rom encroachme roachment or ot	tect the that mo ern bou 31 and E ndform, neering ment.	Strong – 5 • countryside. The parcel is largely used for stly follow the field borders. There are small ndary and towards the northern boundary, as p128) north of Sawbridgeworth Road. which provides open views across the arable to the west. It is unlikely that the topography herefore, the Green Belt designation helps					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.

(15) See Question 14 above.

(16) See Question 14 above.

(17) See Question 14 above.

Parce	el DSR 005 – (No	orth of Sheering)					
	el Size: 233.77 l						
	mary of Assessn						
	el's Contribution	n to the Purposes of the Gree No Contribution	n Belt				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
	GB Purpose GB Purpose						
-	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong No Contribution	4 0				
Tot	•	No contribution	4				
	1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0						
(1)	The parcel do	es not act, in itself, as an ef	fective	b	arrier against sprawl from the large built-up		
		of the study area.					
(2)	•	-			twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
(2)	•	he specifically mentioned buil	•				
(3)		is not function to prevent spra iring towns merging into one		ne	specifically mentioned large built up areas. No Contribution – 0		
	other	ining towns merging into one			No contribution – 0		
(4)		If completely surrounds Shee	ring th	er	efore forms part of the gap directly east of		
(''	•		-		vever, it does not provide, or form part of, a		
	-	etween settlements classified	•				
(5)	See Question 4				0,		
(6)	See Question 4	l.					
(7)	See Question 4						
(8)	See Question 4						
(9)	See Question 4						
(10)	See Question 4						
	isist in safeguar icroachment	ding the countryside from			Relatively Strong - 4		
(11)	The Green Belt	t in this location does protect	the co	un	tryside. The majority of the parcel is used for		
. ,		-			ds that directly border Sheering. An area of		
	unprotected v	voodland (Glyn's Spring) and	d recre	ati	ional grounds adjoin the settlement at the		
	south-western	and northern edge.					
	There is a related	tively large area of deciduous	s wood	lar	nd, directly north of Sawbridgewood Road, as		
					woodland at the southern boundary. Within		
					and Ep140). A large network of PRoWs exist		
					become more sporadic towards the northern		
	and southern boundaries. There are also some mature planting along the length of Princey Brook,						
					n east of Sheering, along the motorway edge,		
	and to the north of the Sheeting recreation. Many of the internal boundaries and property						
(12)		e formed of trees or hedgerov		00	the rolling plateau landscape with medium to		
(12)					Itly rolling plateau landscape with medium to gerow. It is unlikely that the topography and		
	-				ven the proximity to Lower Sheering and the		
				-	ignation safeguards the countryside from		
	encroachment		Den U		Buddon sureguings the countryside nom		
	encroachinellt	•					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

To the south of Sheering, a visually significant slope provides open views to the edge of the settlement. Therefore it is likely that the topography could help prevent encroachment in this location.

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately 0.16% (.36 hectares) south of Sheering.

	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0
(14)	There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the	parcel.
(15)	See Question 14 above.	
(16)	See Question 14 above.	
(17)	See Question 14 above.	

	el DSR 006 – (No el Size: 973.10 h	orth of Matching Tye) ectares						
	mary of Assessn							
	-	n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tot	•		5					
1. C	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	areas	;	No Contribution – 0			
(1)	The parcel doe outside of the s		tive ba	irrie	er against sprawl from the large built-up areas			
(2)		-	wider	net	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against			
()		he specifically mentioned bui						
(3)	•		•		specifically mentioned large built up areas.			
2. Pr	event neighbou	ring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0			
an	other							
(4)	The parcel incl	udes the settlements of Mate	hing T	ye/	/ Housham Tye, Matching Green, Newman's			
	End, and Matching however the parcel does not form part of a gap between towns identified in							
	the methodology.							
(5)	See Question 4 above.							
(6)								
(7)	See Question 4 above.							
(8)	,							
(9)								
· · /	(10) See Question 4 above.							
	-	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5			
er	croachment							
(11)	The Green Bel	t in this location does proted	t the o	cou	intryside. Most of the parcel is comprised of			
	•			•	rotected from development by greenbelt			
	-				al cycle network Route 1, which runs along			
	-	-		-	ye toward High Laver and Moreton. Cricket			
	field located to the northwest and central village green of Matching Green. The parcel consists of							
	a gently rolling plateau landscape with medium to large- scale arable fields, lines with a network of							
(10)	hedgerow.	sists of a gonthy rolling landfo	rm wit	h c	small, nucleated historic hamlets and villages,			
(12)	•				ents encroachment of development from the			
		, , , ,			esignation safeguards the countryside from			
	encroachment	-	n ben	u	esignation saleguards the countryside nom			
(13)		been encroached by approxir	natelv	0.2	25% (2.42hectares).			
` '		pecial character of historic to			No Contribution – 0			
(14)	There are no h	istoric towns within or adjace	nt to t	he	parcel.			
(15)	See Question ?	14 above.						
(16)	See Question ?	14 above.						
(17)	See Question 2	14 above.						

Parcel Size: 218.60 hectares Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt 1st GB Purpose Strong 5 2nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0 3rd GB Purpose Strong 5 4th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels are directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten from the eastern urban edge of Harlow.	t border of			
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt 1 st GB Purpose Strong 5 2 nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0 3 rd GB Purpose Strong 5 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten	t border of			
1st GB Purpose Strong 5 2nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0 3rd GB Purpose Strong 5 4th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten	t border of			
2 nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0 3 rd GB Purpose Strong 5 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten	t border of			
3 rd GB Purpose Strong 5 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels are directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten	t border of			
4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten	t border of			
Total 10 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 (1) The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. 10 (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten	t border of			
 Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5 The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten 	t border of			
 The parcel provides an effective barrier against sprawl from Harlow, at the northwest Epping Forest District. The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten 	t border of			
 Epping Forest District. (2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten 	t border of			
(2) The parcel DSR-007 adjoins DSR-015 at the north eastern boundary. Both parcels ar directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten				
directly east of the M11, which provides a strong defensible boundary against poten				
from the eastern urban edge of Harlow.	itial sprawl			
-				
(3) The parcel DSR-007 is bounded to the northwest by the M11. The eastern be intermittent and is represented by pathing on the ground at a number of paints events.	•			
intermittent and is represented by nothing on the ground at a number of points except in badgerous. The southern eastern boundary of the parcel is strong as it follows the r				
hedgerows. The southern- eastern boundary of the parcel is strong as it follows the r				
Lane and small watercourse with substantial vegetation. The north-eastern bound	ary of the			
parcel is relatively weak as it follows the property boundaries off of Chalk Lane. 2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0				
2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0 another				
	orform			
(4) The parcel is situated south east of Harlow, at the district boundary. It does not provide,	, or torm			
part of a gap or space between towns as defined in the methodology.(5) See Question 4 above.				
(7) See Question 4 above.(8) See Question 4 above.				
(9) See Question 4 above.				
(10) See Question 4 above.				
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Strong – 5				
encroachment				
	ly of fields			
(11) The Green Belt in this location does protect the countryside. The parcel consists most used for agricultural purposes, with the exception of those that adjoin the second				
Hastingwood and Threshers Bush. There are a significant number of PRoWs that line				
particularly towards the south- western section of the parcel. There are two LoWS (
Ep107) along Foster Street and Mill Street as well as an area of unprotected woodland a				
M11. There is also an area of well-maintained allotments in close proximity to Junctic				
M11, along Hastingwood Road.				
(12) The parcel consists of gradually sloping arable fields that provide open views northward	ds towards			
the urban edge of Harlow. It is unlikely that the topography to prevents encroa				
the around case of harows it is animely that the topography to prevents chorows				
	side mom			
development. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the country encroachment.	side from			
4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0		
-------	--	---------------------	--	--
(14)	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.			
(15)	(15) See Question 14 above.			
(16)	5) See Question 14 above.			
(17)	17) See Question 14 above.			

	Parcel DSR 008 – (North of North Weald Bassett) Parcel Size: 978.20					
	mary of Assessn	nent				
	•	n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Bolt			
	B Purpose	Moderate	3			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	•		10			
		ricted sprawl of large built-up		Moderate – 3		
1. 0.		neted sprawn of large built of	Juicus			
(1)	The parcel doe	es not act, in itself, as an eff	ective b	arrier against sprawl from large built-up areas		
	outside of the	study area.				
(2)	The parcel DSF	R-008 adjoins DSR-007 at the	north	western boundary of the parcel. Both parcels		
		•	•	ovides a strong defensible boundary against		
	• •	vl from the eastern urban edg	-			
(3)	• •		•	roads, including the A414 a strong defensible		
	•			boundaries consist of a number of different		
				Bridge Road and Tilegate Road. The parcel		
				s and lanes, but also some less well defined		
	-			strong as it follows the road Green Lane and		
		urse with substantial vegetation				
	-	ring towns merging into one		Relatively Weak- 2		
an	other					
(4)			part of t	ne gap between North Weald Bassett and		
	Chipping Onga					
(5)				edge of the parcel and Cripsey Brook forms a		
		ndary in the southern half of	•			
(6)				et and Chipping Ongar is 3.6 km.		
(7)		-	opment	along Weald Bridge Road north of North		
(0)	Weald Bassett.					
(8)	-	-	lds, spo	adic residential development and vegetation.		
$\langle \alpha \rangle$	•	roadly open in nature.		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(9)			ery sigr	ificant to compromise the separation of the		
(10)	towns in physic					
(10)			•	nent is likely to reduce visual openness. Areas		
2		sting settlement are more hic	iden fro			
	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from		Strong - 5		
(11)				ntryside. The parcel is predominately used for		
	•	•		RoWs towards the north- western boundary of		
	•	-		agricultural related buildings. Within the parcel		
		there are two areas of deciduous woodland (Reynkyns Wood and Hall Wood) and ancient				
	woodland (Reynkyns Wood) at the southern and north- eastern parcel boundaries. There is also					
				•		
	an area of unp	rotected woodland (Canes W	'ood) to	orth- eastern parcel boundaries. There is also wards the south- western boundary. As well as n and southern boundaries. The North Weald		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

	Golf Course is situated directly north of the A414 at the southern parcel boundary.			
(12)	12) The topography of the parcel is relatively level with slight slope that provides views to the urban			
	edge of North Weald Bassett. It is unlikely that	the topography prevents encroachment of		
	development, given the proximity to North Weald Ba	ssett and the open landscape. Therefore, the		
	Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside fro	om encroachment.		
(13)	The parcel has not been encroached by built develop	ment or other urbanising elements.		
4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0		
(14)	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.			
(15)				
(16)	See Question 14 above.			

(17) See Question 14 above.

	-	est & North West of North W	eald B	ass	sett)
	el Size: 482.30 h				
	mary of Assessn		n Dalt		
	GB Purpose	n to the Purposes of the Gree Moderate	3		
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2		
	GB Purpose	Strong	5		
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0		
Tot	•	No contribution	10		
		visted serveral of lower built up			Moderate – 3
1. U	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	Jareas		Moderate – S
(1)			ective l	bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas
(2)	outside of the	-			
(2)					arcels, to form a strategic barrier against the
	DSR-007.	ow – particularly at the north	or the	μa	arcel. The parcel adjoins parcels DSR-053 and
(3)		des a strong defensible bound	harv at	the	e western boundary of the parcel and he EOR
(3)		form a defensible boundary a			
2. Pr	•	ring towns merging into one			Relatively Weak – 2
	other	0 00			
(4)	The parcel form	ns part of a gap between Nor	th Wea	ld	Bassett and Epping.
(5)	•				ng Road . To the south of Epping Road the
	boundary of N	orth Weald Bassett is defined	by a de	ens	se area of woodland at the end of Pike Way,
	and the bound	ary of a golf course.The entire	e weste	rn	boundary is provided by the M11, which
	could help prev	vent the coalescence of North	n Weald	l Ba	asset.
(6)		f the gap between North Wea			
(7)		-	along	Ep	ping Road (B181) between North Weald
	Bassett and Ep				
(8)		-			h and open agricultural land to the south.
(9)			-		onnection of the towns. However, Epping,
	•				se proximity on the other side of the M11.
			ery sig	nıt	icant to compromise the separation of the
(10)	towns in physic		co tho	or	paration of the towns visually. However there
(10)		reduction could compromise		•	
3. Δα		ding the countryside from	visual s	C P	Strong – 5
	croachment				
(11)	North Weald A	Airfield forms a significant pa	rt of tl	ne	parcel and adjoins the western boundary of
. ,		e .			RoW throughout. There is also part of North
	Weald Golf Course at the north- eastern boundary that adjoins the A414 and North Weald Par 3				
	Golf Course at the southern boundary adjoining the M11 and the B181. To the east of the North				
	Weald Par 3 G	olf Course there is a small pa	art of tl	ne	ancient woodland (Roughtalley's Wood) and
	LoWS (Ep114).				
(12)					associated roadways and control tower. The
			ng arab	le '	fields that provide panoramic views of North
	Weald Bassett.				

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

It is unlikely that the topography and location prevent encroachment of development, given the proximity to North Weald Bassett and the open landscape. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment.

(13) The parcel has not been encroached by built development or other urbanising elements.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0			
(14)	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.				
(15)					
(16)	(16) See Question 14 above.				
(17)	17) See Question 14 above.				

	Parcel DSR 011 – (East of North Weald Bassett)					
	Parcel Size: 343.20 hectares Summary of Assessment					
	-	n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt			
	B Purpose	No Contribution	0			
2 nd (GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2			
3 rd (GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	al		7			
1. Cł	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas		No Contribution – 0	
(1)	The parcel doe outside of the		ective k	bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas	
(2)			wider r	net	work of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
	•	he specifically mentioned larg		•		
(3)	· ·	ot in close proximity to any la	<u> </u>	lt-u		
		iring towns merging into one			Relatively Weak- 2	
	other	16: :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :				
(4)	•				rth Weald Bassett, and provides, or forms d Bassett and Chipping Ongar.	
(5)		-			boundaries that would prevent the	
(3)	•				g Ongar from merging. There are strong	
					nd strong boundary to the south provided by	
			•		oded areas of Dewley Wood and Miller's	
	•	-			boundary forms the edge of the North	
					en boundary treatments (hedges or fences),	
		v a tree line in the south west			,	
(6)	The distance of	f the gap between North Wea	ld Bass	ett	and Chipping Ongar is 3.6 km.	
(7)					e A414, between North Weald Bassett and	
	Chipping Onga	r.				
(8)	•		-		orth Weald Bassett, and forms part of the	
					some distance further to the east. The only	
				re	from the A414, where some extensive long	
		of the countryside can be see				
(9)					onnection of two or more settlements.	
(10)					stance views of the properties of North	
	Weald Bassett from Blake Golf Course. Extension into the green belt of the northern part of the					
	North Weald Bassett would visually diminish the overall openness of the parcel. The southern part					
	of the North Weald Bassett boundary is more hidden from longer distance views due to to topography (the fields slope down to the settlement at this point) large fields of shrub land and					
			ttierner	it a	it this point) large fields of shrub land and	
3 10		around Ongar Radio Station.			Strong - 5	
	3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment Strong - 5					
(11)					untryside. A large part of the parcel, at the	
					he remaining fields to the east are used for	
	agricultural or	recreational purposes. Netw	orks of	PR	RoWs are concentrated towards the western	

boundary of the parcel, which adjoins North Weald Bassett. There is an area of ancient woodland (Dewley Wood) at the north-eastern boundary and a smaller area of deciduous woodland (Miller's Grove) towards the south- eastern boundary. Within these parcels of woodland are two LoWS (Ep156 and Ep166). There is also a large unprotected woodland area around the Ongar Radio Station.

- (12) The parcel is characterised by gently undulating agricultural (predominantly arable) fields that provide an open view to the urban edge of North Weald Bassett, to the west. There are number of ridges and valleys that create a visually significant slope around the Ongar Radio Station, to the south west however not near enough to the eastern fringe of the village to prevent encroachment. Therefore, Green Belt designation in this parcel safeguards the countryside from encroachment.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately 1.49% (5.12 hectares) of built development or other urbanising elements, at the southwest boundary where the Tempest Mead residential area has been developed. This should considered for release with the precise boundaries considered in the Stage 2 Review.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0		
(14)				
(15)				
(17)	7) See Question 14 above.			

Parce	Parcel DSR 013 – (West of Chipping Ongar)						
	Parcel Size: 160.0						
	mary of Assessn						
	BB Purpose	n to the Purposes of the Gree No Contribution	n Bel	C			
	GB Purpose	Weak	1				
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2				
Tota	•	neidenery neuk	8				
1. Cl	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	S	No Contribution – 0		
(1)	The parcel do outside of the		ective	bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas		
(2)					twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
(2)	•	he specifically mentioned buil	•				
(3) 2 Dr		ot in close proximity to any bu Iring towns merging into one	· · ·	are	eas. Weak – 1		
	other	ining towns merging into one			Weak - 1		
(4)		If is situated directly west of t	he to	wn (Chipping Ongar. It therefore provides, or		
(4)	•				ping Ongar and North Weald Bassett		
(5)	•	• •		• •	y A414 and to the south by the railway line).		
(-)	•				<pre>< in most places, relying mainly on back</pre>		
					combination of wooded areas such as		
	Miller's Grove	and Dewley Wood connected	by di	tche	es and mature hedgerows and trees.		
(6)	The distance o	f the gap between Chipping O	ngar	and	North Weald Bassett is 3.6 km.		
(7)	There is no evi Weald Bassett	•	: alonរួ	g the	e A414, between Chipping Ongar and North		
(8)				•••	ng Ongar, and reaches westwards towards		
(-)					erception of open countryside from the A414.		
(9)		÷ .	•	-	al connection of two or more settlements.		
(10)				•	paration of the towns visually. However		
3 14			reau		n of the overall openness of the parcel.		
	croachment	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5		
(11)		It in this location protects th		untr	yside. The majority of land in the parcel is		
(11)							
	agricultural. There is an area of deciduous woodland (Pickle's Gardens) located close to the centre of the parcel and is visible from some distance, in numerous directions. This area of woodland						
	contains a LoWS (Ep172). There is also an area of deciduous woodland that adjoins Chipping						
	Ongar at the north- eastern parcel boundary and an area of unprotected woodland towards the						
	south- western boundary.						
(12)							
. ,		-		-	an edge of Chipping Ongar to the east. It is		
					lative to existing development prevents		
					this parcel safeguards the countryside from		
	encroachment						
(13)	There is no evi	dence of encroachment or ot	her ur	ban	ising elements within the parcel.		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	Relatively Weak – 2			
(14)	(14) The north eastern parcel boundary abuts the historic town Chipping Ongar. The eastern parcel boundary adjoins the historic core of the town Chipping Ongar, which is within a designated conservation area and contains a large number of listed buildings.				
(15)	15) The parcel abuts the Great Stony Park conservation area at the northern edge of the historic town within Green Belt land. The Great Stony Park consists of residential buildings that are sited around a large central green. The layout of the site separates the buildings visually and physically from the land, north, south and west of the conservation area.				
(16)					
(17)	Although the north eastern parcel boundary abuts th of the Green Belt designation would cause harm to the	· · ·			

because of the weak physical and visual relationship.

	Parcel DSR 014 – (West of Shelley)					
	Parcel Size: 129.95 hectares					
	Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota			5			
1. Cl	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	;	No Contribution – 0	
(1)			ective	baı	rrier against sprawl from large built-up areas	
	outside of the					
(2)					twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
(3)	•	he specifically mentioned bui ot in close proximity to any bu	•			
		iring towns merging into one		are	No Contribution – 0	
	other	ining towns merging into one				
(4)		tuated directly northwest of t	he tov	vn	Chipping Ongar and west of the Shelley	
(•)	•	-			herefore provides, or forms part of a gap or	
		the towns Chipping Ongar ar	-			
(5)					g the southern border. The eastern	
	boundary is a c	combination of Cripsey Brook,	/edge (of (Ongar and Moreton Road. The western	
	boundary is pr	ovided by Stoney Lane and th	e nortl	ner	n boundary is provided by access drive to	
	Blake Hall and	significant tree line around Ro	ound S	pri	ng and towards Moreton Road.	
(6)			-		North Weald Bassett is 3.6 km.	
(7)	There is no evi Weald Bassett		along	th	e A414, between Chipping Ongar and North	
(8)			ins Chi	nni	ing Ongar, and reaches westwards towards	
(0)					erception of open countryside from the A414.	
(9)					connection of two or more settlements.	
(10)		ttlement to the west is North	• •			
3. As	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
en	croachment					
(11)					ryside that is in use for agriculture, forestry,	
	•				round Blake Hall there are large areas of	
	•				es to the Hall. Within the perimeters of Blake	
	Hall is a relatively small LoWS (Ep179). The parcel also includes two areas of deciduous woodland					
	(Round Spring and Long Walk) to the north and around the boundary of the Blake Hall					
	conservation area, creating a visually distinctive area within this parcel. The fields outside of the					
	Blake Hall grounds are mostly used for agricultural purposes, with only one PRoW running al the eastern boundary of Cripsey Brook, along the Chipping Ongar settlement boundary.					
(12)			-		ently undulating agricultural (predominantly	
(12)				_	edge of Chipping Ongar to the south east. It	
	-				elative to existing development prevents	
					this parcel safeguards the countryside from	
	enerouennen		5.10101		i and pareer sureguards the countryside from	

	encroachment.				
(13)	(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.				
4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0					
(14)	The south eastern parcel boundary adjoins the histor				
(15)	In the second half of the 20 th century, Chipping Ongai	was extended to accommodate commuters.			
	As a result the Shelley Estate to the north of the town	and Marden Ash Estate to the south were			
	developed and are sited at either end of the historic of	core of the town.			
(16)	Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visu	al relationship with the historic core of			
	Chipping Ongar, it is unlikely that the open character	-			
	contribute positively to the significance of the town a				
(17)	17) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of				
	Chipping Ongar, it is unlikely that the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising				
	development on the land within parcel DSR-014 would	d cause harm to the setting and significance			
	of the historic town and heritage assets within the to	wn.			

	-	orth of Chipping Ongar)				
	el Size: 2,524.00					
	mary of Assessm	n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Boli			
-	GB Purpose	Moderate	3			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	•	No contribution	8			
1. Cł	neck the unrestr	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	S	Moderate – 3	
(1)		-	ainst	spra	awl from Harlow, at the northeast border of	
	Epping Forest					
(2)					indary. Both parcels are situated directly east	
	-		ensib	le b	ooundary against potential sprawl from the	
(2)		edge of Harlow.	ovida	.d h	y Matching Road and Harlow Road as well as	
(3)	•				around the edge of Matching Green, where it	
				-	ern boundary is strong where it follows the	
					gar Road (B184). However there are long	
		-			ng on the ground. The southern boundary	
					s the roads of Moreton Road, Stony Lane and	
	Ashlyns Lane.	Although the roads provide	a stro	ng (defensible barrier, there are areas along the	
	boundary that	are considered to be weak, as	s the l	oou	ndary follows nothing on the ground.	
	-	ring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0	
an	other					
(4)	•		een tl	he t	owns identified in the methodology.	
(5)	See Question 4					
(6)	See Question 4					
(7)	See Question 4					
(8)	See Question 4					
(9) (10)	See Question 4 See Question 4					
		ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
	croachment	ang the countryside nom			Strong 5	
(11)		It designation here protects	cour	ntry/	side that is in use for agriculture, forestry,	
(11)					transport infrastructure. The parcel consists	
	•				large network of PRoWs. There is an ancient	
	woodland area (Matching Park) which contains a LoWS (Ep150) forms part of the parcels northern					
	boundary. As well as a small area of deciduous woodland and two LoWS (Ep163 and Ep161),					
					small areas of unprotected woodland to the	
					WS peppered throughout the parcel, two of	
	which run alon	g Faggoters Lane and New W	ay Lar	ne (I	Ep155 and Ep142) towards the north-western	
	boundary; as w	vell as the two LoWS (Ep154 a	and 14	46) a	at the south- western boundary and a further	
					eximity to the settlement Moreton. Within	
	Moreton, towa	ards the southern boundary,	there	is a	an allotment garden as well as the Lakeview	

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

Caravan Park. There is also an allotment garden at the urban edge of Chipping Ongar

(12) Within the parcel there are a series of valleys which are encapsulated by ridges, resulting in an undulating landform and visually significant slopes, at the northern edge of the town Chipping Ongar. It is likely that the topography and the location may help prevent encroachment from existing development.

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.17% (4.26 hectares) of built development or other urbanising elements, predominately around Moreton and High Laver.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0		
(14)	14) The southern parcel boundary adjoins the historic town Chipping Ongar. The Shelley Estate at the northern edge of the town creates a strong barrier between the parcel and the historic core of Chipping Ongar.			
(15)				
(16)				
(17)				

(17) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Chipping Ongar. It is unlikely that the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land within parcel DSR-015 would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets within the town.

Parcel DSR 016 – (North East of Chipping Ongar)							
Parcel Size: 681.60 hectares							
Summary of Assessment							
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
1 st GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
3 rd GB Purpose	Strong	5					
4 th GB Purpose	Weak	1					
Total		6					
1. Check the unrest	tricted sprawl of large built-u	o areas	No Contribution – 0				
		ective ba	arrier against sprawl from large built-up areas				
outside of the	•						
			etwork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against				
•	the specifically mentioned bui not in close proximity to the sp	•					
	uring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0				
another							
(4) The parcel do	es not provide, or form part of	f, a gap o	r space between towns. The parcel is				
	Fyfield to the north and Ongai						
(5) See Question	4 above.						
(6) See Question	4 above.						
(7) See Question	4 above.						
(8) See Question	4 above.						
(9) See Question	4 above.						
(10) See Question							
	rding the countryside from		Strong – 5				
encroachment							
			e Green Belt development in the countryside.				
		-	gricultural purposes, with the exception of the				
	•	nt Chippi	ng Ongar and south of Fyfield, which are used				
	onal activities.						
		•	/ood), which contains a LoWs (Ep206) at the				
			of unprotected woodland running to the south				
			dleway. There are two areas of unprotected				
	-		he first is either side of the River Roding to the				
	north of The Rookery and the second is again alongside the River north of the A414. There is						
-	also large deciduous woodland around North Mandeville. To the north of Cannon's Green is a						
•	relatively large LoWS (Ep200), which follows Herons Lane and a track.						
	 Relative to the size of the parcel, there are not a large amount of PRoWs. There are however a few that mostly follow the River Roding. 						
	, ,	ad by a	ently undulating agricultural (predominantly				
			lopes that provide an open view to the urban				
			the topography of the land at the urban edge				
-			efore, Green Belt designation in this parcel				
	e countryside from encroachm		and a set acamendation in this parcer				

(13)	The parcel has been encroached by approximately .43% (3.04 hectares) of built development or
	other urbanising elements at the residential development near Chipping Ongar Leisure Centre, the
	Fyfield Business and Research Park east of Fyfield Road and around Fyfield village.

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	Weak – 1			
(14) The south western parcel boundary adjoins the historic town Chipping Ongar. The Shelley Estate				
at the northern edge of the town creates a strong bar	rrier between the parcel and the historic core			

- at the northern edge of the town creates a strong barrier between the parcel and the historic core of Chipping Ongar. Although the parcel is in close proximity to the Great Stony Park conservation at the south-western boundary, the A414 and significant hedgerow provide a strong barrier.
- (15) The parcel abuts the Great Stony Park conservation area at the northern edge of the historic town within Green Belt land. The Great Stony Park consists of residential buildings that are sited around a large central green. The layout of the site separates the buildings visually and physically from the land, north, south and west of the conservation area.
- (16) The contribution of the Green Belt land in relation to the northern edge of the town is limited, because of the isolated nature of the development (please see to Q15). A 1950s residential development separates the Green Belt land within parcel DSR-013, from the central core of the historic town, at the south eastern boundary.
- (17) Although the south western parcel boundary abuts the historic town, it is unlikely that the removal of the Green Belt designation would cause harm to the setting and significance of this area, because of the weak physical and visual relationship.

_								
	Parcel DSR 017 – (North East of High Ongar)							
Parcel Size: 193.20 hectares								
Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt								
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose							
	•	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tota			5					
1. Cł	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	S	No Contribution – 0			
(1)	The parcel do	es not act, in itself, as an effe	ective	ba	rrier against sprawl from large built-up areas			
	outside of the	•						
(2)	•	-			twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against			
	•	he specifically mentioned bui	-					
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp		ally	•			
	-	iring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0			
	nother							
(4)	•	es not provide, or form part of	, a ga	o or	space between towns.			
(5)	See Question 4							
(6)	See Question 4							
(7)	See Question 4							
(8)	See Question 4							
(9)	See Question 4							
	-	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5			
en	ncroachment							
(11)	The Green Belt	t designation here protects ap	prop	riate	e Green Belt development in the countryside.			
	The parcel con	sists mostly of open fields the	at are	use	ed for agricultural purposes with a number of			
	PRoWs throug	hout. At the south-western	parce	el b	oundary, there is a small area of deciduous			
	woodland that	adjoins the A414, Norton He	ath a	nd N	Nillingale Road. This woodland area contains			
	a LoWS (Ep213	3).						
(12)	The parcel end	compasses large open, gently	slopi	ng a	arable fields that provide long distance views			
	across the farr	mland from the village Nine	Ashes	, to	the south. It is unlikely that the topography			
	prevents encroachment of development from the village. Therefore, the Green Belt designation							
	safeguards the countryside from encroachment.							
(13)	13) The parcel has not been encroached by built development or other urbanising elements.							
4. To	preserve the sp	pecial character of historic to	wns		No Contribution – 0			
(14)	There are no h	istoric towns within or adjace	nt to	the	parcel.			
(15)								
(16)								
(17)	See Question 1							
. /								

Parcel DSR 018 – (North of Norton Heath)							
Parcel Size: 1,799.00 hectares							
	mary of Assessn						
		n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Bel	t			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
3 rd (GB Purpose	Strong	5				
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Tot	al		5				
		ricted sprawl of large built-up			No Contribution – 0		
(1)					sford at the northern and eastern boundary.		
	•		ective	baı	rrier against sprawl from large built-up areas		
	outside of the						
(2)	•	-			twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
100	•	he specifically mentioned bui	•				
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp		ally			
	-	iring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0		
ar	other						
(4)	•	s not provide, or form part of	, a ga	p or	space between towns.		
(5)	See Question 4						
(6)	See Question 4						
(7)	See Question 4						
(8)	See Question 4						
(9)	See Question 4						
(10)	See Question 4						
		ding the countryside from			Strong – 5		
er	croachment						
(11)	The Green Belt	t designation here protects ap	propr	riate	Green Belt development in the countryside.		
	-The parcel co	onsists mostly of fields used for	or agr	icult	ural purposes and a large network of PRoWs		
	and National	l Trail routes. There are also a	large	nur	nber of protected and unprotected areas of		
	woodland. T	he largest area is an ancient w	voodla	and	(Beech Wood) that contains three LoWS		
	(Ep222, Ep21	L9 and Ep214) which follows t	he no	rthe	ern parcel boundary before turning		
	southwards	(see photos DSR-018 137, 138	8, 140	and	142).		
	-There is a de	ciduous woodland and two a	reas o	f an	cient woodland (Bushey- Hays Spring and		
	Rowes Wood	d), which contain the LoWS (E	p221	and	Ep220) at the eastern boundary (see photos		
	DSR-018 117 and 118). Further along, towards the south- eastern boundary are two LoWS						
	(Ep218 and Ep217).						
	-North of Spains Hall Road, at the centre of the parcel is an area of ancient woodland (Spains						
	Wood), which contains a LoWS (Ep215). South of Spains Hall Road is an area of deciduous						
	woodland (S	tockfield Spring). Further wes	t, is a	n an	cient Woodland (Witney Wood) and		
		-	•		Ep211), and form part of the parcel		
		ee photos DSR-018 64, 65, 66,			-		
					e situated to the south of Pigstye Green		
		notos DSR-018 44 and 48) and	along	g the	e south western boundary between Norton		
	Mandeville.						

(12)	The topography consists of gently undulating, predominantly arable farmland which encompasses
	a series of large arable field systems in close proximity to the small hamlet Willingale, to the west.
	The open character of the landscape provides panoramic views to the edge of the hamlet. It is
	unlikely that the topography and location prevents encroachment of development. Therefore,
	Green Belt designation in this parcel safeguards the countryside from encroachment.
(13)	The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.03% (.46 hectares) of built development or other

	urbanising elements, towards the south-western bou	ndary
(12)	The parcer has been encroached by approx. 0.05%	(.46 nectares) of built development of other

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0
(14)	There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the	parcel.
(15)	See Question 14 above.	
(16)	See Question 14 above.	
1		

(17) See Question 14 above.

	Parcel DSR 020 – (West and North of Willingale) Parcel Size: 333.30 hectares					
•	Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
1 st GB Purpose	No Contribution	0	•			
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
3 rd GB Purpose	Strong	5				
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Total		5				
1. Check the unr	estricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	s	No Contribution – 0		
(1) The parcel	adjoins the Uttlesford district a	t the	nor	thern boundary. The parcel does not act, in		
itself, as an	effective barrier against sprawl	from l	arg	e built-up areas outside of the study area.		
(2) The parcel	loes not contribute, as part of a	wider	ne	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
the sprawl of	of the specifically mentioned bui	lt-up a	irea	IS.		
(3) The parcel i	s not in close proximity to the sp	ecifica	ally	mentioned built-up areas.		
2. Prevent neighl	ouring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0		
another						
(4) The parcel of	loes not provide, or form part of	, a gap	o or	space between towns.		
(5) See Questic	n 4 above.					
(6) See Questic	n 4 above.					
(7) See Questic	n 4 above.					
(8) See Questic	n 4 above.					
(9) See Questic	See Question 4 above.					
· · /						
3. Assist in safege encroachment	arding the countryside from			Strong – 5		
(11) The Green l	Relt designation here protects an	nronr	iate	e Green Belt development in the countryside.		
- The no		sists m	nost	ly of fishing lakes, with some areas woodland		
	e e			the River Roding which runs from north to		
	0			nied by a wide variety of planting along the		
	ty of its course.	accon	ipa	med by a wide variety of planting along the		
-	f the PRoWs are concentrated to	oward	s th	e southern part of the parcel		
	2) To the south east the topography is characterised by a gently undulating landscape that provides open views to the small hamlet Willingale. It is unlikely that the topography and location relative					
•	to existing development would be able to prevent encroachment. To the north and west the					
-	corridor of the River Roding creates gently sloping valley sides. Therefore it is unlikely that the					
	topography prevents encroachment.					
(13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately 0.06% (0.19 hectare) at the southwest						
boundary of the parcel.						
4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0						
(14) There are n	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(17) See Question 14 above.

Parcel Size: 2,043.00 hectares Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt 1 st GB Purpose No Contribution 0 2 nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0 3 rd GB Purpose Strong 5 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 5 5 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0					
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt1 st GB PurposeNo Contribution02 nd GB PurposeNo Contribution03 rd GB PurposeStrong54 th GB PurposeNo Contribution0Total5					
1st GB PurposeNo Contribution02nd GB PurposeNo Contribution03rd GB PurposeStrong54th GB PurposeNo Contribution0Total5					
2 nd GB PurposeNo Contribution03 rd GB PurposeStrong54 th GB PurposeNo Contribution0Total5					
3rd GB PurposeStrong54th GB PurposeNo Contribution0Total5					
4th GB PurposeNo Contribution0Total5					
Total 5					
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0					
(1) The parcel adjoins the Uttlesford district at the northern boundary. The parcel does not act, ir					
itself, as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas outside of the study area.					
(2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier against					
the sprawl of the specifically mentioned built-up areas.					
(3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas.					
2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0					
another					
(4) The parcel does not provide, or form part of, a gap or space between towns.					
(5) See Question 4 above.					
(6) See Question 4 above.(7) See Question 4 above.					
(7) See Question 4 above.(8) See Question 4 above.					
(9) See Question 4 above.(9) See Question 4 above.					
(10) See Question 4 above.					
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Strong – 5					
encroachment					
(11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt development in the countryside.					
-The parcel contains a number of woodland areas. Most notably the four areas of ancient					
woodland (Buckles Wood, Enville Wood, Little Wood and Nor Wood) along the western edge of					
the parcel. There are a number of woodland areas in the parcel. There are also a number of					
unprotected woodlands at the northern boundary eastern boundary and the centre of the parcel.					
-Much like the adjoin parcels; DSR-021 consists mostly of fields used for agricultural purposes					
and a large amount of PRoWs, bridleways and the Three Forest National Trail. There is also a					
playing field that adjoins the settlement Abbess Roding, towards the northern boundary and a					
sports ground at the southern boundary.					
2) The parcel consists of a gently rolling landform with small, historic hamlets and villages, (such as					
Abbess Roding and Beauchamp Roding) scattered throughout. It is unlikely that the topography					
prevents encroachment of development from the hamlets and villages. Therefore, the Green Bel					
designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment.					
(13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.21% (4.24 hectares) predominately south of Abbess					
Roading and southwest of Fyfield.					
4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.

(15) See Question 14 above.

(16) See Question 14 above.

(17) See Question 14 above.

Parce	Parcel DSR 022 – (Nine Ashes)					
	Parcel Size: 480.50 hectares					
Sum	Summary of Assessment					
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	al		5			
1. Cł	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	5	No Contribution – 0	
(1)		_			dary at the south east border of the Epping	
		-	itself, a	as a	an effective barrier against sprawl from large	
(-)	•	outside of the study area.				
(2)					twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
(3)	•	he specifically mentioned buil ot in close proximity to the sp	•			
		ring towns merging into one		iiiy	No Contribution – 0	
	other					
(4)		s not provide, or form part of	a gan	o or	space between towns.	
(5)	See Question 4		, ~ 0~ 6			
(6)	See Question 4	above.				
(7)	See Question 4 above.					
(8)	See Question 4 above.					
(9)						
(10)	See Question 4	above.				
3. As	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
en	croachment					
(11)	The Green Belt	designation here protects ap	propr	iate	e Green Belt development in the countryside.	
					ural purposes, with the exception of the land	
	•			-	Rookery Road and King Street. The PRoWs	
		•			n streets to providing residents with access.	
(horse riding school at the nor				
(12)		•			n across the area and is surrounded by a	
	•				provides open, panoramic views across the	
	farmland to the edges of the village. It is unlikely that the topography and location prevent encroachment. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from					
	encroachment.					
(13)						
(- <i>i</i>	Common.					
4. To	preserve the sp	becial character of historic to	wns		No Contribution – 0	
(14)	There are no h	istoric towns within or adiace	nt to t	he	narcel	
(14)						
(16)						
(-)	· ·					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(17) See Question 14 above.

Parcel DSR 023 – (East of Chipping Ongar)						
	Parcel Size: 494.10 hectares					
Summary of Assessment						
Parce	el's Contribution	n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
2 nd (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
3 rd (GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th (GB Purpose	Strong	5			
Tota	al		10			
1. Cł	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	No Contribution – 0		
(1)	Forest District built-up areas	. The parcel does not act, in a outside of the study area.	itself, as	dary at the south east border of the Epping an effective barrier against sprawl from large		
(2)	the sprawl of t	es not contribute, as part of a he specifically mentioned bui ot in close proximity to the sp	lt-up area			
	-	iring towns merging into one	-	No Contribution – 0		
	event neighbou other	ing towns merging into one				
(5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)	 See Question 4 above. 					
3. As	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
en	croachment					
 (11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt development in the countryside. The Green Belt designation in this area protects a number of countryside uses. The site of Ongar Castle, Chipping Ongar playing field and recreational grounds are situated at the western boundary, where the parcel adjoins the town Chipping Ongar. Towards the centre of the parcel, there are allotment gardens and camping grounds, west of the settlement High Ongar. The remaining land consists mostly of arable land, with pockets of woodland mostly to the west and north of High Ongar. Within the woodland between the settlements is a relatively large LoWS, as well as a network of PRoWs, which are mostly concentrated around the urban edges. 						
(12)	(12) The parcel encompasses gently or steeply sloping valley sides which often facilitate views across and along the valley to the town Chipping Ongar and the village High Ongar. It is unlikely that the topography of the land at the urban edge prevents encroachment. Therefore, Green Belt designation in this parcel safeguards the countryside from encroachment.					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns Strong-5 (14) The parcel boarders a large section of Chipping Ongar's historic core, which contains a large number of listed buildings and one Schedule Monument (Ongar Castle). The Great Stony Park conservation area is sited on the northern edge of the historic town is entirely within Green Belt land. However, the Green Belt appears to be making little contribution to this purpose in the eastern section of this parcel. (15) The parcel adjoins the historic core of the town Chipping Ongar at the western boundary, which is within a designated conservation area and contains a large number of listed buildings. Within the parcel there are fourteen listed buildings, three conservation areas and two scheduled monuments. Three of the listed buildings (Mulberry Cottages, stable at Mulberry Cottage and Crownland Farmhouse) are situated along the A414, at the western boundary; One of the listed buildings (Church of St Mary the Virgin) is situated within the High Ongar conservation area, along the Street, north of the settlement High Ongar; three of the listed buildings (Paslow Hall, Nash Hall and Mulberry House) are situated towards the eastern edge of High Ongar; one of the listed buildings (Old Cottage) is situated along Mill Lane; one listed building (Newhouse Farmhouse) is situated along Stondon Road, at the eastern edge of the town Chipping Ongar; five of the listed buildings (White House, outbuilding, Castle House, granary and barn) and two scheduled monuments (Ongar Castle and tomb) are situated within the Chipping Ongar conservation area, east of the town; and the Great Stony Park conservation area is situated at the northwestern boundary. There are a significant number of listed buildings within the historic core of the town Chipping Ongar at the eastern boundary, and within the settlement High Ongar towards the northern boundary. (16) Chipping Ongar has to date retained the visual appearance of a 'historic town', and the street plan, castle and church are all prominent reminders of its medieval origins. The built-up area of the historic core consists mostly of narrow properties fronting either side of the High Street. Therefore, it is unlikely that Green Belt land would contribute to the significance of the town or heritage assets in this section, because the focal point of the medieval buildings was on the main road, rather than the open character of the land. However, the Castle mote, inner bailey and the above ground portions of the town enclosure earthwork are Scheduled and sited on top of slightly elevated land, to which open character of the Green Belt land contributes positively both visually and physically. The Green Belt land also contributes positively to the significance of the Great Stony Park conservation area at the northern edge of the historic town. The Great Stony Park consists of residential buildings that are sited around a large central green with an opening to the east. The layout of the site separates the buildings visually and physically from the land, north, south and west of the conservation area, but allows for open rural views to the east. The removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land that abuts the urban edge would cause harm to the historic linear pattern. (17) The removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from the urbanising

(17) The removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land that abuts the urban edge would cause harm to the historic linear pattern. However, the Green Belt appears to be making little contribution to this purpose in the eastern section of this parcel.

	Parcel DSR 024 – (West and South West of Chipping Ongar) Parcel Size: 2,212.00 hectares					
	Summary of Assessment					
	•	to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt			
	3 Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	B Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	B Purpose	Strong	5			
	3 Purpose	Relatively Weak	2			
Total	•	·	7			
1. Che	eck the unresti	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas		No Contribution – 0	
	• •	-			ary at the south east border of the Epping	
			itself, as	s ai	n effective barrier against sprawl from large	
	•	outside of the study area.				
	•	-			work of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
	•	he specifically mentioned bui ot in close proximity to the sp	•			
		ring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0	
	ther	The towns merging into one				
		s not provide, or form part of	- 2 0 2 D) nr (snace between towns	
. ,	See Question 4		, a gap i	51.3	space between towns.	
. ,	See Question 4					
• •	See Question 4					
• •	See Question 4					
	See Question 4	above.				
(10) S	See Question 4	above.				
		ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
encr	roachment					
(11) T	The Green Belt	designation here protects ap	opropria	te	Green Belt development in the countryside.	
					cel is characterised by open countryside	
	•	· · ·	•		nall hamlets/villages, pockets of woodland,	
-			•		othill Golf Course to the centre north of the	
		pment of the parcel would	have re	du	ice the overall openness of the parcel in a	
	/isual sense.	aractoricad by the contly up	dulatio	~ f	armland with long onen views to the town	
				-	armland with long open views to the town of the land at the urban edge prevents	
		•				
	encroachment. Therefore, Green Belt designation in this parcel safeguards the countryside from encroachment.					
-	•	pecial character of historic to		·	Relatively Weak – 2	
(14) T	The parcel adjo	pins the historic town Chippin	g Ongar	at	the north- eastern boundary.	
. ,					's historic core, which contains a large	
r	number of liste	ed buildings.				
					ance of a 'historic town', and the street	
p	plan, castle and	d church are all prominent rei	minders	of	fits medieval origins. The built-up area of	

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

the historic core consists mostly of narrow properties fronting either side of the High Street. Therefore, it is unlikely that Green Belt land would contribute to the significance of the town or heritage assets in this section, because the focal point of the medieval buildings was on the main road, rather than the open character of the land.

(17) The removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land that abuts the urban edge would cause harm to the historic linear pattern. It is unlikely that the loss of openness from urbanising Green Belt land south of Stondon Road and east of the Marden Ash Estate would cause harm to the setting of the historic town and heritage assets, as the 1950's development provides a strong physical barrier.

	Parcel DSR 025 – (South East of North Weald Bassett)							
	Parcel Size: 602.42 hectares							
	mary of Assessn			_				
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tota	al		5					
1. Cł	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	area	S	No Contribution – 0			
(1)	The parcel ad	joins the Brentwood Boroug	h's bo	ound	dary at the south east border of the Epping			
	Forest District	. The parcel does not act, in i	tself,	as a	an effective barrier against sprawl from large			
	built-up areas	outside of the study area.						
(2)					twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against			
	•	he specifically mentioned buil	•					
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp	ecific	ally				
	-	Iring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0			
an	other							
(4) (5)	 south of the Epping Ongar Railway. The northern boundary follows the Epping Ongar Railway, which is considered a very strong boundary Strong eastern boundary formed by Ongar Park Wood / Mount Wood / Gravel Pit 							
(6)	creating a stro prominently be	ng boundary. Parcel boundar eing formed by field boundari	y to tl es.	he s	tches includes thick mature woodland outheast is relatively indefensible North Weald Basset is 3.6km.			
(7)	There are no w evidence of rib	vell-used thoroughfares betwe bon development. There is ev Toothill- development within	een Cl videno	hipp ce o	ing Ongar and North Weald Bassett and no f ribbon development along the routes eas of the parcel could consolidate and			
(8)	The overall per	rception is one of open counti	yside					
(9)	however it cou	Ild compromise the openness	of the	e pa				
(10)	however it cou	Ild compromise the openness						
	sist in safeguar croachment	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5			
(11)	(11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt development in the countryside. With the exception of Chipping Ongar, the parcel is characterised by open countryside (predominantly arable farmland) interspersed by small hamlets/villages, pockets of woodland, playing fields at the south- eastern boundary and Toothill Golf Course to the centre north of the parcel. Development of the parcel would have reduce the overall openness of the parcel in a visual sense.							
(12)	-				I, surrounded by a patchwork of elevated, nich overlooks the surrounding areas of lower			

undulating farmland. It is unlikely that the topography and location would be able to prevent encroachment of development, given the proximity to the village. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment.

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.07% (0.41 hectares) of built development at Toot Hill village at the junction of Tool Hill Road and School Road.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0		
(14)	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.			
(15)	(15) See Question 14 above.			
(16)	See Question 14 above.			

Parcel DSR 026 – (South of North Weald Bassett)								
Parcel Size: 106.00 hectares								
	Summary of Assessment							
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt1 st GB PurposeNo Contribution0							
	•	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tota	al		5					
1. Cl	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas		No Contribution – 0			
(1)	The parcel do outside of the		ective	bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas			
(2)	The parcel doe	es not contribute, as part of a	wider	net	work of parcels, to a strategic barrier against			
		he specifically mentioned bui						
(3)	The parcel is n	ot in close proximity to the sp	ecifica	lly	mentioned built-up areas.			
2. Pr	event neighbou	iring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0			
an	other							
(4)	•				of Chipping Ongar and North Weald Bassett.			
					he northern boundary. The EOR railway			
					the parcel and the settlement.			
(5)	-				at the western and south –western edge of			
					arrier at the northern boundary. The eastern			
	-				crosses the EOR tracks at the north – east			
		÷			a hedgerow that has large openings.			
(6)			-		North Weald Basset is 3.6km.			
(7)		f ribbon development.	een Ch	ipp	ing Ongar and North Weald Bassett. There is			
(8)		•	een tov	vns	s that pass through this parcel.			
(9)					ipping Ongar means that a reduction in the			
	gap would not	compromise the physical sep	aratior	n of	f the towns.			
(10)	A reduction in	the gap could compromise th	e open	ne	ss of the parcel visually however there is no			
	real threat of v	visual separation of the towns						
3. As	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5			
en	croachment							
(11)	The Green Bel	t designation here protects th	e coun	try	side. The parcel is mostly used or agricultural			
	purposes, with the exception of the woodland burial ground at the northern boundary, along Kiln							
	Road. There are three PRoW that pass through the parcel, along the perimeters of fields and two							
	that follow the southern boundary.							
(12)			-	-	cultural (predominantly arable) fields, with a			
		-			ss the area, which provides an open view to			
	-				. It is unlikely that the topography would be			
			, Greer	۱B	elt designation in this parcel safeguards the			
(12)	•	om encroachment.	·		tation of a second as solution at the second s			
(13)	i nere is no evi	dence of encroachment or ot	ner urb	an	ising elements within the parcel.			

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution - 0				
· ·					
15) See Question 14 above.16) See Question 14 above.					
17) See Question 14 above.					

Parcel DSR 027 – (South West of North Weald Bassett) Parcel Size: 418.20 hectares						
Summary of Assessment						
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
1st GB PurposeNo Contribution0						
2nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0						
3 rd GB Purpose Strong 5						
4th GB Purpose No Contribution0						
Total 5						
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0						
(1) The parcel does not act, in itself, as an effective barrier against sprawl from	large built-up areas					
outside of the study area.						
(2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a stra	ategic barrier against					
the sprawl of the specifically mentioned built-up areas.						
(3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas	S.					
2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0 another						
(4) The parcel does not provide, or form part of, a gap or space between towns.(5) See Question 4 above.						
(6) See Question 4 above.						
(7) See Question 4 above.(7) See Question 4 above.						
(8) See Question 4 above.						
(9) See Question 4 above.						
(10) See Question 4 above.						
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Strong – 5						
encroachment						
(11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt developmer	t in the countryside.					
The parcel consist of a significant amount of deciduous and ancient woo						
Wood, Birching Coppice, Hawskshill Wood and Beachet Wood) that forms a st	rip from the western					
to the eastern boundary, as well as the entire eastern and northern bour	ndary. Towards the					
centre of the parcel there are some open fields that are connected to Gayne						
remaining open fields, towards the north- western and southern boundaries						
agricultural purposes. There are a number PRoWs that line and pass th	rough the areas of					
woodland and agricultural fields at the southern boundary.	· · · · · · ·					
(12) The parcel is set on a ridge of higher land that overlooks surrounding undulat						
regard to the existing development at Mount End village, it is unlikely that the topography would						
be able to prevent encroachment of development. Therefore, Green Belt designation in this parcel						
safeguards the countryside from encroachment.(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.						
4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0						
(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.						
(15) See Question 14 above.						
(16) See Question 14 above.						

Parcel DSR 029 – (North East of M11/M25 interchange)							
Parcel Size: 260.60	hectares						
Summary of Assessr							
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
1 st GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
3 rd GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4					
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Total		4					
1. Check the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-u	p areas	No Contribution – 0				
(1) The parcel do	es not act, in itself, as an eff	ective b	arrier against sprawl from large built-up areas				
outside of the	study area.						
(2) The parcel doe	es not contribute, as part of a	wider n	etwork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against				
the sprawl of t	he specifically mentioned bui	lt-up are	as.				
(3) The parcel is n	ot in close proximity to the sp	pecificall	/ mentioned built-up areas.				
-	uring towns merging into one	:	No Contribution – 0				
another							
(4) The parcel doe	es not provide, or form part of	f, a gap o	r space between towns.				
(5) See Question 4	4 above.						
(6) See Question 4	4 above.						
(7) See Question 4	4 above.						
(8) See Question 4	4 above.						
(9) See Question 4	4 above.						
(10) See Question 4	4 above.						
	ding the countryside from		Relatively Strong – 4				
encroachment							
(11) The Green Bel	t designation here protects a	ppropria	te Green Belt development in the countryside.				
The parcel co	nsists mostly of fields used	for agri	cultural purposes, with the exception of the				
relatively large	e Hobbs Cross golf course at t	he south	- western corner and the pockets of woodland				
at the centre o	of the parcel and towards the	south-ea	istern boundary.				
(12) The parcel end	compasses a strongly undulat	ing topc	graphy, which creates a number of ridges and				
slopes however given the t location of the parcel relative to existing development, it is unlikely the							
Green Belt designation in this land parcel prevents encroachment.							
(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.							
4. To preserve the s	pecial character of historic to	wns	No Contribution – 0				
() =			L .				
	historic towns within or adjace	ent to th	e parcel.				
(16) See Question 2							
(17) See Question 14 above.							

Parce	No	Parcel DSR 030 – (North and West of Abridge)					
	Parcel Size: 895.5 hectares						
Sumr	nary of Assessn	nent					
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
	B Purpose	No Contribution	0				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
4 th 6	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Tota	al		5				
1. Cł	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas		No Contribution – 0		
(1)	•		ective b	bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas		
(2)	outside of the	•					
(2)	•	-			work of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
(3)	•	he specifically mentioned bui ot in close proximity to the sp	•				
		iring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0		
	other						
(4)	The parcel doe	s not provide, or form part of	. a gap	or	space between towns.		
(5)	See Question 4		, a Bab	0.			
(6)	See Question 4						
(7)	See Question 4	l above.					
(8)	See Question 4	l above.					
(9)	See Question 4						
(10)	See Question 4						
	sist in safeguar croachment	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5		
 (11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt development in the countryside. The majority of the land within this parcel is used for agricultural purposes that are lined with PRoWs, and small LoWS to the southwest corner of the site adjacent to the Junction 5 of the M11. Three small areas of designated woodland (LoWS) to the northeast of the site at Hilly Spring, Bartlemy Grove and Bush Grove. There is also the Abridge golf course at the north-western boundary and Woolston Manor golf course at the south-western boundary. (12) To the north, the parcel encompasses a strongly undulating topography, which creates a number of ridges and slopes and to the south east, the ground is relatively level and abuts the small village Abridge. It is unlikely that the topography and location would be able to prevent encroachment of development, given the proximity to the village and the level landform. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment. (13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately 0.21% (1.9 hectares) north of Abridge, near Hobbs Cross and south of Abridge Golf Course. 4. To preserve the special character of historic towns 							
4. 10	4. TO preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – U						
 (14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel. (15) See Question 14 above. (16) See Question 14 above. (17) See Question 14 above. 							

Parcel DSR 033 – (Land Surrounding Stapleford Abbotts) Parcel Size: 1,130.00 hectares							
Summary of Assessment							
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
	GB Purpose	Moderate	3				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Tot	•		8				
1. C	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	s	Moderate – 3		
(1) (2) (3) 2. Pr	 district. The southern boundary of the parcel is near the northern areas of Romford which to a certain extent acts itself, as an effective barrier against sprawl from London. (2) The parcel does contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the sprawl of London (Romford). There is evidence of ribbon development between Havering-atte-Bower and Stapleford Abbots along North Road. 						
(4)		es not provide, or form part of		n or	space between towns		
(4)	•	es not provide, or form part of			•		
(6)	•	es not provide, or form part of			-		
(7)	•				s that pass through this parcel.		
(8)		-			s that pass through this parcel.		
(9)		es not provide, or form part of					
(10)		es not provide, or form part of					
		ding the countryside from	01		Strong – 5		
	croachment						
 (11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt development in the countryside. The Green Belt designation in this area predominantly protects arable fields which are lined with an intact network of mature hedgerows and PRoWs. The patchwork of hedgerows and trees, as well as the pockets of woodland towards the southern boundary give a varying sense of enclosure. The Stapleford Airfield is located at the north-western boundary and the Tysea Hill golf course and Nupers Lakes Fishery are situated towards the south-eastern boundary. (12) The linear village Stapleford Abbotts is situated at the southern boundary and is surrounded by a gently undulating landform. There is evidence of encroachment, to the north of the village. It is unlikely that the topography and location prevents any further encroachment of development. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment. (12) The parcel has been encroached by approx 0.14% (1.61 bestares) at Pourophridge. 							
 (13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.14 % (1.61 hectares) at Bournebridge. 4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0 							
	Preserve tile S		1112				
(14)	There are no h	istoric towns within or adjace	nt to	the	parcel.		
(15)) See Question 14 above.						
GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(16) See Question 14 above.(17) See Question 14 above.

	Parcel DSR 034 – (North of Hainault Forest) Parcel Size: 815.00 hectares							
	Summary of Assessment							
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tot	•		9					
			_	_				
1. C		ricted sprawl of large built-up			Relatively Strong – 4			
(1)		·			ge, at the southern border of Epping Forest			
		-			he south west boundary of the parcel with			
(-)	•	from Chigwell Row and Haina		-				
(2)	•	-			ndary and adjoins DSR-033 at the eastern			
	•		•		untryside that forms a strategic barrier that			
(2)	• •	vl from the north eastern edge						
(3)				-	parcel are formed of topographical changes in aries capable of containing development. The			
	• •	•			I-defined being formed by Hainault Forest			
			•		parcel is made up of the built-up area of			
	•		•		pographical changes in the landscape, with			
	-	discernible boundaries capabl		•				
2. Pr	2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0							
	another							
(4)	4) The parcel does not provide, or form part of, a gap or space between towns.							
(5)	See Question 4	l above.						
(6)	See Question 4	l above.						
(7)	See Question 4	l above.						
(8)	See Question 4	l above.						
(9)	See Question 4							
(10)	See Question 4							
	-	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5			
er	ncroachment							
(11)	The Green Be	elt designation here helps p	rotect	ap	ppropriate Green Belt development in the			
	countryside. T	he land in this parcel consist	s most	ly	of arable land with large areas of woodland			
	throughout, w	hich are lined with PRoWs. Th	ne Lam	bo	urne End Outdoor Centre and Camp site also			
	facilitates out	loor sport and recreation.						
(12)	The parcel abu	its Abridge, to the north and i	nclude	s a	number of small-scale settlement pattern of			
					urne and Lambourne End. The topography of			
					is unlikely to prevent encroachment of			
			elt de	sig	nation safeguards the countryside from			
	encroachment		-	_				
(13)				0.0	08% (0.63 hectare) south of Abridge.			
4. To	preserve the sp	pecial character of historic to	wns		No Contribution – 0			

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.

(15) See Question 14 above.

(16) See Question 14 above.

(17) See Question 14 above.

	Parcel DSR 035 – (Land North, West and East of Chigwell) Parcel Size: 792.80						
Summary of Assessment							
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
	1 st GB Purpose Strong 5						
2 nd	2 nd GB Purpose Moderate 3						
3 rd (GB Purpose	Strong 5					
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution 0					
Tota	al	13					
1. Cł	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up areas	Strong – 5				
(1)	The parcel ad development		porder of the boundary and abuts the built				
(2)	•		DSR039, contributing to a wider network of				
	parcels which a	act as a strategic barrier against the spi	rawl of London.				
(3)	-		e boundary is formed by the Central Line (in				
			ets the M11 The B173 east of Grange Hill				
	is little defence		ees and hedges to the north of this road there				
2 Pr		ring towns merging into one	Moderate – 3				
	other	ining towns merging into one	Nodelate - 5				
(4)	•	ns part of a gap between the towns of	Chigwell and Loughton / Debden and				
(=)		owns of Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill.					
(5)		-	est of the parcel by the M11 as well as				
(6)	-	and Gravel Road. etween the towns Chigwell and Buckhi	urst Hill is 1.2km. The distance between the				
(0)		vell and Loughton/ Debden is 1.6km.	ist minis 1.2km. The distance between the				
(7)	-	-	ong the A113 between Chigwell and junction				
	5 of the M11.						
(8)			getation. The gap created by the River				
	• .	c c	apparent from Chigwell Rise (B170) as it				
		C	r from High Road and Abridge Road although				
			are sporadic views of the gap between				
	-		close to the junction with Vicarage Lane ces. Other well used thoroughfares to the				
			The overall perception of the gaps between				
		ble, with vegetation restricting views in					
(9)			separation of the towns in physical terms				
		acting as a strong boundary to coalesce					
(10)			lill/Debden/Loughton are for the most part				
			opographic variations, particular toward				
	Chigwell Rise a	nd Chigwell Lane, do allow for some vi	ews of the towns.				
3. 49	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from	Strong – 5				
	croachment						

- (11) The Green Belt designation here protects appropriate Green Belt development in the countryside. The parcel consists mostly of fields used for agricultural purposes that are lined with PRoWs. The field pattern is interspersed with small pockets of deciduous woodland and Hainault Forest Country Park forms part of the south-eastern boundary. A large number of sports grounds and playing fields directly that adjoin the urban edge of Chigwell to the north and west, as well as the Grange Farm Riding School, caravanpark and cricket ground. At the eastern edge of the town are allotment gardens and further south is a relatively large cemetery. Adjoining the settlement Chigwell Row, to the north, are allotment gardens and two camp sites.
- (12) The parcel abuts the Chigwell, Grange Hill and Chigwell Row, to the south. The topography of the land surrounding existing development encompasses a gently undulating landform, created by a significant visually slope at parcel boundary, to the west. Given that there is existing evidence of ribbon development, north of Chigwell, it is unlikely that the topography in the area could prevent encroachment of development. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately 0.11% (0.88 hectares) north of Chigwell.

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0	
 (14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to th (15) See Question 14 above. (16) See Question 14 above. (17) See Question 14 above. 	e parcel.	

Sum Parco 1 st (mary of Assessr		Parcel DSR 036 – (Land South of Chigwell)					
Parce 1 st (-	Parcel Size: 108.70						
1 st (Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4					
2	GB Purpose	Moderate	3					
2rd	GB Purpose		4					
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong						
-	•	No Contribution	0					
Tot			11					
		ricted sprawl of large built-u		Relatively Strong – 4				
(1)		÷		border of the Epping Forest district boundary				
				ford Bridge. It also adjoins Grange Hill which				
(2)				with London (LB Redbridge). acting as a strategic barrier to the growth of				
(2)				and south and Woodford to the west. Chigwell				
				he A113 create two areas of undeveloped gap				
		-		odford Bridge in the south which are part of				
	-	-		gwell and north from Woodford Bridge along				
	High Road (A1							
(3)		-	/11 moto	rway and parts of northern boundary created				
	by the Centra	l line. However, the other b	oundarie	are weak as they are formed by residential				
	gardens.							
2. Pr	event neighbou	iring towns merging into on	e	Moderate – 3				
ar	other							
(4)	The parcel itse	If is situated south and south	nwest of C	higwell. The parcel forms part of a gap or				
	•	n the towns of Chigwell and E						
(5)								
(0)	by the Central line. However, the other boundaries are weak formed by residential gardens.							
				re weak formed by residential gardens.				
(6)	The distance b	etween the towns Chigwell a	and Buckh	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km.				
(6) (7)	The distance b There are no v	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th	and Buckh e parcel b	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns.				
(6) (7) (8)	The distance b There are no v There are no v	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns.				
(6) (7)	The distance b There are no v There are no v The M11, the	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong				
(6) (7) (8)	The distance b There are no v There are no v The M11, the boundaries be	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to igwell and	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A				
(6) (7) (8)	The distance b There are no v There are no v The M11, the boundaries be reduction in th	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch he gap is not likely to compro	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to igwell and mise the	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong I Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with				
(6) (7) (8) (9)	The distance b There are no w There are no w The M11, the boundaries be reduction in th the M11 acting	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch he gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa	and Buckh e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with				
(6) (7) (8)	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch he gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev	and Buckh e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopmen	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with				
(6) (7) (8) (9)	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. S	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch the gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopment the urbar	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong I Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in a reas could be considered appropriate				
(6) (7) (8) (9) (10)	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. So provided the w	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch he gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining isual openness of the gap is	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopment the urbar	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in areas could be considered appropriate ed.				
(6) (7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. So provided the w	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch the gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopment the urbar	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong I Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in a reas could be considered appropriate				
(6) (7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As er	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. So provided the we provided the we	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch e gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining isual openness of the gap is ding the countryside from	and Buckh e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopment the urbar not affect	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in a reas could be considered appropriate ed. Relatively Strong – 3				
(6) (7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. So provided the w sist in safeguar the land west	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch is gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining isual openness of the gap is ding the countryside from	and Buckh e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopmen the urban not affect	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in a reas could be considered appropriate ed. Relatively Strong – 3 ostly of patches of woodland and the playing				
(6) (7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As er	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. So provided the w sist in safeguar the land west	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch e gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining isual openness of the gap is ding the countryside from a of the High Road (A113) co Hatch High School. The lan	and Buckh e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopmen the urban not affect	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in a reas could be considered appropriate ed. Relatively Strong – 3				
(6) (7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As er	The distance by There are now There are now The M11, the boundaries be reduction in the the M11 acting Depending on visual terms. So provided the w sist in safeguar the land west fields of West Chigwell Golf (etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th Central Line and the body of tween the settlements of Ch e gap is not likely to compro g as a strong boundary to coa the scale and location of dev ome development adjoining isual openness of the gap is ding the countryside from thatch High Road (A113) co Hatch High School. The lan Course.	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b water to igwell and mise the alescence relopment the urban not affect	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns. the east of Buckhurst Hill form very strong Buckhurst Hill as they relate to this parcel .A separation of the towns in physical terms with there could be a reduction in the gap in a reas could be considered appropriate ed. Relatively Strong – 3 ostly of patches of woodland and the playing				
(6) (7) (8)	The distance b There are no v There are no v	etween the towns Chigwell a vell-used thoroughfares in th vell-used thoroughfares in th	and Buckh e parcel b e parcel b	re weak formed by residential gardens. urst Hill is 1.2km. etween the towns. etween the towns.				

Report to Cabinet 3 September 2015 APPENDIX 2	GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015				
forms relatively strong slope at the urban edges of Chigwell, Hainault and Grange Hill, it is					
that the topography prevents encroachment					
(13) The parcel has not been encroached by built of	development or other urbanising elements.				
4. To preserve the special character of historic tow	ns No Contribution – 0				
(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacen	t to the parcel.				
(15) See Question 14 above.					
(16) See Question 14 above.					
(17) See Ouestion 14 above.					

Parcel DSR 038 – (Southwest of M11 and the London Underground Fairlop Loop)							
	Parcel Size: 42.74 hectares Summary of Assessment						
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
1 st (1 st GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4						
	GB Purpose	Moderate	3				
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Tot	al		9				
1. C	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	Relatively Strong – 4			
(1)	Green by play Woodford Gre The parcel for	ring fields. To the south east een are visible where vegetations an important gap, defined	corner o on becom d by the f	M11 and river in west and east, respectively,			
(3)	 preventing the sprawl of the London urban expanse from the west, south and east. (3) The parcel is contained by the London Underground railway railway line to the north, River Roding to the west and the M11 to the south-east. Woodford Green is located beyond the playing fields to the west of the parcel. The River Roding its flood plain and playing fields appear to have prevented the eastward growth of Woodford Green. The river forms an important feature that has prevented the sprawl of this large urban area. If this boundary were breached sprawl would likely be prevented by the M11. 						
	-	uring towns merging into one		Moderate – 3			
 (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) 	 another (4) The parcel forms part of a gap or space between the towns of Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill. (5) The site is bounded by the London underground railway track to the north; the M11 to the east and the River Roding to the south and west. A track crosses through the centre of the parcel with some residential properties on the western side (to south of Luxborough Lane) and treed boundary between Old Loughtonians Hockey Club and Refuse Depot (to north of Luxborough Lane). East of the track has remained relatively undeveloped in part due to flooding constraints. The flood plain has provided a strong physical natural barrier preventing development at Buckhurst Hill / Woodford Green from expanding eastwards. The M11 and River Roding combine to form an important barrier preventing the coalescence of Chigwell in the east and Buckhurst Hill/ Woodford Green in the west. (6) The distance between the towns Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill is 1.2km. (7) There is no evidence of ribbon development within parcel. The only residential properties are located within the southern parts of Luxborough Lane by the track running to the water works site and along the track itself. (8) Vegetation & topography along Luxborough Lane prevent views into the parcel. 						
(10)	is likely to com		of settle	ilst not resulting in the physical coalescence, ments. Where the vegetation becomes much more pronounced.			

	sist in safeguarding the countryside from croachment	Relatively Weak – 2				
(11)	 The Green Belt designation in this land parcel does protect countryside uses as much of the area that adjoins the M11, at the south-western boundary is used for outdoor sports and recreation however no or very little land is used for agriculture. The remaining land consists of a disused sewage treatment works with patches of woodland and overall the countryside is of rather low quality in this parcel. 					
(12)) The River Roding runs along the boundary, to the west, creating a gently undulating landform that gradually becomes slightly more level, to the north east. It is unlikely that the topography of the land and location relative to existing development would prevent encroachment.					
(13)	There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbar	ising elements within the parcel.				
4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0				
(14)	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.					
(15)	5) See Question 14 above.					
(16)	See Question 14 above.					
(17)	See Question 14 above.					

	Parcel DSR 039 – (East of Buckhurst Hill)							
	Parcel Size: 260.20 hectares							
Summary of Assessment								
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt 1 st GB Purpose Moderate 3							
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tot			13					
		ricted sprawl of large built-u		Moderate – 3				
(1) (2) (3)								
2. Pr	parcel.	uring towns merging into one	e	Strong – 5				
 another (4) The parcel forms part of a gap or space between the towns of Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill and Chigwell and Loughton / Debden. The parcel also performs a role in preventing further merger of Loughton / Debden and Buckhurst Hill. (5) The eastern and southern boundaries, are formed by the M11 and the London underground railway track which are both very strong boundaries The River Roding runs north / south through the middle of the parcel forming a strong boundary. The western and north- western and the majority of the boundaries within the parcel are weak boundaries comprised of residential gardens, fields and nature reserve sites. (6) The distance between the towns Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill is 1.2km. The distance between the towns Chigwell and Loughton/Debden is 1.6km. (7) There is no evidence of ribbon development identified within the parcel. (8) As Chigwell Rise crosses the M11, some long views are possible toward Buckhurst Hill across the river valley. These views in particular create a perception of open countryside. (9) A reduction in the gap would not compromise the separation of the towns in physical terms. The majority of western boundary abuts Loughton/Debden and Buckhurst Hill. However, Chigwell in the east is physically separated from Loughton /Debden and Buckhurst Hill by the strong infrastructure boundary created by the M11 and natural barrier formed by the River Roding. (10) A reduction in the gap is likely to compromise the visual perception of the gap between Loughton/Debden and Chigwell and Buckhurst Hill and Chigwell. 								
	encroachment	arding the countryside from		Strong – 5				
(11)	The parcel inc part of the Riv urban edges of grounds and	ver Roding Valley Local Wild of Buckhurst Hill and Lought allotment gardens, in additi	life Site. con/ Debd ion to the	At the western boundary, which adjoins the en there are a large amount of recreational e sports grounds, playing fields and football rimary School. The majority of the PRoWs are				

concentrated at the south- estern edge of the parcel. The River Roding gently meanders through the parcel and includes a patchwork of small scale arable fields and pockets of woodland. There is a large lake (ex-gravel extraction for the motorway) in the southwest in the southwest section of the parcel which stocked with fish and has an active angling club.

- (12) The parcel encompasses the lower section of the river Roding that has gently or steeply sloping valley sides, which dissect an otherwise gently undulating landform often facilitate views across and along the valley to the towns of Loughton and Buckhurst Hill, to the west however it is unlikely that the topography of the land in this parcel would prevent encroachment.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 1.26% (3.28 hectares) of built development east of Buckhurst Hill and Loughton.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0				
(14)	14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.					
(15)	15) See Question 14 above.					
(16)	6) See Question 14 above.					
(17)	7) See Question 14 above.					

	Parcel DSR 041 – (East of Theydon Bois) Parcel Size: 316.40						
Summary of Assessment							
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
	1st GB Purpose No Contribution						
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	0				
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Tota	-	No contribution	6				
			-				
1. Cł		ricted sprawl of large built-up			No Contribution – 0		
(1)					r against sprawl from large built-up areas.		
(2)			wider	net	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
(0)	•	arge built-up areas.					
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp		ally			
	-	ring towns merging into one			Relatively Weak- 2		
	other						
(4)				-	ap between Theydon Bois and Loughton /		
(5)		•		•	ap between Loughton / Debden and Epping.		
(5)			-		of the parcel. The M25 forms a very strong forms a strong boundary on the western		
	•	-			through the parcel forming a strong		
	•				hear (east – west) wooded areas in the		
	•	on of the parcel which act as b					
(6)					4 km and the distance between Theydon		
. ,		iton / Debden is .7km	Ū				
(7)	There are no w	ell-used thoroughfares betwe	een to	wns	s that pass through this parcel.		
(8)	There are no w	ell-used thoroughfares betwe	een to	wns	s that pass through this parcel.		
(9)					al gap between Theydon Bois and Loughton /		
			-	•	nding on the scale of reduction.		
(10)					visual separation of the towns identified,		
			prese	ence	e of areas of woodland in the south and the		
2.4-	M25 to the nor				Delatively Change 4		
	croachment	ding the countryside from			Relatively Strong – 4		
(11)	The Green Be	It designation in this land p	barcel	do	es protect countryside uses. Theydon Bois		
	•	-			east of the site. PRoW – good established		
	•				arcel – enhances public access toward south		
	of parcel within Woodland Trust new plantation. Private fishing lakes to east of cemetery. Parcel						
	includes Abridge Road and Coopersale Lane, local transport routes. Agricultural land to sout						
	-		y torm	er o	quarry/scrubland with limited land given over		
(12)	to agricultural	,					
(12)		-			ompasses a strongly undulating topography,		
					topography and location relative to existing		
(13)		vould be able to prevent encr dence of encroachment or ot			ising elements within the parcel.		
(12)	110 201		ici ul	มสา1	ising cicilicitis within the parter.		

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0				
(15) See Question 14 above.					
16) See Question 14 above.					
(17) See Question 14 above.					

Parcel DSR 042 – (South of Theydon Bois and North of Loughton / Debden)						
Parcel Size: 107.70 hectares						
Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
1 st GB Purpose	No Contribution	o Ber	[
2 nd GB Purpose	Strong	5				
3 rd GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4				
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	4				
Total	No contribution	9				
1. Check the unr	estricted sprawl of large built	t-up		No Contribution – 0		
areas						
				r against sprawl from large built-up areas.		
	-	wider	net	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
	arge built-up areas.					
	ot in close proximity to the sp		ally			
2. Prevent neighbou another	uring towns merging into one			Strong – 5		
	10					
				eydon Bois and Loughton / Debden.		
	-			the south of Theydon Bois are formed		
				strength of the southern boundary is		
	ome extent by a small waterco					
	etween Theydon Bois Lought					
				ng Loughton Lane (primary thoroughfare Jeydon Bois. Extension of ribbon		
	-			ceived merger between the two settlements.		
•			•	nect the settlements, significant ribbon		
				to the west of the Central Line.		
•				ane. However, sparse hedgerow combined		
	erspersed mature trees, provid	-				
				nall. A reduction in the gap could very well		
	he separation of the towns.		•			
-	-	he no	rth	of the parcel providing strong, uninterrupted		
				as a result of development would be		
particularly pr	ominent if located toward the	ridge	. Vi	ews from Loughton (outside of the parcel)		
northward are	e restricted due to the Debden	Park	Sch	ool and the tree-lined brook. Overall, the		
character of th	ne parcel, with relatively few in	nterna	al fe	atures retains an open aesthetic despite		
being bounded	d by build development to the	north	n an	d south. Development within the parcel of a		
		etrime	nta	impacts on the openness of the gap.		
	ding the countryside from			Relatively Strong – 4		
encroachment						
(11) The majority of	of the land within the parcel	can b	e ch	naracterised as arable agriculture. The parcel		
			-	School and Davenant Foundation School both		
				cludes an area of open space (comprising of		
				eas) to the east of Davenant School. Home		
		e nort	h of	Loughton with the main entrance situated off		
England's Lane		orth	and	Loughton to the couth the land month		
(12) The parcel at	Juis Theydon Bols, to the r	iorth	ano	I Loughton, to the south, the land mostly		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

encompasses a strongly undulating topography, which creates ridges and slopes. It is likely that the topography and location relative to existing development would be able to prevent encroachment in this parcel. However there are some areas of level land at the urban edge of the Theydon Bois, along the railway tracks, to the east. Given that there is existing evidence of ribbon development in this area, it is unlikely that the topography in this area could prevent encroachment of development.

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 4.30% (4.67 hectares) north east of Loughton at Debden Park School

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0			
(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.				
(15) See Question 14 above.				
(16) See Question 14 above.				
7) See Question 14 above.				

Parcel Size: 105.20 hectares Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt 1 st GB Purpose No Contribution 0 2 nd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 3 rd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 8 0 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0 areas 1 The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas (1) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas 2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one Relatively Strong – 4				
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt 1 st GB Purpose No Contribution 0 2 nd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 3 rd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 0 0 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution - 0 11 The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas 1 (1) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. 3 (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
2 nd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 3 rd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 8 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0 (1) The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas. (2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
3 rd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4 4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 0 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0 11. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0 (1) The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas. (2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
4th GB Purpose No Contribution 0 Total 8 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0 areas No Contribution – 0 (1) The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas (2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
Total 8 1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0 areas No Contribution – 0 (1) The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas (2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
 Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up areas The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas 				
areas (1) The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built-up and (2) (2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
 (2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier the sprawl of large built-up areas. (3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas 				
the sprawl of large built-up areas.(3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas				
(3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas	against			
another				
(4) The parcel forms an important part of the gap which separates Theydon Bois and Epping				
connected to DSR044, DSR045, DSR041 and DSR054.				
(5) The M25 provides a very strong physical boundary to the north of the parcel. Barrier enhan	iced			
and widened to the west of the M25 by woodland.(6) The distance of the gap between Epping- Theydon Bois is 1.1 km.				
 (6) The distance of the gap between Epping- Theydon Bois is 1.1 km. (7) Theydon Road/Piercing Hill is a well-used thoroughfare between the towns. Ribbon development 	nment			
extends along part of the thoroughfare southwest of Little Gregories Lane. There is a risk t				
further ribbon development could lead to a merger with sparse residential development lo	cated in			
the Bell Common Tunnel area to the South of Epping.				
(8) Theydon Road/ Piercing Hill: to the south of this route, intermittent views of golf course ar				
possible. Views beyond the course are obscured by mature hedgerow and trees plating alc road verge and trees with the course.	ng the			
 (9) Physical connection of the two settlements restricted by M25 and to a lesser extent woodl 	and			
(10) Given the degree of visual separation provided by the M25 and woodland to the north of t				
parcel, some reduction of the gap (with the exception of the high ground to the north east	of			
Theydon) is unlikely to have significant impact on the visual separation of the two settleme				
instance the 'triangular' area east of Dukes Avenue and west of the railway line (but south	of the			
visually significant slope) performs very poorly against this purpose. However a significant reduction of the gap would inevitably have a significant impact on the perceived openness	ofthe			
reduction of the gap would inevitably have a significant impact on the perceived openness of the gap.				
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Relatively Strong – 4				
encroachment				
(11) The Green Belt designation in this land parcel does protect countryside uses. A high property	ortion of			
the parcel consists of Theydon Bois Golf Course and driving range. There a number of	-			
routes to the east of the parcel. The land to the east of Dukes Avenue, whilst not designat				
space, appears well used for informal recreation. The site also includes a riding sche remainder of the parcel is a mix of grassland, paddock, arable farmland and woodland.	space, appears well used for informal recreation. The site also includes a riding school. The			
(12) The parcel mostly encompasses a strongly undulating topography, which creates rid				
slopes. It is likely that the topography and location relative to existing development would	zes and			

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

to prevent encroachment in this parcel. However, at the southeast corner of the site the land occupies a lower topographic position. Given that this area abuts Theydon Bois, it is unlikely that the topography in the area could prevent encroachment of development.

(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0	
(14)	4) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel		
(15)	5) See Question 14 above.		
(16)	6) See Question 14 above.		
(17)	7) See Question 14 above.		

Parce	Parcel DSR 044 – (South West of Epping)					
Parcel Size: 69.38 hectares						
	Summary of Assessment					
		n to the Purposes of the Gree				
	iB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Weak	1			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	5			
4 th 6	GB Purpose	Moderate	3			
Tota	al		9			
1. Ch	eck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	No Contribution – 0		
(1)	The Parcel doe	es not act in itself as an effecti	ive barrie	r against sprawl from large built-up areas.		
(2)	The parcel doe	s not contribute, as part of a	wider ne	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
	•	arge built-up areas.				
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp	ecifically			
	-	ring towns merging into one		Weak – 1		
	other	•••				
(4)	•		rates The	ydon Bois and Epping connected to DSR043,		
(5)	DSR045 and DS		o couth o	f the nervel. There are not these of woodland		
(5)				f the parcel. There are patches of woodland		
(6)	-	e centre of the parcel which a f the gap between Epping- Th		-		
(7)			•	nent which continues south of the M25 along		
(7)			•	elopment could lead to a merger with sparse		
		elopment located in the Bell (
(8)		e limited along Theydon Road				
(9)		ction of the two settlements i		ed by the M25.		
(10)	•			, M25 and woodland to the south of the		
	-		•	n of the high ground to the north east of		
	Theydon) is un	likely to have significant impa	ict on the	visual separation of the two settlements.		
	However a sign	nificant reduction of the gap v	vould ine	vitably have a significant impact on the		
		nness of the gap.				
	sist in safeguar croachment	ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
(11)	The Green Be	It designation in this land p	oarcel do	es protect countryside uses. The southeast		
	section of the parcel (south of Ivy Chimneys Road) consists of undeveloped agricultural land. The					
	area to the north of the Ivy Chimney Road is recognised for its ecological value as a LoWs and					
		ber of small areas of woodla				
(12)	2) The topography of DSR-44 is characterised by undulating landforms that provide an open view to					
	the urban edge of Epping to the north east. It is unlikely that the topography and location relative					
	to existing development prevents encroachment. Therefore, Green Belt designation in this parcel					
(12)	-	countryside from encroachm				
(13)				hising elements within the parcel.		
4.10	preserve the sp	pecial character of historic to	wns	Moderate – 3		

- (14) The parcel adjoins the historic town Epping, at the south western boundary of the settlement.
- (15) The parcel briefly borders the south western edge of the historic core of Epping town and includes the Bell Common conservation area that contains a number of listed buildings in Green Belt land.
- (16) The open character of the Green Belt land, north of Ivy Chimneys Road contributes positively to the significance of Bell Common, which provides an important transition in the landscape between Epping Forest and the historic core of the town Epping. The land south of Ivy Chimneys Road is separated from the historic core of the town of Epping, by development that occurred in the 20th century to accommodate commuters. Given that this area does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core. It is unlikely that the open character of the Green Belt land in this parcel would contribute positively to the significance of the town and/or heritage assets within the town.
- (17) Given that land south of Ivy Chimneys Road does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Epping, it is unlikely that the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land within parcel DSR-044 would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets within the town.

Parce	Parcel DSR 045 – (South East of Epping)					
Parce	Parcel Size: 127.80 hectares					
	nary of Assessn					
		n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt			
	iB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th 6	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	al		5			
1. Ch	eck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	S	No Contribution – 0	
(1)					r against sprawl from large built-up areas.	
(2)			wider	ne	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
	•	arge built-up areas.				
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp		ally		
	-	ring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0	
	other					
(4)	•	ns part of the gap which sepa				
(5)	•				poundaries that would prevent Epping	
					hed by the existing infrastructure particularly	
		-			boundaries are Brook Road, Stewards Green undaries and Epping Golf Club, with the	
					ge which is well contained by Brook Road at	
	•		Juli	Cu	se when is were contained by brook houd at	
(6)	the northern boundary.(6) The distance of the gap between Epping- Theydon Bois is 1.1 km.					
(7)			•		s that pass through this parcel.	
(8)		-			s that pass through this parcel.	
(9)		-			M25, which forms a strong physical	
	boundary that	prevent any gap from being c	ompr	omi	sed.	
(10)	A reduction in	the gap is unlikely to compro	mise 1	he	visual separation of the towns however it	
	· ·	ise the overall openness of th	e gap.			
3. As	sist in safeguar	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
en	croachment					
(11)	The Green Belt	designation in this land parce	el doe	s pr	otect countryside uses. The parcel consists of	
					estern and eastern boundaries. Epping Golf	
	Course is situated at the centre of the parcel, directly east of Flux's Lane and Brook Road Playing					
	Field forms a small area, at the northern boundary, directly west of Flux's Lane. The PRoWs follow					
(10)	the agricultural field boundaries.					
(12)		-		-	flat or undulating landform. The topography	
	and location relative to existing development does not prevent encroachment and Green Belt designation in this parcel therefore safeguards the countryside from encroachment.					
(13)	-	been encroached by approx.				
		beerial character of historic to		10.	No Contribution – 0	
4.10	hieseive tile st		vv113			
(14)	The north west	t parcal boundary adjains the	histor	ic +	own Enning	
(14)		t parcel boundary adjoins the			own Epping. Immodate commuter, to the north, west and	
(15)	During the 20	century, cpping was extende	ะน เบ ส	ιιc	inimodate commuter, to the north, west and	

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

south. Parcel DSR-045 adjoins the south- eastern boundary of the 20th century development, limiting the physical and visual relationship between the Green Belt land in this parcel and the setting of the historic core of Epping and/ or any heritage assets.

- (16) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Epping the open character of the Green Belt land in this parcel does not contribute positively to the significance of the town and/or heritage assets within the town. Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Epping. It is unlikely that the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land within parcel DSR-045 would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets within the town.
- (17) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Epping. It is unlikely that the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land within parcel DSR-045 would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets within the town.

	Parcel DSR 046 – (East of Epping)					
	Parcel Size: 183.10 hectares					
	Summary of Assessment					
		to the Purposes of the Gree		t		
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	al		5			
1. Cł	neck the unrestr	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	S	No Contribution – 0	
(1)	The Parcel doe	es not act in itself as an effecti	ive ba	rrie	r against sprawl from large built-up areas.	
(2)			wider	net	twork of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
	•	arge built-up areas.				
(3)		ot in close proximity to the sp		ally		
	-	ring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0	
	other					
(4)	•				ing and North Weald Bassett.	
(5)	•	-			by strong boundary created by Epping and	
				-	ation extending along its length. Boundaries idential gardens. Stonards Hill Road creates a	
		-	-		g from the east of Epping and south of	
	Stonards Hill R		CALCI	iuiii	g nom the east of Lpping and south of	
(6)		f the gap between Epping and	l Nort	h W	/eald Bassett is 1.9 km	
(7)					s that pass through this parcel.	
(8)		÷			s that pass through this parcel.	
(9)		÷			separation of towns in physical terms due to	
	the defensible	boundaries outside of the pai	rcel in	clud	ding the M11 and forest / woodland between	
	the towns.					
(10)					separation of the towns visually due to the	
	•		parcel	. Ho	owever it would compromise the overall	
	openness of th					
		ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
	croachment					
(11)					protect countryside uses. The parcel consists	
	•	-			es, which are lined with mature, unprotected	
	trees and hedgerows. There is also a pocket of unprotected woodland at the eastern boundary.					
	Stewards Green Lane, which crosses through the southern part of the parcel, connected Stewards					
	Green Road with Stonards Hill, is a designated LoWS (Ep91). This lane forms part of the network of four PRoWs that connect the south eastern edge of Enging with the south eastern edge of					
	four PRoWs that connect the south- eastern edge of Epping, with the south- eastern edge of					
(12)	Coopersale.					
(12)	(12) The topography of DSR-046 is characterised by gently undulating landform that provides an open view to the urban edge of Epping, to the west. It is unlikely that the topography and location					
					event encroachment. Therefore, Green Belt	
		this parcel safeguards the cou		•		
(13)	-					
(±3)	(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.					

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0			
(14)	The parcel adjoins the south- eastern boundary of the	e historic town Epping.			
(15)	During the 20 th century, Epping was extended to acco	mmodate commuter, to the north, west and			
	south. Parcel DSR-046 adjoins the south- eastern bou	ndary of the 20 th century development,			
	limiting the physical and visual relationship between	the Green Belt land in this parcel and the			
	setting of the historic core of Epping and / or any her	tage assets.			
(16)	Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visu	al relationship with the historic core of			
	Epping, the open character of the Green Belt land in this parcel does not contribute positively to				
	the significance of the town and/or heritage assets within the town.				
(17)	7) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of				
	Epping. It is unlikely that the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on				
	the land within parcel DSR-046 would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic				
	town and heritage assets within the town.				

Parcel DSR 047– (Mill Mound - East of Epping) Parcel Size: 16.26 hectares					
Summary of Assessment					
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0		
	GB Purpose	No Contribtution	0		
	GB Purpose	Strong	5		
	GB Purpose	Weak	0		
Tota	•		5		
1 (1	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	<u> </u>	No Contribution – 0	
	eas				
(1)	The Parcel doe	es not act in itself as an effecti	ive bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas.	
(2)				network of parcels, to a strategic barrier against	
	the sprawl of la	arge built-up areas.			
(3)	The parcel is no	ot in close proximity to the sp	ecifica	lly mentioned built-up areas	
2. Pr	event neighbou	iring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0	
an	other				
(4)	The parcel form	ns part of the gap which sepa	rates l	Epping and North Weald Bassett.	
(5)	Strong defensi	ble boundaries that would pre	event	Epping and North Weald Bassett from merging	
	are created by	the road Stonards Hill to the	north-	east and the EOR to the south-east. Within the	
	parcel the und	eveloped fields are lined with	matu	re hedgerow and trees.	
(6)	The distance of	f the gap between Epping and	l North	ו Weald Bassett is 1.9 km.	
(7)		_		el and no evidence of ribbon development.	
(8)		-		wns that pass through this parcel.	
(9)				ne separation of towns in physical terms due to	
	the defensible the towns.	boundaries outside of the pa	rcel in	cluding the M11 and forest / woodland between	
(10)		the gan is unlikely to compro	mise t	he separation of the towns visually due to the	
(10)				The parcel is bounded by a developed area to	
	•	-	•	ties are visible from a number of vantage points	
			•	east boundaries consist of mature trees that	
	•	-		ontribute towards the openness of the area.	
3. As	•	ding the countryside from		Strong – 5	
	croachment				
(11)	The Green Bel	t designation in this land par	cel do	es protect countryside uses. The parcel consists	
. ,				gerow and trees. At the centre of the parcel and	
	•	Hill, there are relatively large		-	
(12)	-			ently undulating landform that provides an open	
. ,	view to the urban edge of Epping, to the northwest. The topography and location relative to				
				ment. Therefore, Green Belt designation in this	
	parcel safeguards the countryside from encroachment.				
(13)				banising elements within the parcel.	
4. To		pecial character of historic to		No Contribution – 0	
(14)	The parcel adio	pins the eastern boundary of t	the his	toric town Epping.	
(15)					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

historic town. The development provides a physical and visual barrier that limits the relationship between the parcel and the historic core of Epping, to the south- east.

- (16) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Epping. Therefore the open character of the Green Belt land in this parcel does not contribute positively to the significance of the town and/or heritage assets within the town.
- (17) Although the north western parcel boundary abuts the historic town, it is unlikely that the removal of the Green Belt designation would cause harm to the setting and significance of this area, because of the weak physical and visual relationship.

Parcel Size: 68.5 hectares	Parcel DSR 048 – (East of Coopersale) Parcel Size: 68.5 hectares				
Summary of Assessment					
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
1st GB Purpose No Contribution 0					
2 nd GB Purpose No Contribution 0					
3rd GB Purpose Relatively Strong 4					
4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0					
Total 4					
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0					
(1) The Parcel does not act in itself as an effective barrier against sprawl from lar					
(2) The parcel does not contribute, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a str	ategic barrier against				
the sprawl of large built-up areas.					
(3) The parcel is not in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up area	S				
2. Prevent neighbouring towns merging into one No Contribution – 0					
another					
(4) The parcel forms part of the gap which separates Epping and North Weald Bas					
(5) Strong boundary provided by M11 to east and Epping Ongar Railway (EOR) to	the north. Epping				
Forest creates a strong boundary in the north and middle of the parcel.(6) The distance of the gap between Epping and North Weald Bassett is 1.9 km.					
 (7) There are no well-used thoroughfares in this parcel and no evidence of ribbor 	development				
(8) There are no well-used thoroughfares between towns that pass through this particular to the second sec					
9) A reduction in the gap is unlikely to compromise the separation of towns in physical terms.					
(10) A reduction in the gap is unlikely to compromise the separation of the towns	-				
significant forest / wood cover north of the parcel. However it would compror					
openness of the gap.					
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Relatively Strong – 4					
encroachment					
(11) The Green Belt designation in this land parcel does protect countryside uses	. The parcel includes				
an extensive area of deciduous woodland as well as the ancient woodland (Wintry Wood) at the				
eastern boundary, of the settlement Coopersale. There is also smaller area of	deciduous woodland				
towards the southern parcel boundary, adjoining the M11. Within these woo	oded areas are a two				
LoWS (Ep97 and Ep99) and SSI (Epping Forest), as well as a network of PRC	Ws. There is a small				
opening in the woodland that adjoins Coopersale, which contains Cricket G	rounds and a school				
field.					
(12) The parcel is set on a ridge of higher land that overlooks surrounding undulating farmland. Having					
regard to the existing development Coopersale village, to the west, it is likely that the topography					
would be able to prevent encroachment of development.					
(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within th	e parcei.				
4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0					
(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.					
(15) See Question 14 above.					
16) See Question 14 above.					
(17) See Question 14 above.					

	Parcel DSR 049 – (North East of Epping)					
Parcel Size: 156.70 hectares						
	Summary of Assessment					
		n to the Purposes of the Gree				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2			
Tota	al		8			
1. Cl	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	No Contribution – 0		
(1)	The Parcel doe	es not act in itself as an effecti	ive barr	ier against sprawl from large built-up areas.		
(2)				network of parcels, to a strategic barrier against		
	the sprawl of l	arge built-up areas.				
(3)	The parcel is n	ot in close proximity to the sp	ecifical	ly mentioned built-up areas		
2. Pr	event neighbou	iring towns merging into one		Relatively Weak – 2		
an	other					
 (4) The parcel forms part of the gap which separates Epping and North Weald Bassett. (5) A strong boundary provided to the east by the Epping Ongar Railway (EOR). Epping Forest creates a strong boundary in the north and east of the parcel. The strength of the boundary in the area adjacent to Thornwood is diminished around the allotment area – with the boundary of the parcel being comprised of relatively loose-knit development form, allotment and flood protection bund. The boundary is strengthened to some extend along this section by the minor road. The boundary is strengthened further still by the main road to Harlow, (B1393). In Epping, a strong boundary is created by Stonards Hill within the Old Pastures field, this boundary is supported by a strong line of mature trees. Beyond this field to the north, within the Recreation Ground, the boundary is weak, comprising of the line of built development, mostly residential and including Epping Hospital. The area adjoining adjacent to hospital is supported by mature plating in places. (6) The distance of the gap between Epping and North Weald Bassett is 1.9 km. (7) There are no well-used thoroughfares in this parcel and no evidence of ribbon development. (8) There are no well-used thoroughfares between towns that pass through this parcel. (9) A reduction in the gap is unlikely to compromise the separation of towns in physical terms. However, development within this parcel could compromise the separation of Epping and Coopersale in physical terms (10) A reduction in the gap is unlikely to compromise the separation of the towns visually due to the significant forest / wood cover north of the parcel. However it would compromise the overall openness of the parcel in the south particularly between Epping and Coopersale. 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment (11) The Green Belt designation in this land parcel does protect countryside us						
(11) The Green Belt designation in this land parcel does protect countryside uses. The northern part of the parcel, has a significant coverage of deciduous woodland, ancient woodland (Wintry Wood) and SSSI (Epping Forest). There is also relatively large deciduous woodland and an area of unprotected woodland within Old Pastures field). The south-western part of the parcel, consists mostly of land used for agricultural purposes, as well as recreation grounds, a playground, football						

grounds, pockets of woodland and a number of PRoWs.

- (12) The parcel is set on a ridge of higher land that overlooks surrounding undulating farmland, to the south; this area is predominantly covered by, mixed woodland, the majority of which is categorised as ancient or semi-ancient. The topography and the high proportion of tree coverage to the north of the parcel does prevent encroachment. However, it is unlikely that the land to the south of the parcel prevents encroachment due to the topographical change and the open character of the land. Therefore, the Green Belt designation in this parcel helps safeguard the countryside from encroachment from the existing settlement Epping.
- (13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	Relatively Weak – 2	
(14)	The parcel adjoins north-eastern edge of the historic	town Epping.	
(15)	During the 20 th century, Epping was extended to acc	ommodate commuters. Parcel DSR-049	
	adjoins the north- eastern boundary of the 20 th cent	ury development, limiting the physical and	
	visual relationship between the Green Belt land in th	is parcel and the setting of the historic core of	
	Epping and/ or any heritage assets. Epping Forest als	o provides a strong physical and visual barrier	
	to the north of the B181.		
(16)	6) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of		
	Epping. It is unlikely that the open character of the Green Belt land in this parcel would contribute		
	positively to the significance of the town and/or her	tage assets within the town.	
(17)	Although the south western parcel boundary abuts t	he historic town, it is unlikely that the	
	removal of the Green Belt designation would cause	narm to the setting and significance of this	

area, because of the weak physical and visual relationship.

Darco		orth East and South of Thorn	wood)			
	Parcel DSR 050 – (North, East and South of Thornwood) Parcel Size: 250.40 hectares					
	Summary of Assessment					
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
	GB Purpose	Moderate	3			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4			
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	•		7			
1. C	neck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-u	o areas	No Contribution – 0		
(1)	The Parcel doe	es not act in itself as an effect	ive barrie	er against sprawl from large built-up areas.		
(2)	• •			st, DSR-053 to the north and DSR-010 to the		
	•			ter, providing a strategic barrier against the		
	•			ibute to a wider network of parcels, to form a		
(2)	•	e :	•	cularly at the north of the parcel.		
(3)				11, the northern boundary is created by the		
	•		•	lows the High Road (B1393) and the road d by the Epping - Ongar railway tracks.		
2 Dr		ring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0		
	other	The towns merging into one				
(4)		ns nart of the gan which sena	rates En	bing and North Weald Bassett.		
(5)	•		•	and a Woodside forms a southern and south-		
(3)				oundary is created by Woodside and Epping		
				ntained by natural and infrastructure		
		-		rger of Epping and Thornwood. \		
(6)		f the gap between Epping and				
(7)	There are no w	ell-used thoroughfares in this	s parcel a	and no evidence of ribbon development.		
(8)	There are no w	ell-used thoroughfares betwe	een towr	ns that pass through this parcel.		
(9)	A reduction in	the gap is unlikely to compro	mise the	separation of towns in physical terms.		
(10)	A reduction in	the gap is unlikely to compro	mise the	separation of the towns visually due to the		
	significant fore	st / wood cover east of Eppin	g. Howe	ver it would compromise the overall openness		
	of the parcel.					
		ding the countryside from		Relatively Strong – 4		
en	croachment					
(11)	(11) The Green Belt designation in this land parcel does protect countryside uses. The parcel consists					
	mostly of arable land used for agricultural purposes with recreational grounds and allotment					
	gardens to the north of Thornwood.					
(12)						
			lope to	the east of Thornwood which may prevent		
encroachment.(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.						
(13)						
4.10	preserve the sp	ecial character of historic to	wns	No Contribution – 0		
(14)	There are no h	istoric towns within or adjace	nt to the	parcel.		
(14) (15)	See Question 1	-		, purcei,		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(16) See Question 14 above.(17) See Question 14 above.

Parc	Parcel DSR 053 – (South of Harlow Common)								
Parcel Size: 83.35 hectares									
Sum	mary of Assessn	nent							
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt									
1 st (GB Purpose	Strong	5						
2 nd	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0						
3 rd	3 rd GB Purpose Strong		5						
4 th	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0						
Tot	al		10						
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Strong – 5									
(1)	The parcel act	s in itself as an offective barr	ior aga	inst sprawl from Harlow to the north.					
(1)			-	rk of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the					
(2)	•	-							
	sprawl of Harlow. The parcel adjoins DSR-073 to the west and DSR-007 to the east. There is a strong visual relationship between the two (separated by weak boundary of Harlow Common and								
	the road Harlow Common).								
(3)	Harlow Comm	on Road is at the northern b	ounda	y of the parcel however it is a weak boundary.					
				rn side by detached homes apart from at the					
				ds with no homes fronting the road.					
	-	ring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0					
ar	other								
(4)	•	s not prevent neighbouring to	owns n	nerging into one another.					
(5)	See Question 4 above.								
(6)	See Question 4 above.								
(7)	See Question 4 above.								
(8) (9)	See Question 4 See Question 4								
	See Question 4								
		ding the countryside from		Strong – 5					
	croachment								
(11)	The Green Belt	designation in this narcel pro	ntects	countryside. A significant proportion of the site					
(11)				on to the small areas of unprotected woodland					
	•			rge number of unprotected mature hedgerows					
				of the parcel is used for agricultural purposes,					
				d by a watercourse and PRoW. With the parcel					
				tpaths that serve the area, including the Stort					
	Valley Way National Trail.								
(12)	(12) The topography of the parcel is relatively level with a slight slope but does not prevent encroachment. Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment.								
(13)									
	petrol station off the A414. with other potential existing encroachment at the northwest								
(housing) and western boundaries (pub, car dealership).									
4. To preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0									
			-						
(14)	14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.								
	(15) See Question 14 above.								
/	,								

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(16) See Question 14 above.(17) See Question 14 above.

Parcel DSR 054 - (Epping Forest – East of Epping New Road)										
Parcel Size: 930.03 hectares										
Summary of Assessment										
	ion to the Purposes of the Gree									
1 st GB Purpose	No Contribution	0								
2 nd GB Purpose	Strong	5								
3 rd GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4								
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0								
Total		9								
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas No Contribution – 0										
(1) The parcel of	loes not act itself as a barrier ag	gainst spr	rawl of large built up areas.							
		a wider	network of parcels as a strategic barrier							
-	sprawl of large built up areas.									
	ns 1 and 2 above.									
2. Prevent neight another	ouring towns merging into one	2	Strong – 5							
	arms part of the gap between V	Jaltham	Abboy and Thoudon Dois and Waltham							
• • •	oughton / Debden and the gap		Abbey and Theydon Bois and Waltham							
•			of the parcel created by the M25. Eastern							
• •	eated by the B1393 (Epping Roa									
•		-	-							
 between the Bois and Wa Debden is .8 distance of (7) There is evid (8) Epping Fore visual perce thoroughfar hedgerow a between the 	between the towns Buckhurst Hill – Waltham Abbey is 5.4 km. The distance between Theydon Bois and Waltham Abbey is 4.0 km. The distance between Theydon Bois and Loughton/ Debden is .8 km. The distance between Loughton / Debden and Buckhurst Hill is 0.64km. The distance of the gap between Epping- Theydon Bois is 1.1 km. There is evidence of ribbon development extending west from Theydon along B172.									
limited to m estate, the n gap remains (9) Epping Fore is no risk of Loughton / of the town have alread merger to th	is no risk of the gap being compromised in this respect. A reduction in the Theydon Bois – Loughton / Debden gap could compromise the physical separation of the given the proximity of the towns to one another. In terms of Loughton / Debden and Buckhurst Hill these towns have already merged to east of central line. Development of the gap would consolidate this merger to the west of the underground line.									
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Relatively Strong – 4										
encroachment										

- (11) The Green Belt in this location does protect countryside. The parcel includes Loughton golf course to the south, part of Theydon Bois Golf Course to the north, a campsite, a number of walking routes (including a public footpath linking Nursery Road with Epping Forest). To the southwest of Theydon, the parcel also includes a cricket pitch, tennis courts and allotment gardens.
- (12) There are visually significant slopes in relation to existing development in the following locations which may be helping to prevent encroachment: Theydon Bois north, northwest and south of the settlement. Loughton Debden west and southwest. Buckhurst Hill north (south of the housing estate in the gap between Buckhurst Hill and Loughton / Debden) and northwest of Buckhurst Hill. Therefore, there are areas of the Green Belt where the topography helps prevent encroachment.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 1.03% (9.61hectares) of built development in the form of the housing estate between Buckhurst Hill and Loughton / Debden.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0			
(14)	,				
(15) (16)	See Question 14 above. See Question 14 above.				
(17)	See Question 14 above.				

				· .				
Parcel DSR 057 - (Epping Forest – West of Epping New Road)								
Parcel Size: 811.20 hectares								
Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt								
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong						
		Relatively Weak	4					
2 nd GB Purpose 3 rd GB Purpose		Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	-	No contribution						
Total 11								
1. Check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas Relatively Strong – 4								
(1)	•			wl of London as LB Waltham Forest and LB				
(-)		oin the parcel to the south						
(2)			a wider ne	twork of parcels (DSR-058) as a strategic				
(2)	-	the sprawl of London.	boundary	of the parcel provides a strong boundary				
(3)		emainder of contributes lit						
2. Pr		ring towns merging into c		Relatively Weak – 2				
	another	0 00						
(4)	The parcel form	ns part of the gap betwee	n the Walth	am Abbey and Theydon Bois and Waltham				
	Abbey and Lou	ghton and Debden.						
(5)				of the parcel created by the M25. Eastern				
		ted by the B1393 which ru						
(6)		-		– Waltham Abbey is 4.8 km. The distance				
(7)		owns Buckhurst Hill – Walt dence of ribbon developm		/ IS 5.4 KIII.				
(8)		-		herefore the visual perception is one of				
(0)				d by forest in the northern section of the				
		-		, he approach to Woodgreen Road.				
(9)				physical separation of the towns as				
		s situated between the to						
(10)				e separation of the towns visually due to				
		•		penness of the parcel in the northern				
section and in the southern section adjoining Buckhurst Hill. 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from Strong – 5								
	icroachment	aing the countryside from		Strong – 5				
(11)	(11) The parcel contains a number of PRoWs routes and in the northern section of the parcel there							
	are a number of connecting agricultural fields.							
(12) There are no notable topographical features within the parcel capable of preventing/assisting								
encroachment of the undeveloped land by development.								
(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel.								
4. To	preserve the sp	ecial character of historic	towns	No Contribution – 0				
(14)	(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.							
(15) See Question 14 above.								
(16) See Question 14 above.								

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(17) See Question 14 above.
Parcel DSR 058 - (High Beach and Sewardstonebury) Parcel Size: 811.20 hectares						
Summary of Assessment						
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
-	GB Purpose	Moderate	3			
	GB Purpose	Weak	1			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution				
4 C	-	No contribution	0 9			
1010	di		9			
	Check the unres areas	tricted sprawl of large built	-up	Moderate – 3		
(1)	The parcel ad	joins the built up areas o	f Londo	n - LB Waltham Forest (Chingford) in the		
. ,		ner of the parcel.				
(2)	The parcel con	tributes, as part of a wider r	network	of parcels (adjoining DSR-059 and DSR-057),		
	acting as a stra	tegic barrier against the spra	awl of Lo	ondon.		
(3)	•	-		and woodland to the east within adjoining		
	•		ng natur	al southern barrier preventing the northern		
	sprawl of Lond					
	-	uring towns merging into o	ne	Weak – 1		
а	nother					
(4)	The parcel form	ns part of the gap between t	he Walt	ham Abbey and Buckhurst Hill and Waltham		
	Abbey and Lou	ghton and Debden.				
(5)	Strong defensil	ble boundary in the norther	n sectior	of the parcel created by the M25 and A121		
	However this h	ad been breached to the no	rth of pa	arcel at Beechfields Walk/Lodge Lane. East		
	and south bour	ndary created by Epping For	est crea	ing a strong natural barrier.		
(6)	The distance be	etween the towns Loughton	/Debdeı	n – Waltham Abbey is 4.8 km. The distance		
		owns Buckhurst Hill – Waltha		-		
(7)				west of the site notably along Wellington		
			to the s	outh along Bury Road to the north of		
	Sewardstonebu	•				
(8)		s situated between the settl	ements	therefore the visual perception is one of		
	forest.					
(9)				e physical separation of the towns as		
(s situated between the town				
(10)				e separation of the towns visually due to		
	11 0	nowever it is likely to compr	omise th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
en	croachment					
(11)	•			'. A significant amount of the parcel is used		
	-		-	e farmland with some large commercial		
				he parcel also includes Gilwell Park scouting		
	•			igh Beech Golf Club, Waltham Abbey Jewish		
			-	stance walking route (London Orbital walk		
	•	•	-	numerous and public rights of way.		
(12)				of pronounced hills, to the north east, in an		
	otherwise ger	tly undulating landform.	The to	pography in the area does not prevent		

	encroachment of development. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment					
(13)						
4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns No Contribution – 0					
(14)	The historic town of Waltham Abbey located adjacent to northern boundary formed by the M25 motorway.					
(15)	Some views of Waltham Abbey and church tower, from the hill to the north of the parcel, east of Lord Padgets Wood/south of A121. Given the separation provided by M25, and the urban context provided by the relatively recent development to the south of the motorway, the I impact of development within the parcel upon the historic significance Waltham Abbey town is considered to be negligible.					
(16)	Please see Q15 - negligible impact on historic significance of Waltham Abbey.					
(17)	Please see Q15 - negligible impact on historic significance of Waltham Abbey					

Sumi	el Size: 331.20 h	Parcel DSR 059 - (Sewardstone)						
	Parcel Size: 331.20 hectares							
	Summary of Assessment							
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
	GB Purpose	Strong	5					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
3 rd (GB Purpose	Moderate	3					
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tota	al		8					
1. C	heck the unrest	icted sprawl of large built-u	p areas	Strong – 5				
(1)	The parcel adj to the south at		ndon, LB	Enfield to the west and LB Waltham Forest				
(2)	The parcel con	tributes, as part of a wider n		f parcels (adjoining DSR-060 and DSR-058), eshunt and London (Chingford				
(3)	-			e parcel is well contained by King George				
(-)	•			northern section of the parcel has fewer				
				Gunpowder Park. The development around				
	Meridian Way	is example where develo	pment h	as already breached M25 and River Lea				
	barriers.							
2. Pr	event neighbou	ring towns merging into one	9	No Contribution – 0				
an	nother							
	•	not itself provide, or form pa	rt of a ga	p between towns.				
(5)								
(6)	See Question 4	above.						
(7)	See Question 4 See Question 4	above. above.						
(7) (8)	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4	above. above. above.						
(7) (8) (9)	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4	above. above. above. above.						
(7) (8) (9) (10)	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4	above. above. above. above. above.						
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Seist in safeguar	above. above. above. above.		Moderate – 3				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Seist in safeguar	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from						
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar A significant a	above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use		nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar A significant a considered as	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purpo	oses of th	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes nis assessment). Arable farmland to west of				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Qu	above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purper west of the A112 and north	oses of th h of Haw	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Incroachment A significant a considered as A112. To the important recr	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purpe west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso	oses of th h of Haw urce, acc	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Asignificant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purper west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used for	oses of th n of Haw urce, acc footpath	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Asignificant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purper west of the A112 and norther eational and ecological resorvest of the A112. Well used for /alley itself includes a dense	oses of the of Haw urce, acc footpathe network	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Incroachment A significant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea 1 Lee Valley Ca	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purper west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used f /alley itself includes a dense mpsite, riding school, angli	oses of the of Haw urce, acc footpathe network	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en (11)	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Asignificant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea Lee Valley Cal transport route	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purpe west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used for /alley itself includes a dense mpsite, riding school, anglites.	oses of the of Haw urce, acc footpath network ng lakes	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the and activity centre, and numerous local				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar croachment A significant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea 4 Lee Valley Cat transport route The topograph	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purper west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used f /alley itself includes a dense npsite, riding school, anglites. by of the parcel is charact	oses of the of Haw urce, acc footpath network ng lakes erised by	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the and activity centre, and numerous local y the western valley of Lea River and is				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en (11)	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar croachment A significant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the the site – Lea Lee Valley Cal transport routo The topograph relatively level	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purper west of the A112 and norther eational and ecological resorvest of the A112. Well used for /alley itself includes a dense mpsite, riding school, anglites. by of the parcel is character with a slight slope. The topological resorvest	oses of the n of Haw urce, acc footpath e network ng lakes erised by ography i	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the and activity centre, and numerous local y the western valley of Lea River and is n the area does not prevent encroachment				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en (11)	See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Asignificant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea 4 Lee Valley Cal transport route The topograph relatively level of developme	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purpe west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used f /alley itself includes a dense mpsite, riding school, anglites. by of the parcel is charact with a slight slope. The tope nt. Therefore, the Green E	oses of the n of Haw urce, acc footpath e network ng lakes erised by ography i	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the and activity centre, and numerous local y the western valley of Lea River and is				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en (11)	See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar Asignificant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea Lee Valley Can transport route The topograph relatively level of developme encroachment	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purpe west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used for /alley itself includes a dense mpsite, riding school, anglinges. by of the parcel is characted with a slight slope. The topological the Green E	oses of the n of Haw urce, acc footpath e network ng lakes erised by ography i Belt desig	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the and activity centre, and numerous local y the western valley of Lea River and is n the area does not prevent encroachment gnation safeguards the countryside from				
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As en (11)	See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 See Question 4 Sist in safeguar croachment A significant a considered as A112. To the important recr mostly to the v the site – Lea Lee Valley Cal transport route The topograph relatively level of developme encroachment The parcel has	above. above. above. above. above. ding the countryside from mount of the land is in use agricultural use for the purpe west of the A112 and north eational and ecological reso vest of the A112. Well used for /alley itself includes a dense mpsite, riding school, anglinges. by of the parcel is characted with a slight slope. The topological the Green E	oses of the of Haw urce, acc footpath e network ng lakes erised by ography i Belt designation x. 10.859	nmercial nurseries (horticultural purposes his assessment). Arable farmland to west of res Lane. The Lee Valley county park is an counting for a significant area of the parcel follows line of River Lea north-south across of footpaths. The parcel also includes the and activity centre, and numerous local y the western valley of Lea River and is n the area does not prevent encroachment gnation safeguards the countryside from % (35.47 hectares) at Meridian Way at the				

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0
(14)	The parcel adjoins the southern boundary of the hi	l storic town Waltham Abbey.
(15)	New residential development and the large Sainsbusin significant barrier between the historic town proper Meridian Way is considered functionally part of Wa relationship between the parcel and the historic co the parcel would have a negligible impact on its his	r and the parcel (although development at Itham Abbey). Given the weak re of Waltham Abbey, development within
(16)	See Q15 - negligible impact on its historic significan	ce of Waltham Abbey.
(17)	See Q15 - negligible impact on its historic significan	ce of Waltham Abbey.

_							
	Parcel DSR 060 - (South of Waltham Abbey)						
	Parcel Size: 90.41 hectares						
Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt							
-	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	п вег 2				
	GB Purpose	No Contribution					
	•		0				
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2				
Tota			9				
1. Cł		ricted sprawl of large built-up			Relatively Weak – 2		
(1)	•		-		st sprawl from Cheshunt to the west.		
(2)	•				f parcels (adjoining DSR-061 and DSR-059),		
<i>(</i> -)	-	tegic barrier against the spray					
(3)	•	-			undaries which act against sprawl from		
		•	-		River Lea and the Lee River Navigation.		
		•		noi	th to south parallel to the water course.		
	•	ring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0		
	other						
(4)	•	s not itself or form part of a g	ap be	twe	een towns.		
(5)	See Question 4						
(6)	See Question 4						
(7)	See Question 4						
(8)	See Question 4						
(9)	See Question 4						
(10)							
		ding the countryside from			Strong – 5		
en	croachment						
(11)	The Green Bel	t designation here protects th	ne cou	Inti	yside. The parcel includes the Town Mead		
					nal fields. Sewardstone Road runs through		
					to the west and Waltham Abbey Football		
		•			to the east. The eastern part of the parcel		
					and and undesignated PRoWs .		
(12)			/ flat a	and	contained and therefore does not prevent		
	encroachment.						
(13)				baı	nising elements within the parcel.		
4. To	preserve the sp	pecial character of historic to	wns		Relatively Weak – 2		
(14)	The parcel adj	oins the southern boundary o	of the	his	toric town Waltham Abbey.		
(15)		•		•	arcel, is of major importance		
					setting of the historic town of Waltham		
		-			century separates the parcel from the		
		-		•	hysically and visually to the east		
(16)	-				t of the parcel is within the context of the		
	historic urban	area, a row of 20 th century de	evelor	ome	ent along Highbridge Street and Greenyard		
			- · - · - r				
(16)	Although the 1	Town Mead Sports Ground to	the v	ves [.]	t of the parcel is within the context of the		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

that occurred during the 20th century also separates the parcel to the east, from the historic town and heritage assets within the town. Therefore, it is unlikely that the Green Belt land in parcel DSR-060 contributes positively to the significance of the historic town and/ or the heritage assets within the town.

(17) Although the parcel is partially within the context of the historic town, it is unlikely that the removal of the Green Belt designation would cause harm to the setting and significance of this area, because of the weak physical and visual relationship

	Parcel DSR 061 - (Lee Valley Park)						
	Parcel Size: 829.30 hectares						
	Summary of Assessment						
		n to the Purposes of the Gree		t			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2				
	GB Purpose	Weak	1				
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
4 ^{τη}	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
			13				
1. C	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	S	Relatively Weak – 2		
(1)	The parcel is g sprawl from Cl		sible b	oun	daries which act as effective barriers against		
(2)				k of	parcels (adjoining DSR060 and DSR062), to a		
	-	er against the sprawl of Chesh					
(3)	•	-			el, with the railway line further to the west		
					as been effective in preventing the sprawl of		
					t. There are also numerous water bodies and		
			•		ke, Hooksmarsh, Cheshunt Lake, Bowyer's		
		•		-	ation and the River Lee Flood Relief Channel.		
				-	the parcel act as a defensible boundary.		
2. Pi	event neighbou	ring towns merging into one	anoti	ner	Relatively Weak – 1		
(•)							
(4)	•				veen Waltham Abbey and Lower Nazeing.		
(5)					ich prevent neighbouring towns of Cheshunt		
(c)					iver tributaries and associated woodland.		
(6)					bey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2km.		
(7)	south of Lower	^r Nazeing.			orth of the parcel along St Leonards Road		
(8)	-	the visual perception is one		•			
(9)		at a reduction in the Green Be	elt wo	uld d	compromise the separation of the towns		
(10)	physically.						
(10)	•				compromise the separation of the towns		
	•		•		may impact on the openness of the gap as		
2 1		y contains sporadic buildings a ding the countryside from	anure	lain	Strong – 5		
	ncroachment	ung the countryside from					
(11)	he Green Belt	designation here protects the	he co	untr	yside. The parcel is within the Lee Valley		
F	Regional Park a	nd along the western bound	dary i	s a	linear area of wetland which encompasses		
e	extensive water	bodies (flooded former grave	el pits) an	d associated wetland. Pockets of trees and		
				-	n density. The area is served by a network of		
	•		the v	alle	y and arable land, facilitating access to this		
	irea as a recreat		• .				
					within the valley floor of the River Lea. The		
	-				ake a major contribution in safeguarding the		
(countryside from	encroachment, alongside the	e Lee	Valle	ey Park and Gunpowder Park.		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.58 % (4.79 hectares) northwest of Waltham Abbey. 4. To preserve the special character of historic towns Strong – 5 (14) The parcel adjoins the north western edge of the historic town of Waltham Abbey. (15) The historic town centre core and the Abbey Gardens comprise the Waltham Abbey Conservation Area. The Royal Gunpowder Factory is also a conservation area (as well as the Abbey Gardens and Royal Gunpowder Factory containing scheduled monuments) which are within Green Belt land, north of the settlement. The parcel boundary abuts the historic core of town and the Abbey, at the north western settlement boundary, which is largely within a conservation area. There are 68 buildings listed as being of special architectural or historic interest within the historic town, of which 21 are within the Royal Gunpowder Factory. (16) The identity of the Royal Gunpowder Factory, to the north of the historic core, has been maintained through the continued isolation of the site. Historically the open character of the Green Belt land in parcel DSR-061 protected the residence of Waltham Abbey from the dangers associated with manufacturing gunpowder and then later chemically based explosives. Therefore, the open character of the Green Belt land contributes positively to the significance of the heritage assets within the town. (17) Given the strong physical and visual relationship between the historic town and the open land, it is likely that the removal of the Green Belt designation and consequent loss of openness from urbanising development on that land would cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets.

Dava								
	Parcel DSR 062 - (Nazeing Mead) Parcel Size: 165.50 hectares							
	Summary of Assessment							
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt								
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Tot			6					
1 0	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	a areas		Relatively Weak – 2			
1. C	neek the unrest	neted sprawn of large built-up	Jarcas	•				
(1)	The parcel act	s, in itself, as an effective barr	ier aga	ains	st sprawl from Hoddesdon to the west.			
(2)	The parcel cor	ntributes, as part of a wider	netwo	rk	of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the			
		ddesdon adjoining parcels D	SR-061	La	nd DSR-063 at the northern and southern			
	boundary.							
(3)					n the majority of its western boundary along			
		sive water bodies (filled grave		wh	•			
	•	iring towns merging into one			No Contribution – 0			
	nother							
(4)	•	If does not provide, or form p	art of,	a g	ap or space between towns.			
(5)	See Question 4							
(6)	See Question 4 See Question 4							
(7) (8)	See Question 4							
(9)	See Question 4							
(10)	See Question 4							
. /		ding the countryside from			Relatively Strong – 4			
	ncroachment	0						
(11)	The Green Bel	t designation in this parcel p	rotects	th	e countryside. The parcel entirely within the			
(==)					lue and includes LoWS, SSSI and SPA. Along			
	, .	•	•		which encompasses extensive waterbodies			
		er gravel pits) and associated v			·			
(12)	•	c			n a valley floor. The topography and location			
	does not pre	vent encroachment of deve	elopme	ent	, given the proximity to the urban edge			
	Hoddesdon ar	nd the Keyser Estate, to th	ie wes	t 1	the Green Belt designation safeguards the			
	•	om encroachment.						
(13)	•				ent or other urbanising elements within the			
		ox. 1.05% (1.74 hectares) nort		of				
4. To	preserve the sp	pecial character of historic to	wns		No Contribution – 0			
(1.4)	These survey !	takania karina ni takita ana 19		la -				
(14)	See Question 1	istoric towns within or adjace	nt to t	ne	parcei.			
(15)	See Question 1							
(16)								
(17)	(17) See Question 14 above.							

Parcel DSR 063 – (Glen Faba) Parcel Size: 122.40 hectares								
	Summary of Assessment							
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt								
1 st GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2	-					
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0						
3 rd GB Purpose	Strong	5						
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0						
Total		7						
1. Check the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o area	s Relatively Weak – 2					
		-	ainst sprawl from Hoddesdon ork of parcels (DSR-062 and DSR-064) at the					
	outhern boundary as barrier							
(3) There is a stro	ng boundary created by the F	liver L	ee and Glen Faba. However, development has					
		e are a	a number of water features situated within the					
, ,	ional Park to the west.							
-	iring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0					
another								
• • •		art of,	, a gap or space between towns.					
(5) See Question 4								
(6) See Question 4(7) See Question 4								
(8) See Question 4								
(9) See Question 4								
(10) See Question 4								
3. Assist in safeguar	ding the countryside from		Strong 5					
encroachment								
the Lee Valley western boun	Regional Park, which is of hig dary is a linear area of wetlan	h eco d whi	s the countryside. The parcel is entirely within logical value and includes a LoWS. Along the ich encompasses extensive waterbodies					
 (flooded former gravel pits) that are used for fishing. (12) The parcel is predominantly flat, low lying area within a valley floor - the topography and location do not prevent encroachment. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment. (13) There is no evidence of encroachment or other urbanising elements within the parcel. 								
	pecial character of historic to		No Contribution – 0					
(14) There are no h	istoric towns within or adjace	nt to t	the parcel.					
(15) See Question 2	-							
(16) See Question	14 above.							
(17) See Question 2	14 above.							

	el Size: 310.20 h	ea Surrounding Royd	lon)				
Sum							
	Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
_	GB Purpose	Strong					
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2				
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4				
4 th (GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Tot	al	L	11				
1. C	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large	built-up are	as	Strong – 5		
(1)	The parcel act	s, in itself, as an effec	tive barrier a	against	t sprawl from Harlow and Hoddesdon		
(2)	•	•			of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the		
	•		•	el adjo	pins DSR-063and DSR-066 at the southern		
(3)	•	DSR65 at the norther	•	ac ctr	ong defensible boundaries to the sprawl of		
(5)			•		aries acting as an effective barrier to sprawl		
					oundary The western edge of Harlow adjoins		
	an open field .		0		, , ,		
2. Pr	event neighbou	iring towns merging i	into one		Relatively Weak – 2		
ar	nother						
(5)	merging. A mature hedgerow and trees line the southern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon creating a good defensible boundary.6) The distance of the gap between Roydon and Lower Nazeing is 2.8 km.						
(6) (7)	creating a goo The distance c There is some	d defensible boundar f the gap between Ro	rees line the s y. oydon and Lo	southe	ern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon azeing is 2.8 km.		
(7)	creating a goo The distance o There is some Road (B181).	d defensible boundar f the gap between Ro evidence of ribbon de	rees line the s y. bydon and Lo evelopment a	southe wer Na at the s	ern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon azeing is 2.8 km. southern edge of Roydon, along Epping		
(7) (8)	creating a goo The distance o There is some Road (B181). The visual per	d defensible boundar f the gap between Ro evidence of ribbon de ception of the gap alo	rees line the s y. bydon and Lov evelopment a ng Epping Ro	southe wer Na at the s bad (B1	ern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon azeing is 2.8 km. southern edge of Roydon, along Epping 181) is of open countryside.		
(7)	creating a goo The distance o There is some Road (B181). The visual per A reduction in	d defensible boundar f the gap between Ro evidence of ribbon de ception of the gap alo the gap is unlikely to	rees line the s y. bydon and Lor evelopment a ng Epping Ro compromise	southe wer Na at the s bad (B2 the se	ern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon azeing is 2.8 km. southern edge of Roydon, along Epping		
(7) (8) (9) (10)	creating a goo The distance of There is some Road (B181). The visual pero A reduction in A reduction in openness of th	d defensible boundar f the gap between Ro evidence of ribbon de ception of the gap alo the gap is unlikely to the gap would is unlil e parcel visually.	rees line the s y. oydon and Lor evelopment a ng Epping Ro compromise kely to comp	southe wer Na at the s bad (B2 the se romise	ern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon azeing is 2.8 km. southern edge of Roydon, along Epping 181) is of open countryside. eparation of towns in physical terms. e the separation of towns and the overall		
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As	creating a goo The distance of There is some Road (B181). The visual pero A reduction in A reduction in openness of the sist in safeguar	d defensible boundar f the gap between Ro evidence of ribbon de ception of the gap alo the gap is unlikely to the gap would is unlil he parcel visually. ding the countryside	rees line the s y. bydon and Lor evelopment a ng Epping Ro compromise kely to compr from	southe wer Na at the s bad (B1 the se romise	ern boundary of the parcel south of Roydon azeing is 2.8 km. southern edge of Roydon, along Epping 181) is of open countryside. eparation of towns in physical terms.		

village, the topography rises from the edge of the settlement to the top of two hills. These slopes are considered to be visually significant. Therefore the topography in this location does prevent encroachment.To the south and east of the village the topography is relatively level. Therefore it is unlikely that the topography in this location prevents encroachment.

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately. 1 % (3.11 hectares) east of Roydon.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0		
(14)	4) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.			
(15)) See Question 14 above.			
(16)) See Question 14 above.			
(17)	See Question 14 above.			

Darcal DEP OFF /Lin						
Parcel DSR 065 – (Linear parcel North of Roydon) Parcel Size: 22.82 hectares						
Summary of Assessment						
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
1 st GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2				
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
3 rd GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4				
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0				
Total		6				
1. Check the unrestr	ricted sprawl of large built-up	areas	Relatively Weak- 2			
(1) The parcel do Harlow.	es act to a certain extent, ir	n itself,	as an effective barrier against sprawl from			
	-		rk of parcels namely DSR064, to act as a			
•	r against the sprawl of Harlov					
	lary is created by the railway	line.				
2. Prevent neighbou another	ring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0			
	s not provide, or form part of,					
 (5) See Question 4 (6) See Question 4 (7) See Question 4 (8) See Question 4 (9) See Question 4 (10) See Question 4 	4 above. 4 above. 4 above. 4 above.					
3. Assist in safeguare	ding the countryside from		Relatively Strong – 4			
encroachment						
 (11) The Green Belt designation in this parcel protects the countryside. To the west of the parcel between the railway and Navigation is a grazing meadow. The tow path running north of the Navigation provides a good recreational walking resource. (12) The parcel abuts Roydon, to the north and encompasses a relatively level topography with a slight slope. The topography in the area does not prevent encroachment of development, given the location. Therefore, the Green Belt designation safeguards the countryside from encroachment 						
	dence of encroachment or ur		elements within the parcel.			
4. To preserve the sp	ecial character of historic to	wns	No Contribution - 0			
 (14) There are no hi (15) See Question 7 (16) See Question 1 (17) See Question 1 	4 above.	nt to the	e parcel.			

	Parcel DSR 066 – (North of Nazeing, South West of Harlow)						
Parcel Size: 763.40							
Summary of Assess							
-	on to the Purposes of the Gree						
1 st GB Purpose	Strong	5					
2 nd GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2					
3 rd GB Purpose	Relvatively Strong	4					
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0					
Total		11					
1. Check the unres	stricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	Strong – 5				
Roydon, and (3) The parcel is parcel DSR-00 could contain However, the nurseries, co gap area to area to the	 (2) The parcel contributes, as part of a wider network of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the sprawl of Harlow. The parcel adjoins DSR-064 to the north, which surrounds the settlement of Roydon, and parcel DSR-067 to southeast. (3) The parcel is in close proximity to the specifically mentioned built-up areas. To the north east of parcel DSR-066, Water Lane and Epping Road (or Pardon Brook) create a strong barrier that would could contain the sprawl of Harlow. Old House Lane in itself provides a relatively weak boundary. However, the ribbon development existing along the south of the lane together with the large nurseries, could potentially provide a barrier that could limit sprawl of Harlow into the important gap area to the north of Old House Lane and Epping Road, containing development within the area to the south, east and north of Old House Lane and Epping Road and Water Lane, 						
respectively.							
2. Prevent neighbo another	ouring towns merging into one		Relatively Weak – 2				
(5) Defensible bo Road (B181)	oundaries within the parcel to p	prevent n	veen Lower Nazeing and Roydon. eighbouring towns from merging are: Epping Tylers Road forms an important and busy				
(6) The distance(7) There is evideGreen and Ro	between Lower Nazeing and Re ence of significant ribbon devel	lopment a	2.78 km. as the hamlets of Broadley Common, Halls n fashion along Epping Road (B181), Hamlet				
to developme Common, Tyl	3) Vistas from Epping Road, Hamlet Hill, Sedge Green and Tylers Road across the gap are limited due to development along much of its length including large nurseries and the hamlets and Broadley Common, Tylers Green and Halls Green. From Hamlet Hill intermittent view afforded south across						
 Stoneshot Common and Clays Hill area. (9) A reduction in the gap is unlikely to compromise the separation of towns in physical terms. (10) A reduction in the gap is unlikely to compromise the separation of towns and the overall openness of the parcel visually. The gap between these two settlements is extensive – visual separation would only be eroded through substantial development in this area and in particular to the south of Roydon Hamlet. 							
3. Assist in safegua encroachment	arding the countryside from		Relatively Strong –4				

- (11) The Green Belt designation in this parcel protects the countryside as the parcel is characterised by large commercial plant nurseries / 'buildings for agriculture'. The area also includes significant tracts of arable farmland, particularly to the south of Roydon Hamlet. The parcel includes a sports ground located to the west of North Road, Lower Nazeing, a number of local transport routes and a church cemetery on Betts Lane.
- (12) The site has an undulating topography with a number of visually significant slopes (most notable to the northeast of Lower Nazeing, Clays Hill, Totwell Hill and Betts Lane) affording long views across undeveloped agricultural land and large nurseries. Apart from the notable topography features mentioned the topography does not prevent encroachment of development.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by approximately .28% (2.14 hectares) at the south east parcel boundary.

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0

- (14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.
- (15) See Question 14 above.
- (16) See Question 14 above.
- (17) See Question 14 above.

	el DSR 067 – (Ea	st of Lower Nazeing)					
Parce	Parcel Size: 969.2 hectares						
	Summary of Assessment						
		n to the Purposes of the Gre					
	GB Purpose	Strong	5				
	GB Purpose	Weak	1				
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4				
	4 th GB Purpose No Contribution 0						
Tot	al		10				
1. C	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-u	up areas	Strong – 5			
(1)			rrier agains	st sprawl from Harlow. The parcel adjoins the			
(2)		dary of the Harlow DC.	r notwork	of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the			
(2)				nd DSR-072 to the south / east. Together, the			
	•	-		gricultural purposes, with a few scattered			
				te an important strategic barrier against the			
	•	outh / western edge of Harle					
(3)				ries in the northern section of the parcel to			
	•		•	Harlow and the parcel is poorly defined by a			
			-	west and Epping Long Green (track between			
	•			boundary which could be used to contain the southern boundary of the parcel which is			
	a very strong b	-	lugenne at	the southern boundary of the parcer which is			
2. Pr		iring towns merging into on	e	Weak – 1			
	other	5 5 5					
(4)	The parcel for	ns part of, a gap between Lc	wer Nazei	ng and Waltham Abbey.			
(5)	-	Nazeing Common/Waltham Road bisects the parcel broadly forms northeast/south west which					
(0)	could also provide a strong boundary to prevent merger of the two towns. The distance between the settlements Waltham Abbey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km.						
(6)	•	vide a strong boundary to pr	event mer	ger of the two towns.			
(6) (7)	The distance b	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wa	event mer ltham Abb	ger of the two towns.			
	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. ith, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within			
	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. ith, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St			
(7)	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road.	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green,	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear			
	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. Ircel is only sporadically deve	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly			
(7)	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. Ircel is only sporadically deve	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear			
(7)	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro countryside.	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e d, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. arcel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open			
(7) (8) (9)	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro countryside. A reduction in	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. Ircel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open paration of towns in physical terms.			
(7)	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro countryside. A reduction in	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. Incel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom the gap is unlikely to compro	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open			
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro countryside. A reduction in A reduction in of the parcel v	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e I, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. Incel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom the gap is unlikely to compro	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open paration of towns in physical terms.			
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As er	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro countryside. A reduction in A reduction in of the parcel v sist in safeguar	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e d, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. arcel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom the gap is unlikely to compro isually. ding the countryside from	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas nise the se omise the se	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open baration of towns in physical terms. separation of towns and the overall openness Relatively Strong – 4			
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the par around the Bro countryside. A reduction in A reduction in of the parcel v sist in safeguar troachment	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e d, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. arcel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom the gap is unlikely to compro- isually. ding the countryside from t designation in this parcel p	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respective ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas nise the sep omise the sep	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open baration of towns in physical terms. separation of towns and the overall openness Relatively Strong – 4 e countryside from encroachment. The parcel			
 (7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As er 	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Brd development a Overall, the par around the Brd countryside. A reduction in A reduction in of the parcel v ssist in safeguar the Green Bel consists large	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e d, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. arcel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom the gap is unlikely to compro- isually. ding the countryside from t designation in this parcel p ly of arable fields which	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas nise the se omise the se omise the se omise the se omise the se omise the se	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open paration of towns in physical terms. separation of towns and the overall openness Relatively Strong – 4 e countryside from encroachment. The parcel with mature hedgerows, as well as an			
(7) (8) (9) (10) 3. As er	The distance b Evidence of ex Leonards Road the parcel (Bro development a Overall, the pa around the Bro countryside. A reduction in A reduction in of the parcel v sist in safeguar troachment The Green Bel consists large interconnected	vide a strong boundary to pr etween the settlements Wal isting ribbon development e d, Middle Street and Peck Hil badley Green, Jacks Hatch, Lo along the road. arcel is only sporadically deve badley Common and Jack's H the gap would not comprom the gap is unlikely to compro- isually. ding the countryside from It designation in this parcel p ly of arable fields which d network of footpaths and	event mer Itham Abb xtends sou I, respectiv ong Green, eloped, wit latch areas nise the sep omise the sep on set the sep	ger of the two towns. ey and Lower Nazeing is 4.2 km. hth, east and north of Lower Nazeing along St rely. Many of the smaller settlements within Nazeing and Bumbles Green) form a linear h some clusters of development particularly . The parcel is dominated by open baration of towns in physical terms. separation of towns and the overall openness Relatively Strong – 4 e countryside from encroachment. The parcel			

boundary lies within the LVRP. On the outskirts of the small village Bumbles's Green and Broadley Common to the north and south of the parcel, are allotment gardens and recreational grounds.

- (12) The area encompasses an undulating landform with a series of small, pronounced hills that create a local variation in topography at the western edge and visually significant slopes, at the urban edge of Lower Nazeing, to the south. The ridge line is some distance from Harlow which would not necessarily prevent encroachment from Harlow in this respect.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by built development or other urbanising elements within the parcel by approximately 0.03% (.34 hectares) at the northeast of the parcel.

4. To	preserve the special character of historic towns	No Contribution – 0
(14)	There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the	parcel.
(15)	See Question 14 above.	
(16)	See Question 14 above.	
(17)	See Question 14 above.	

	Parcel DSR 068 – (North of Waltham Abbey) Parcel Size: 745.10 hectares					
	Summary of Assessment					
	Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
2 nd	GB Purpose	Weak	1			
3 rd	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	Weak	1			
Total 7						
1. (Check the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-u	o area	S	No Contribution – 0	
(1)	The parcel does	s not act, in itself, as an effe	ective	bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas	
	outside of the st	-				
(2)					oundary, which boarders Cheshunt, DSR068 is	
	•			-	sprawl from Cheshunt. There are number of	
			arriers		cated to the west of DSR061 that are unlikely	
(2)		(see DSR061 appraisal). t in close proximity to Cheshu	nt or	tha	other large built up areas	
(3) 2 P	•	ring towns merging into one			Weak – 1	
	nother	ing towns merging into one			Weak - 1	
(4)	The parcel adjoi	ns the north of Waltham Abb	ey an	d pr	ovides and forms part of a gap (together with	
	DSR061 and DSF	R067) between Waltham Abb	ey and	d Lo	wer Nazeing.	
(5)					le boundaries to the north, as does the	
	Galleyhill Wood combined with Deerpark Wood. Parklands and Pick Hill provide a strong boundary					
	to the south, with the exception of Paternoster Hill where the boarder follows rear gardens of the					
	town Waltham	•				
(6)		the gap between Waltham Al	•		-	
(7)		-	•		hroughout the parcel, but mostly	
(8)	concentrated on Crooked Mile, Claverhambury Road, Holyfield Road and Pick Hill. 8) The parcel is largely undeveloped, and the overall perception of the area along the B194 is open					
(0)	countryside.	gely undeveloped, and the ov		Jerc		
(9)	Given the signifi	cant distance between the to	wns i	t is ι	unlikely that a reduction in the gap would	
	compromise the	e separation of towns in physi	cal te	rms		
(10)			nise th	e se	eparation of towns and the overall openness	
	of the parcel vis	-				
	-	ding the countryside from			Strong – 5	
e	ncroachment					
(11)					e countryside as the parcel consists largely of	
	fields used for agricultural purposes. Most of the fields that adjoin Waltham Abbey at the southern					
					as and a small part of the north western edge	
					o areas of ancient woodland (Galleyhill wood	
	•	-			eastern boundaries. Between the two ancient s woodland (Galleyhill Green, The Springs and	
					the deciduous woodlands (Homefield Wood	
				-	thin the areas of woodland are three LoWS	
		_			ed woodland, at the northern boundary and	
[<u>,-p-0, -p-0 und</u>				the model of the	

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

towards the south- eastern boundary, adjoining Breach Barns Caravan Park.

- (12) The parcel encompasses a gently undulating landform, with relatively prominent ridges and slopes around Aimes Green to the north of Waltham Abbey, which provide open views to the edge of Waltham Abbey urban area to the south. The Green Belt designation in this land is considered to make a major contribution in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment.
- (13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.28% (2.05 hectares) at the northern edge of Waltham Abbey.

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	Weak – 1
 (14) The parcel adjoins the historic town Waltham Abbey. V significantly to the north and east, as a result of the gra (15) Because DSR-068 abuts development that occurred du between the Green Belt land in this parcel and the set 	owth of industrialisation within the town. Iring 20 th century, there is weak relationship
and/ or any heritage assets. (16) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visua	

- (16) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Waltham Abbey, the open character of the Green Belt land in this parcel does not contribute positively to the significance of the town and/or heritage assets within the town.
- (17) Given that the parcel does not have a physical or visual relationship with the historic core of Waltham Abbe, the consequent loss of openness from the urbanising development on the land within parcel DSR-068 is unlikely to cause harm to the setting and significance of the historic town and heritage assets within the town.

Par	rel DSR 069 – (Fa	st of Waltham Ahhey & West	t of Enni	ng)		
	Parcel DSR 069 – (East of Waltham Abbey & West of Epping) Parcel Size: 1,492.00 hectares					
Sun	Summary of Assessment					
_		n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Moderate	3			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	Relatively Weak	2			
То	tal		10			
1. (Check the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	No Contribution – 0		
(1)	•		ve barrie	er against sprawl from the large built-up areas		
$\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$	-	ow, Cheshunt or Hoddesdon.				
(2)	•	-		e both on the southern boundary of Harlow,		
		ing sprawl from Harlow.	w to be	considered part of the 'primary' network of		
(3)		uestion 2 above.				
. /		ring towns merging into one		Moderate – 3		
a	nother					
	 (4) The parcel forms a large gap between Epping to the east and Waltham Abbey to the west. (5) The parcel contains a number of defensible boundaries which help prevent neighbouring towns from merging: Upland Road and Wood Green Road (east of Waltham Abbey); B1393 (just west of Epping); Cobbins Brook (tributary of River Lea); Fragmented pockets of woodland dispersed throughout the parcel. The Selvage/Copped Hall Green, Rookery Wood, and Spratt's Hedgerow Wood create near continuous natural barrier from the M25 in the south to Cobbins Brook. (6) The distance between the towns Waltham Abbey- Epping is 4.6 km. (7) Ribbon development is evident to the southwest of Epping, stretching along High Road (B1393). Copthall Green, small linear settlement along Horseshoe Lane east side of route. Further ribbon development located along Woodgreen Road to the east of Waltham Abbey. (8) To the east end of M25 there are unobscured views north across farmland toward Copped Hall. To the east of the parcel, from Bury Road, there are intermittent views east across farmland. Fragmented pockets of trees dispersed across the parcel and undulating topography obscures views across the gap from Epping to Waltham Abbey. The natural topography and features throughout the site mean that at present there is no particular visual relationship between the two towns. 					
3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment Strong – 5 (11) The Green Belt designation in this parcel protects countryside including predominantly agricultural land, a number of large nurseries, Upshire Primary School playing fields, a Cricket ground located to the north of Sergeants Green and Southend Lane and a number of PRoWs There are also numerous pockets of woodland dispersed throughout the site. (12) The eastern fringes of Waltham Abbey and the western fringes of Epping are consist of undulating						

farmland. The topography changes mid-way between Epping and Waltham Abbey to create a sharp peak. However given the distance relative to existing development, it does not prevent encroachment.

(13) The parcel has been encroached by approx. 0.08% (1.15 hectares) of built development or other urbanising elements. There is a particular heavy concentration of encroachment west of Woodgreen Road and east of Waltham Abbey in the form of offices, storage, housing and equestrian related businesses. This area of the parcel performs considerably worse than the rest of the parcel for this purpose.

4. To preserve the special character of historic towns	Relatively Weak – 2

- (14) Parcel adjoins the historic settlements of Epping to the east and Waltham Abbey to the west.
- (15) The Green Belt land that adjoins Epping and Waltham Abbey provides an open and undeveloped context to the settlement. However, the contribution toward the historic nature of the towns is not particularly evident given that more modern development has already occurred around the historic cores of the towns.
- (16) The Green Belt land that adjoins Epping and Waltham Abbey provides an open and undeveloped context to the settlement. However, the contribution toward the historic nature of the towns is not particularly evident given the more modern development that has already occurred around the historic cores of the towns. It is unlikely that additional development, would have much impact on the historic setting.
- (17) Development on the periphery of the historic towns, to the east/northeast of Waltham Abbey and to the west of Epping is unlikely to have a significant impact on the setting of the towns. Development that has occurred around the historic core has not responded well to its historic context. As such, additional growth would be unlikely to have a significant impact on the historic character of the towns.

Parcel DSR 070 – (North West of Epping) Parcel Size: 500.10 hectares					
Summary of Assessment					
Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt					
1 st GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
3 rd GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th GB Purpose	Strong	5			
Total		10			
1. Check the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	No Contribution – 0		
(1) The parcel does	s not act, in itself as an effect	ive bar	rier against sprawl from large built-up areas		
outside of the s	•				
• • •		•	DSR-050 to the northeast. The parcels are		
	_		w, to provide a strategic barrier preventing		
	-		DSR070 is a bit far south of Harlow to be		
•		•	reventing sprawl from Harlow.		
.,	ews along this road to the nor	•	rcel however it is not particularly defensible		
	uring towns merging into one	til allu	No Contribution – 0		
another					
	not form part of a gap or spa	ce hetw	een towns		
(5) See Question 4					
· · ·					
· · ·					
(8) See Question 4					
(9) See Question 4					
(10) See Question 4	above.				
3. Assist in safeguar	ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
encroachment					
(11) The Green Belt	here protects countryside us	ses as i	encompasses a patchwork of arable fields		
that are intersp	persed with small patches of	woodla	nd. Key ecological habitats are provided by		
small ponds and	d stream corridors and a Coun	ty Wildl	ife site.		
(12) The topograph	y of DSR-70 is characterised	by ge	ntly undulating agricultural (predominantly		
arable) fields tl	nat provide an open view to	the ur	ban edge of Epping to the south east. The		
topography and	d location relative to existin	ng deve	lopment does not prevent encroachment.		
Therefore, Gree	en Belt designation in this parc	el safeg	uards the countryside from encroachment.		
(13) There is no evidence of encroachment or urbanising elements within the parcel.					
	ensiel character of historic to		Strong F		
4. To preserve the s	pecial character of historic to	wns	Strong – 5		
	ins the northwest boundary of				
			ommodate commuters, to the north, west		
	-		ntury development, limiting the physical		
	•		n this parcel and the setting of the historic		
core of Epping a	and/ or any heritage assets. Th	ne Gree	n Belt land within parcel DSR-070 briefly		

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

aligns with the historic core of Epping, east of Lindsey Street. The Green Belt land at the settlement boundary has helped maintain the strong open character of this area.

- (16) The origins of Epping tracks back to Epping Upland, to the north western parcel boundary. In the mid-12th century the settlement was re-located to Epping Heath on Lindsay Street and gradually developed into a town to capitalise on the trade passing along the route from London to Cambridge. The open character of the Green Belt land, east of Lindsey Street, preserves the quality of the only remaining view between Epping Upland and Epping town. The removal of the Green Belt designation to the east of Lindsay Street will not necessarily harm the open setting of the Epping Conservation Area, however, it would eliminate the visual connection and long vistas shared between Epping upland. The openness of the Green Belt here affords views of Epping's three towers which plot the route of the High Street (Victorian water tower, St John's Church tower, and the Civic Office tower), and Epping Upland Church can be seen in some views out of Epping. These views are an important aspect of the significance and setting of the town and its heritage assets.
- (17) The removal of the Green Belt designation to the east of Lindsay Street will not necessarily harm the open setting of the Epping Conservation Area, however, it would eliminate the visual connection and long vistas shared between Epping and Epping Upland. The openness of the Green Belt here affords views of Epping's three towers which plot the route of the High Street (Victorian water tower, St John's Church tower, and the Civic Office tower), and Epping Upland Church can be seen in some views out of Epping. These views are an important aspect of the significance and setting of the town and its heritage assets.

Parri		ishten Meed - Dushkumt I'	n			
	el DSR 071 – (Ki el Size: 38.17 he	nighton Wood - Buckhurst Hil	1)			
Summary of Assessment Parcel's Contribution to the Purposes of the Green Belt						
	GB Purpose	Relatively Strong	4			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	GB Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
4 C	•	No contribution	9			
1. Cł	heck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-u	p areas	Relatively Strong – 4		
(1)	The parcel co	sists of an area of isolated w	oodlan	ے۔ ا, Knighton Wood (SSSI), surrounded by built		
(-)	•			he south boundary of parcel is formed by		
	•			and LB Redbridge. The parcel is surrounded		
		-		ch of development from Greater London to		
		oes act as a barrier to the spr				
(2)	There is a hig	h level of containment with e	existing	built development to north, east and south		
	The parcel do	es not form part of a wider ne	twork	of GB parcels.		
(3)	There are no	boundary features of signifi	icance	within parcel. The woodland functions as		
	single area.					
2. Pr	event neighbou	uring towns merging into one	}	No Contribution – 0		
an	other					
(4)	The parcel do	es not form part of, a gap or s	space b	etween towns		
(5)	See Question	4 above.				
(6)	See Question	4 above.				
(7)	See Question	4 above.				
(8)	See Question					
(9)	See Question					
(10)	See Question					
3. <u>As</u>		ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
	ncroachment					
en	The woodland	-	•	des an accessible and well used natural		
en	The woodland greenspace a	nd ecological resource used for	or recre	ation / walking.		
en (11)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no	or recre orth, ea	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to		
en (11)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th	nd ecological resource used for the Buckhurst Hill, to the no e parcel encompasses a relation	or recre orth, ea vely lev	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent		
en (11) (12)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th encroachmen	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no e parcel encompasses a relation t of development, given the lo	or recre orth, ea vely lev ocatior	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent		
en (11) (12) (13)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th encroachmen There is no ev	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no e parcel encompasses a relati t of development, given the le vidence of encroachment or o	or recre orth, ea vely lev ocatior ther ur	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent banising elements within the parcel.		
en (11) (12) (13)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th encroachmen There is no ev	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no e parcel encompasses a relation t of development, given the lo	or recre orth, ea vely lev ocatior ther ur	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent		
en (11) (12) (13) 4. To	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th encroachmen There is no ev preserve the s	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no e parcel encompasses a relati t of development, given the le vidence of encroachment or o	or recre orth, ea vely lev ocatior ther ur wns	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent banising elements within the parcel. No Contribution – 0		
en (11) (12) (13) 4. To (14)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th encroachmen There is no ev preserve the s	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no- e parcel encompasses a relati- t of development, given the la vidence of encroachment or o pecial character of historic to istoric towns within or adjace	or recre orth, ea vely lev ocatior ther ur wns	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent banising elements within the parcel. No Contribution – 0		
en (11) (12) (13)	The woodland greenspace a The land abut the south. Th encroachmen There is no ev preserve the s There are no h	nd ecological resource used for is the Buckhurst Hill, to the no e parcel encompasses a relative t of development, given the low vidence of encroachment or o pecial character of historic to istoric towns within or adjace 14 above.	or recre orth, ea vely lev ocatior ther ur wns	ation / walking. st and west, as well as the LB Redbridge, to el topography that would not prevent banising elements within the parcel. No Contribution – 0		

Parcel DSR 072 – (So	uth of Harlow)				
Parcel Size: 573.9 hectares					
Summary of Assessn					
	n to the Purposes of the Gree				
1 st GB Purpose	Strong	5			
2 nd GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
3 rd GB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Total		10			
1. Check the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	Strong – 5		
(1) The parcel acts	s as an effective barrier agains	st the s	prawl from Harlow.		
(2) The parcel is a	djoins DSR-067 to the NW a	nd DSR	073 to the northeast, together they form a		
		-	rrier against the sprawl of Harlow.		
			el provides a boundary consisting of a small		
			r it is not particularly a strong boundary.		
-	ring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0		
another					
(4) The parcel do	es not form part of, a gap or s	pace be	etween towns.		
(5) See Question	4 above.				
(6) See Question	4 above.				
(7) See Question	4 above.				
(8) See Question					
(9) See Question					
(10) See Question					
3. Assist in safeguar encroachment	ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
 (11) The Green Belt designation here does protect countryside as it consists mostly of arable fields, which are lined with a network of mature hedgerows and small, linear belts of deciduous woodland. The narrow stream Cobbins Brook runs through the parcel and there are several ponds are scattered throughout the area. There is also an interconnected network of public footpaths across the area which connect to the Forest Way National Trail. There is a small area of allotment gardens, to the east of the settlement Epping Green. (12) The topography of the parcel encompasses slightly undulating plateau farmland. The 					
the Green Be	elt designation safeguards the	countr			
			ng elements within the parcel.		
4. To preserve the sp	pecial character of historic to	wns	No Contribution – 0		
(14) There are no	o historic towns within or adja	acent to	the parcel.		
(15) See Questio	n 14 above.				
(16) See Question 14 above.					
(17) See Question 14 above.					

	Parcel DSR 073 – (South of Harlow/West of J7 of M11) Parcel Size: 344.40 hectares					
	Summary of Assessment					
		n to the Purposes of the Gree	n Belt			
	B Purpose	Strong	5			
	GB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
	iB Purpose	Strong	5			
4 th G	iB Purpose	No Contribution	0			
Tota	1		10			
1. Ch	eck the unrest	ricted sprawl of large built-up	o areas	Strong – 5		
(1)	•		-	st sprawl from Harlow. The majority of north		
(-)			-	green space to the east of Rye Hill Road.		
(2)				k of parcels, to a strategic barrier against the		
	•	-		and parcel DSR-062 to the west. The parcels low, to provide a strategic barrier preventing		
		outhern sprawl of Harlow.				
(3)		-	ng defens	ible boundary as is the ridgeline to the south		
. ,		-	-	undary of the parcel. The northern boundary		
		-		vay of physical defensible boundaries on the		
	-			s as boundary to the growth of Harlow at the		
				ularly defensible. Development has already		
		ood defensible boundary how		Rundell's Grove (south of Latton Common)		
2. Pre		ring towns merging into one		No Contribution – 0		
	other					
(4)	The parcel doe	es not form part of, a gap or s	pace betv	ween towns.		
(5)	See Question					
(6)	See Question					
(7)	See Question					
(8) (9)	See Question See Question					
(9)	See Question					
		ding the countryside from		Strong – 5		
	croachment	с ,		5		
(11)	The Green Be	elt designation here protect	s country	vside uses. The parcel consists mostly of		
	farmland, with	h a large block of woodland t	owards tl	he north-eastern boundary and a few PRoWs		
		igh the centre.				
(12)			-	ridge at Rye Hill. This is one of the highest		
	•	-		to the edge of Harlow, to the north. This		
		ow and the ridge.	nowever	as there is considerable undeveloped land		
(13)		-	ther urba	nising elements within the parcel.		
· · /		pecial character of historic to		No Contribution – 0		
	,					

GREEN BELT REVIEWSTAGE ONE September 2015

(14) There are no historic towns within or adjacent to the parcel.

(15) See Question 14 above.

(16) See Question 14 above.

(17) See Question 14 above.